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#### Abstract

By studying the infra-red fixed point of an $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ Landau-Ginzburg model, we find an example of modular invariant partition function beyond the ADE classification. This stems from the fact that a part of the left-moving sector is a new conformal field theory which is a variant of the parafermion model.


Dedicated to the academic achievements of Tohru Eguchi and Sung-Kil Yang

## INTRODUCTION

A 2d CFT is endowed with an infinite-dimensional Lie algebra [1], and modular invariance further constrains its spectrum on the torus [2]. Consequently, a number of models have been exactly solved. (For instance, see [3].) In an RCFT, a modular invariant partition function consists of finitely many pairs $I$ of left- and right-moving characters of chiral algebras $\mathcal{A} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{A}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\sum_{(i, \bar{i}) \in I} N_{i \bar{i}} \chi_{i}^{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \bar{\chi}_{\bar{i}}^{\overline{\mathcal{A}}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we write $M_{i j}^{\mathcal{A}} \bigcirc\left\{\chi_{j}\right\}$ and $\left(M_{\overline{i j}}^{\overline{\mathcal{A}}}\right)^{*} \bigcirc\left\{\bar{\chi}_{\bar{j}}\right\}$ for actions of $M \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on the spaces of the left and right-moving characters [4], then the modular invariance requires

$$
M_{i j}^{\mathcal{A}} N_{j \bar{j}}(M \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}})^{*}=N_{i \bar{i}}
$$

As a result, modular invariant partition functions of $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}$ WZNW models and unitary Virasoro minimal models admit the celebrated ADE classifications [5-8]. If a CFT is described by a non-chiral coset model [9] involving $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ and $\mathrm{U}(1)$, its modular invariant partition function fits into the ADE classification. As such, one can find modular invariant partition functions for parafermion (PF) models $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k} / \mathrm{U}(1)_{k}[10]$ and $\mathcal{N}=2$ minimal models (MMs) $\left(\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k} \times \mathrm{U}(1)_{2}\right) / \mathrm{U}(1)_{k+2}$ [11]. Furthermore, with $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ supersymmetry, LandauGinzburg (LG) models with ADE quasi-homogeneous superpotential are described by the MMs of corresponding ADE type in the infra-red (IR) limit [12, 13].

On the other hand, the class of $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ LG models is much richer because firstly they are chiral in general and secondly there is more freedom due to the $E$ - and $J$-terms $[14, \S 6]$. Therefore, it is natural to ask how IR CFTs incorporate the richness of $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ LG models by encoding the information of the $E$ - and $J$-terms.

In this article, we make a modest step towards understanding the LG/CFT correspondence with $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$
supersymmetry by studying the IR fixed point of a cer$\operatorname{tain} \mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ LG model along the line of [15]. We will obtain its modular invariant partition function, which turns out to be beyond the ADE classification. Careful analysis of the Hilbert space will show that a part of the left-moving sector is described by a new CFT which is a close cousin of the parafermion model.

## LG/CFT CORRESPONDENCE

To begin with, we describe the $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ LG model we focus on. It is a theory of two chiral multiplets $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ and two Fermi multiplets $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}$ with interactions determined by a superpotential

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\psi_{1}\left(\phi_{1}^{4}+\phi_{2}^{2}\right)+\psi_{2} \phi_{1}^{2} \phi_{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $E$-term is set to zero. This theory is called Class 2.b with $k=4$ in [16].

Since the numbers of chiral and Fermi multiplets are equal, the vanishing of the gravitational anomaly $\operatorname{Tr} \gamma_{3}=\bar{c}-c=0$ guarantees the equality of the leftand right-moving central charges. Furthermore, the $c$ extremization [17] calculates

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\bar{c}=\frac{75}{27}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\mathcal{R}$-charges of all the multiplets are listed in the following table. There is also a left-moving $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\ell}$

$$
\begin{array}{c|cccc} 
& \phi_{1} & \phi_{2} & \psi_{1} & \psi_{2} \\
\hline \mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathcal{R}} & \frac{5}{27} & \frac{10}{27} & \frac{7}{27} & \frac{7}{27} \\
\mathrm{U}(1)_{\ell} & 1 & 2 & -4 & -4
\end{array}
$$

global symmetry with 't Hooft anomaly 27. Therefore, these data suggest that, in the IR fixed point, the rightmoving sector is the $\mathcal{N}=2 \mathrm{MM}_{25}$ with level $k=25$, and the left-moving sector is the $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\frac{27}{2}}$ WZNW model with level $k=27 / 2$ and a CFT of central charge $16 / 9$. It is tempting to identify the CFT of central charge $16 / 9$ with
the parafermion model $\mathrm{PF}_{25}$ of level 25 as in [15], and we will indeed write a modular invariant partition function using characters of $\mathrm{PF}_{25}$ in the next section. However, as we will see later, it is not exactly the $\mathrm{PF}_{25}$, but a certain variant of the $\mathrm{PF}_{25}$.

Let us extract more information about the IR CFT from the UV data. Since an elliptic genus is protected under the RG flow [18], it can be computed from the information of the LG model. We evaluate it in the NSNS sector

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{EG}(\tau, z) & =\operatorname{Tr}_{\text {NSNS }}(-1)^{F} q^{L_{0}-\frac{c}{24}} y^{J_{0}} e^{-\beta\left(\bar{L}_{0}-\frac{1}{2} \bar{J}_{0}\right)} \\
& =q^{-\frac{25}{216}} \frac{\theta\left(y^{-4} q^{17 / 27} ; q\right)^{2}}{\theta\left(y q^{5 / 54} ; q\right) \theta\left(y^{2} q^{5 / 27} ; q\right)} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\theta(x ; q)=\prod_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(1-x q^{i}\right)\left(1-q^{i+1} / x\right)$, and $J_{0}$ is the $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\ell}$ charge. Note that $q=e^{2 \pi i \tau}, y=e^{2 \pi i z}$.

Among chiral primary states $\left(\bar{L}_{0}=\bar{J}_{0} / 2\right)$ in the rightmoving sector that contribute to the elliptic genus, the state subject to $L_{0}=\mathfrak{q} / 2$ in the left-moving sector form the topological heterotic ring $\mathcal{H}_{\text {top }}[19,20]$ where $\mathfrak{q}$ is equal to the $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathcal{R}}$ charge $r_{\phi}$ for a chiral field and $r_{\psi}-1$ for a Fermi field. Since the numbers of chiral and Fermi multiplets are equal in the LG theory, it receives contributions only from chiral multiplets with $L_{0}=\bar{J}_{0} / 2$, which is isomorphic to the Jacobi ring of the $J$-term

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{top}} & =\mathbb{C}\left[\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right] /\left(\phi_{1}^{4}+\phi_{2}^{2}, \phi_{1}^{2} \phi_{2}\right) \\
& \cong \operatorname{Span}\left[\phi_{1}^{i}\right]_{i=0}^{5} \oplus \operatorname{Span}\left[\phi_{2}, \phi_{1} \phi_{2}\right] . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

In fact, the holomorphic part of the stress-energy tensor $[18,21]$ is written as

$$
\begin{align*}
T & =\sum_{a=1}^{2}\left[\left(1-\frac{r_{\phi_{a}}}{2}\right) \partial \phi_{a} \partial \bar{\phi}_{a}-\frac{r_{\phi_{a}}}{2} \phi_{a} \partial^{2} \bar{\phi}_{a}\right]  \tag{6}\\
& +\sum_{a=1}^{2}\left[\frac{i}{2}\left(1+r_{\psi_{a}}\right) \psi_{a} \partial \bar{\psi}_{a}-\frac{i}{2}\left(1-r_{\psi_{a}}\right) \partial \psi_{a} \bar{\psi}_{a}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

and the OPE of a generator of $\mathcal{H}_{\text {top }}$ with the stress-energy tensor shows that it is a primary state with $L_{0}=\bar{J}_{0} / 2$.

## MODULAR INVARIANT PARTITION FUNCTION

Our goal is to find the modular invariant partition function of the IR CFT in the NS-NS sector as the following form

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z & =\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{NSNS}} q^{L_{0}-\frac{c}{24}} y^{J_{0}} \bar{q}^{\bar{L}_{0}-\frac{\bar{c}}{24}} \bar{x}^{J_{0}} \\
& =\sum_{\mathrm{wts}} N_{\overline{\ell \ell}}^{\mathrm{SU}(2)} N_{\nu \lambda \bar{\beta}}^{\mathrm{U}(1)} \chi_{\ell, \nu}^{\mathrm{PF}_{25}}(\tau) \chi_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{U}(1) \frac{27}{2}}(\tau, z) \cdot \bar{\chi}_{\bar{\ell}, \bar{\beta}}^{\mathrm{MM}_{25}}(\bar{\tau}, \bar{w})
\end{aligned}
$$

which is consistent with the elliptic genus (4), where $\bar{q}=$ $e^{-2 \pi i \bar{\tau}}, \bar{x}=e^{-2 \pi i \bar{w}}$ and 'wts' stands for all the weights
labeled by $l, \bar{l}, \nu, \lambda, \bar{\beta}$. To obtain an elliptic genus from a partition function, we fix the right-moving sector to be chiral primary states $\left(\bar{L}_{0}=\bar{J}_{0} / 2\right)$ only from which the elliptic genus receives contributions. Then, we insert $(-1)^{F}$ or equivalently $(-1)^{2\left(L_{0}-\bar{L}_{0}\right)}$ in each term of the left-moving sector [22].

For this purpose, we shall find the modular invariant combination of $\mathrm{U}(1)$ WZNW characters by following [15, 23]. In fact, the quadratic forms given by $\mathrm{U}(1)$ levels are rationally equivalent

$$
\operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{27}{2}, 27\right)=R^{T} \operatorname{diag}(25,2) R
$$

where

$$
R=\frac{1}{10}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 10 \\
25 & -10
\end{array}\right)
$$

This rational equivalence gives rise to an identity among theta functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi_{\mu}^{\mathrm{U}(1)_{25}}(\tau, 2 u+10 v)\left(\chi_{0}^{\mathrm{U}(1)_{2}}+\chi_{2}^{\mathrm{U}(1)_{2}}\right)(\tau, 25 u-10 v) \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{27 \times 10-1}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{U}(1) \frac{27 \times 10^{2}}{{ }^{2}} \\
\chi_{2 \mu+50 i^{2}}(\tau, u) \chi_{10 \mu-20 i}^{\mathrm{U}(1)_{27 \times 10^{2}}}(\tau, v) \\
\\
\left.+\chi_{2 \mu+50 i^{2}}(1)\right)^{\frac{27 \times 10^{2}}{2}}(\tau, u) \chi_{10 \mu-20 i+27 \times 10^{2}}^{\mathrm{U}(1)_{27 \times 10^{2}}}(\tau, v)
\end{array}\right\} \\
& \equiv \sum_{\lambda^{\prime}, \rho^{\prime}} A_{\mu \lambda^{\prime} \rho^{\prime}} \chi_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{U}(1) \frac{27 \times 10^{2}}{2}}(\tau, u) \chi_{\rho^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{U}(1)_{27 \times 10^{2}}}(\tau, v)
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, there is another identity of theta functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{U}(1) \frac{27}{2}}(\tau, 10 u) \chi_{\rho}^{\mathrm{U}(1)_{27}}(\tau, 10 v) \\
& =\sum_{i_{1}, i_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{10}} \chi_{10\left(\lambda+27 i_{1}\right)}^{\mathrm{U}(1)_{\rho} \frac{27 \times 10^{2}}{2}}(\tau, u) \chi_{10\left(\rho+54 i_{2}\right)}^{\mathrm{U}(1)_{27 \times 10^{2}}}(\tau, v) \\
& \equiv \sum_{\lambda^{\prime}, \rho^{\prime}} B_{\lambda \rho \lambda^{\prime} \rho^{\prime}} \chi_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{U}(1)} \frac{\frac{27 \times 10^{2}}{2}}{2}(\tau, u) \chi_{\rho^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{U}(1)_{27 \times 10^{2}}}(\tau, v) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From these identities, one can construct the $\mathrm{U}(1)$ modular invariant tensor by

$$
N_{\nu \lambda \bar{\beta}}^{\mathrm{U}(1)}=\sum_{\lambda^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}} A_{\nu, \lambda^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}} B_{\lambda, \bar{\beta}, \lambda^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}}
$$

which satisfies

$$
\left(M_{\nu^{\prime} \nu}^{\mathrm{U}(1)_{25}}\right)^{*} M_{\lambda^{\prime} \lambda}^{\mathrm{U}(1)_{27 / 2}} N_{\nu \lambda \bar{\beta}}^{\mathrm{U}(1)} M_{\overline{\beta \beta^{\prime}}}^{\mathrm{U}(1)_{27}}=N_{\nu^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime} \bar{\beta}^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{U}(1)}
$$

for all $M \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. More explicitly, one can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\sum_{\mathrm{wts}} N_{\bar{\ell} \bar{\ell}}^{\mathrm{SU}(2)} \chi_{\ell, 5 m}^{\mathrm{PF}_{25}}(\tau) \chi_{\frac{27 m-5 n}{2}}^{\mathrm{U}(1)_{\frac{27}{2}}^{2}}(\tau, z) \cdot \bar{\chi}_{\bar{\ell}, n}^{\mathrm{MM}_{25}}(\bar{\tau}, \bar{w}) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the summation over weights runs $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{10}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{54}$ and $\ell, \bar{\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}_{26}$.

Next, we need to determine the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ modular invariant tensor $N_{\bar{\ell}}^{\mathrm{SU}(2)}$. For the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ level $k=25$, only the diagonal (type-A) combination $N_{\bar{\ell}}^{\mathrm{SU}(2)}=\delta_{\bar{\ell}}$ is listed in the ADE classification [5-8]. However, with the diagonal $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ combination, one can check that the partition function would not realize the elliptic genus (4).

To circumvent this situation, we need to relax some of the assumptions in [5-8] for the classification. We notice that the following matrix commutes with all the modular matrices $M^{\mathrm{SU}(2)}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{\bar{\ell}}^{\mathrm{nd}}= & \left(\delta_{2, \ell}-\delta_{14, \ell}+\delta_{20, \ell}\right)\left(\delta_{2, \bar{\ell}}-\delta_{14, \bar{\ell}}+\delta_{20, \bar{\ell}}\right) \\
& +\left(\delta_{5, \ell}-\delta_{11, \ell}+\delta_{23, \ell}\right)\left(\delta_{5, \bar{\ell}}-\delta_{11, \bar{\ell}}+\delta_{23, \bar{\ell}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the indices range $\ell, \bar{\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}_{26}$. Then, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\bar{\ell}}^{\mathrm{SU}(2)}=\delta_{\ell \bar{\ell}}-\frac{1}{3} N_{\bar{\ell} \bar{\ell}}^{\mathrm{nd}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This clearly violates the assumption that $N_{i \bar{i}}$ in (1) are non-negative integer multiplicities, which has been adopted in the literature including [5-8]. However, if we use (9) in (8), the partition function is a formal series of $(q, y, \bar{q}, \bar{x})$ with non-negative integer coefficients and it is moreover consistent with the elliptic genus (4). We claim that it is the partition function of the IR CFT.

## HILBERT SPACE AND A NEW CFT $\widetilde{\mathrm{PF}_{25}}$

To demystify the multiplicities (9) with negative fractional numbers, let us investigate the Hilbert space of the IR CFT. To this end, we denote by $V_{\ell, m}^{\mathrm{PF}_{25}}$ a highest weight representation of $\mathrm{PF}_{25}$. In addition, by taking the direct sum of $s=0$ and $s=2$ weight of $\mathrm{U}(1)_{2}$, we write by $V_{\ell, m}^{\mathrm{MM}_{25}}$ a highest weight representation of $\mathrm{MM}_{25}$ in the NS sector. There are isomorphisms of irreducible modules

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{\ell, m}^{\mathrm{PF}_{25}} \cong V_{\ell, 50-m}^{\mathrm{PF}_{25}} \cong V_{25-\ell, m+25}^{\mathrm{PF}_{25}} \\
& V_{\ell, m}^{\mathrm{MM}_{25}} \cong V_{\ell, 54-m}^{\mathrm{MM}_{25}} \cong V_{25-\ell, m+27}^{\mathrm{MM}_{25}}
\end{aligned}
$$

First, we note an identity of the parafermion characters

$$
\begin{align*}
3 & =\sum_{m=0}^{4} \chi_{2,10 m}^{\mathrm{PF}_{25}}-\chi_{14,10 m}^{\mathrm{PF}_{25}}+\chi_{20,10 m}^{\mathrm{PF}_{25}}  \tag{10}\\
& =\sum_{m=0}^{4} \chi_{5,10 m+5}^{\mathrm{PF}_{25}}-\chi_{11,10 m+5}^{\mathrm{PF}_{25}}+\chi_{23,10 m+5}^{\mathrm{PF}_{25}}
\end{align*}
$$

which counts the number of primary states $|\ell, m\rangle_{\mathrm{PF}_{25}}$ with conformal dimension $h_{\ell, m}^{\mathrm{PF}_{25}}=2 / 27$ in $\mathrm{PF}_{25}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& |\ell, m\rangle_{\mathrm{PF}_{25}}=|2,0\rangle,|20,20\rangle,|20,30\rangle, \quad \text { or } \\
& |\ell, m\rangle_{\mathrm{PF}_{25}}=|23,25\rangle,|5,5\rangle,|5,45\rangle . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, roughly speaking, the non-diagonal part of (9) adds or eliminates a certain linear combination of these states to or from $V_{\ell, m}^{\mathrm{PF}_{25}}$.

To see how the spectrum is organized, we compare the diagonal spectrum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{\text {diag }}=\bigoplus_{\ell, m, n} V_{\ell, 5 m}^{\mathrm{PF}_{25}} \otimes V_{\frac{27 m-5 n}{2}}^{\mathrm{U}(1) \frac{27}{2}} \otimes \bar{V}_{\ell, n}^{\mathrm{MM}_{25}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{\bar{\ell}(2)}^{\mathrm{SU}}=\delta_{\ell \bar{\ell}}$ with the information of the Hilbert space of the IR CFT obtained from the LG model. Note that the diagonal spectrum $\mathcal{H}_{\text {diag }}$ contains primary states of $\mathrm{PF}_{25} \times \mathrm{U}(1)_{\frac{27}{2}} \times \mathrm{MM}_{25}$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
|5 s, 5 s\rangle_{\mathrm{PF}_{25}} \otimes|-s\rangle_{\mathrm{U}(1)_{\frac{27}{2}}} \otimes|5 s,-5 s\rangle_{\mathrm{MM}_{25}} \\
|5 s, 50-5 s\rangle_{\mathrm{PF}_{25}} \otimes|-s\rangle_{\mathrm{U}(1)_{\frac{27}{2}}} \otimes|5 s,-5 s\rangle_{\mathrm{MM}_{25}} \tag{13}
\end{array}
$$

which obey the condition $L_{0}=\bar{J}_{0} / 2=\bar{L}_{0}$. Here we have $s=0,1, \ldots, 5$ and the states in the first and second line are identical to the vacuum state when $s=0$. Thus, there are ten primary states subject to the condition in $\mathcal{H}_{\text {diag }}$ whereas the topological heterotic ring (5) of the IR CFT is eight-dimensional as a vector space.

Hence, the diagonal spectrum (12) is not the actual Hilbert space. To realize the ring structure of $\mathcal{H}_{\text {top }}$ in (5), let us suppose that $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ in $\mathcal{H}_{\text {top }}$ respectively correspond to

$$
\begin{align*}
& |5,5\rangle_{\mathrm{PF}_{25}}+|5,45\rangle_{\mathrm{PF}_{25}} \quad \text { and } \\
& |10,10\rangle_{\mathrm{PF}_{25}}-|10,40\rangle_{\mathrm{PF}_{25}} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Here and in what follows, we suppress the parts of $\mathrm{U}(1)_{27 / 2}$ and $\mathrm{MM}_{25}$ of (13). Then the fusion rule tells us that $\phi_{1}^{2} \phi_{2}$ corresponds to

$$
\begin{equation*}
|20,20\rangle_{\mathrm{PF}_{25}}-|20,30\rangle_{\mathrm{PF}_{25}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (13), which is decoupled from the spectrum due to the equation of motion. In addition, there is no generator in $\mathcal{H}_{\text {top }}$ corresponding to

$$
\begin{equation*}
|5,5\rangle_{\mathrm{PF}_{25}}-|5,45\rangle_{\mathrm{PF}_{25}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (13). Thus, the IR CFT excludes these two states, (15) and (16), and the identification of (14) with $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ as well as the fusion rule indeed reproduces the topological heterotic ring (5).

On the other hand, one can show that $\phi_{1}^{2} \partial \phi_{2} \sim$ $-2 \phi_{1} \phi_{2} \partial \phi_{1}$ is a primary in the IR CFT from the OPE with the stress-energy tensor (6) up to the equations of motion $\left(\partial W / \partial \phi_{i}=0=\partial W / \partial \psi_{i}\right.$ and their complex conjugates). Moreover, $\phi_{1}^{2} \partial \phi_{2}$ and its descendants contribute to the elliptic genus by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\chi_{|20,20>-| 20,30>}^{\mathrm{PF}_{25}}-1\right) \chi_{-4}^{\mathrm{U}(1)_{27 / 2}}=\left(\chi_{20,20}^{\mathrm{PF}_{25}}-1\right) \chi_{-4}^{\mathrm{U}(1)_{27 / 2}} \\
& =\left(q+3 q^{2}+6 q^{3}+12 q^{4}+21 q^{5}+\cdots\right) \chi_{-4}^{\mathrm{U}(1)_{27 / 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Ignoring the $\mathrm{U}(1)_{27 / 2}$ part, the subtraction by one means the omission of (15), and the primary $\phi_{1}^{2} \partial \phi_{2}$ contributes to $q^{1}$ whereas the subsequent higher order terms count its descendants. This implies that although the IR CFT is not endowed with the parafermionic symmetry $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{25} / \mathrm{U}(1)_{25}$, it is still a character of a module of the Virasoro algebra in the left-moving sector. Similarly, it is easy to check from the OPE that $\phi_{1}^{3} \partial \bar{\phi}_{2} \sim-2 \phi_{2} \partial \bar{\phi}_{1}$ is also a primary in the IR CFT, and the contribution from its conformal family to the elliptic genus is $\left(\chi_{|5,5>-| 5,45>}^{\mathrm{PF}_{25}}-1\right) \chi_{-1}^{\mathrm{U}(1)_{27 / 2}}$.

Furthermore, an explicit computation using (10) shows that the elliptic genus (4) receives all the contributions from the part of $\ell=5, n=-5$ in $\mathcal{H}_{\text {diag }}$ except the states (15) and (16), and their $\mathrm{U}(1)_{27 / 2}$ descendants. Indeed, the Hilbert space is organized at the IR fixed point in such a way that the states (15) and (16) are excluded in the $\mathrm{PF}_{25}$ part but it preserves the Virasoro symmetry and the modular invariance. Denoting the CFT of central charge $16 / 9$ by $\widetilde{\mathrm{PF}}_{25}$, the $\ell=5,20$ parts of the Hilbert space are isomorphic to the quotient spaces

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{H}_{5}^{\widetilde{\mathrm{PF}}_{25}} \cong \bigoplus_{m=0}^{4} V_{5,10 m+5}^{\mathrm{PF}_{25}} / \mathbb{C}(|5,5\rangle-|5,45\rangle), \\
& \mathcal{H}_{20}^{\widetilde{\mathrm{PF}}_{25}} \cong \bigoplus_{m=0}^{4} V_{20,10 m}^{\mathrm{PF}_{25}} / \mathbb{C}(|20,20\rangle-|20,30\rangle),
\end{aligned}
$$

as vector spaces graded by $L_{0}$.
In order to keep the modular invariance, one needs to arrange the primary states (11) of $\mathrm{PF}_{25}$ according to the non-diagonal part of (9):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{H}_{2}^{\widetilde{\mathrm{PF}_{25}}} \cong \bigoplus_{m=0}^{4} V_{2,10 m}^{\mathrm{PF}_{25}} / \mathbb{C}|2,0\rangle, \\
& \mathcal{H}_{23}^{\widetilde{\mathrm{PF}}_{25}} \cong \bigoplus_{m=0}^{4} V_{23,10 m+5}^{\mathrm{PF}_{25}} / \mathbb{C}|23,25\rangle  \tag{17}\\
& \mathcal{H}_{14}^{\widetilde{\mathrm{PF}}_{25}} \cong \mathbb{C}(|20,20\rangle+|20,30\rangle) \oplus \bigoplus_{m=0}^{4} V_{14,10 m}^{\mathrm{PF}_{25}}, \\
& \mathcal{H}_{11}^{\widetilde{\mathrm{PF}_{25}} \cong \mathbb{C}(|5,5\rangle+|5,45\rangle) \oplus \bigoplus_{m=0}^{4} V_{11,10 m+5}^{\mathrm{PF}_{25}}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $\cong$ means an isomorphism as vector spaces graded by $L_{0}$. For the other $\ell \neq 2,5,11,14,20,23$, they are isomorphic to those of $\mathrm{PF}_{25}$

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\ell}^{\widetilde{\mathrm{PF}}_{25}} \cong \bigoplus_{m=0}^{4} V_{\ell, 10 m+5(\ell \bmod 2)}^{\mathrm{PF}_{25}}
$$

All in all, the Hilbert space of the IR CFT is then expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}=\bigoplus_{\ell, n} \mathcal{H}_{\ell}^{\widetilde{\mathrm{PF}_{25}}} \otimes V_{\frac{27 \ell-5 n}{2}}^{\mathrm{U}(1)_{27}^{2}} \otimes \bar{V}_{\ell, n}^{\mathrm{MM}_{25}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose generating function is (8) with (9). This explains the reason why the partition function (8) with (9) is a formal power series with non-negative integer coefficients. If we restrict the right-moving sector to be chiral primary states, we have

$$
\left.\mathcal{H}\right|_{\bar{L}_{0}=\bar{J}_{0} / 2}=\bigoplus_{\ell} \mathcal{H}_{\ell}^{\widetilde{\mathrm{PF}_{25}}} \otimes V_{16 \ell \frac{\mathrm{U}(1)}{27}}^{2}
$$

which exactly reproduces the elliptic genus (4) by appropriately including signs. In fact, under the equations of motion, the conformal families of two primaries $\psi_{i} \partial \bar{\phi}_{1}$ ( $i=1,2$ ) contribute to (4)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\chi_{2,0}^{\mathrm{PF}_{25}}-1\right) \chi_{5}^{\mathrm{U}(1)_{27 / 2}} \\
& =\left(2 q+3 q^{2}+6 q^{3}+10 q^{4}+18 q^{5}+\cdots\right) \chi_{5}^{\mathrm{U}(1)_{27 / 2}} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

For $\ell=23$, those of two primaries $\bar{\psi}_{i} \phi_{1}^{2}\left(\partial \phi_{2}\right)^{2}(i=1,2)$ yield the contribution $\left(\chi_{23,25}^{\mathrm{PF}_{25}}-1\right) \chi_{17}^{\mathrm{U}(1)_{27 / 2}}$. In addition, the conformal family of a primary $\psi_{1} \psi_{2}$ combines the two irreducible characters of $\mathrm{PF}_{25}$ into one "irreducible" character of $\widetilde{\mathrm{PF}}_{25}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1+\chi_{14,20}^{\mathrm{PF}_{25}}+\chi_{14,30}^{\mathrm{PF}_{25}}\right) \chi_{8}^{\mathrm{U}(1)_{27 / 2}} \\
& =\left(1+2 q+4 q^{2}+10 q^{3}+20 q^{4}+38 q^{5}+\cdots\right) \chi_{8}^{\mathrm{U}(1)_{27 / 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In a similar fashion, that of a primary $\bar{\psi}_{1} \bar{\psi}_{2} \phi_{1} \phi_{2}\left(\partial \phi_{1}\right)^{2}$ gives the contribution $\left(1+\chi_{11,5}^{\mathrm{PF}_{25}}+\chi_{11,45}^{\mathrm{PF}_{25}}\right) \chi_{14}^{\mathrm{U}(1)_{27 / 2}}$. Hence, this provides a strong evidence that the graded vector spaces (17) are decomposed into modules of the Virasoro algebra and $\widetilde{\mathrm{PF}}_{25}$ preserves the conformal symmetry. In conclusion, the $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ LG model flows to

$$
\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{PF}}_{25} \times \mathrm{U}(1)_{\frac{27}{2}}\right) \otimes \overline{\left(\frac{\mathrm{SU}(2)_{25} \times \mathrm{U}(1)_{2}}{\mathrm{U}(1)_{27}}\right)}
$$

and the modular invariant Hilbert space (18) on a torus is decomposed into modules of the left-moving Virasoro algebra and the right-moving $\mathcal{N}=2$ super-Virasoro algebra.

## DISCUSSIONS

We find the modular invariant partition function beyond the ADE classification [5-8] because a part of the left-moving sector is the new CFT $\widetilde{\mathrm{PF}}_{25}$ obtained by breaking the parafermionic symmetry of $\mathrm{PF}_{25}$. Certainly, more investigation needs to be carried out to understand $\widetilde{\mathrm{PF}}_{25}$. In particular, it is desirable to determine two "irreducible" characters of the primaries $\psi_{i} \partial \bar{\phi}_{1}$ (resp. $\left.\bar{\psi}_{i} \phi_{1}^{2}\left(\partial \phi_{2}\right)^{2}\right)$ in $\widetilde{\mathrm{PF}}_{25}$ whose sum is equal to $\chi_{2,0}^{\mathrm{PF}_{25}}-1$ in (19) (resp. $\chi_{23,25}^{\mathrm{PF}_{25}}-1$ ).

In [16], $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ LG models with the same left and right central charges $\leq 3$ have been classified. In the classification of [16], IR CFTs of Class 2.a with superpotential

$$
\psi_{1}\left(\phi_{1}^{m}+\phi_{2}^{n}\right)+\psi_{2} \phi_{1} \phi_{2}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}
$$

are described by diagonal modular pairing of PFs and $\mathrm{U}(1)$ WZNW models in the left-moving-sector and $\mathcal{N}=2$ MMs in the right-moving sector [15]. This is because their topological heterotic rings are simple and it does not contain a mixed generator like $\phi_{1} \phi_{2}$. Like in our example, the topological heterotic rings of the other classes in [16] are more complicated, and we observe that their elliptic genera cannot be realized by characters of PFs and $\mathrm{U}(1)$ WZNW models except our example (2). (Another exception is Class $2 . \mathrm{b}$ with $k=3$, but it is equivalent to $\mathcal{N}=(2,2) \mathrm{MM}$ of type $\left.E_{7}.\right)$ It is expected that the leftmoving sectors of IR CFTs would be unknown ones so that it requires further study to understand how $J$-terms of $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ LG models are encoded in IR CFTs. It is also worth mentioning that the condition of the same left and right-moving central charges in [16] is rather special in $\mathcal{N}=(0,2) \mathrm{LG}$ models, and a vast class of general $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ LG models are waiting to be investigated.

Since A.B. Zamolodchikov has identified the LG/CFT correspondence [24], it has given drastically new insights in quantum field theories and mathematical physics. This article just takes a peek at the LG/CFT correspondence with $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ supersymmetry, but we hope that our example shows its fertility and will intensify further study on it.
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## Notations

Here, we summarize convention and definitions necessary in this article. $\mathrm{U}(1)_{k}$ and $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}$ characters are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{m}^{\mathrm{U}(1)_{k}}(\tau, z) & =\frac{\Theta_{m, k}(\tau, z)}{\eta(\tau)} \\
\chi_{\ell}^{\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}}(\tau, z) & =\frac{\Theta_{\ell+1, k+2}(\tau, z)-\Theta_{-(\ell+1), k+2}(\tau, z)}{\Theta_{1,2}(\tau, z)-\Theta_{-1,2}(\tau, z)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\eta(\tau)=q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{m}\right)$ is the Dedekind etafunction and the theta function is defined as

$$
\Theta_{m, k}(\tau, z) \equiv \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{k\left(n+\frac{m}{2 k}\right)^{2}} y^{k\left(n+\frac{m}{2 k}\right)}
$$

The weights of $\mathrm{U}(1)_{k}$ and $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}$ run over $m=$ $0, \ldots, 2 k-1$ and $\ell=0, \ldots, k$, respectively. It is wellknown that the modular group $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by $T$ and $S$, and a $T$-transformation on characters of a chiral algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is always diagonalizable

$$
\chi_{r}^{\mathcal{A}}(\tau+1)=e^{2 \pi i\left(h_{r}-c / 24\right)} \chi_{r}^{\mathcal{A}}(\tau)
$$

where $h_{r}$ is the conformal dimension of the corresponding highest weight state. Under the $S$-transformation, the characters of $\mathcal{A}_{k}=\mathrm{U}(1)_{k}, \mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}$ are transformed as

$$
\chi_{r}^{\mathcal{A}_{k}}\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{z}{\tau}\right)=e^{\frac{i \pi k z^{2}}{2 \tau}} \sum_{r^{\prime}} S_{r r^{\prime}}^{\mathcal{A}_{k}} \chi_{r^{\prime}}^{\mathcal{A}_{k}}(\tau, z)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\ell, \ell^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}} & \equiv \sqrt{\frac{2}{k+2}} \sin \left(\frac{\pi(\ell+1)\left(\ell^{\prime}+1\right)}{k+2}\right) \\
S_{m, m^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{U}(1)_{k}} & \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 k}} e^{-2 \pi i \frac{m m^{\prime}}{2 k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

A character of a coset model $\mathcal{A} / \mathcal{B}$ can be computed via a branching rule

$$
V_{\ell}^{\mathcal{A}}=\bigoplus_{m} V_{m}^{\mathcal{B}} \oplus V_{\ell, m}^{\mathcal{A} / \mathcal{B}}
$$

where $V_{\ell}^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $V_{m}^{\mathcal{B}}$ are highest weight representations of the chiral algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, respectively. By defining the string function $c_{\ell, m}^{(k)}[10]$

$$
\chi_{\ell}^{\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}}(\tau, z)=\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 k}} c_{\ell, m}^{(k)}(\tau) \Theta_{m, k}(\tau, z)
$$

a character of the parafermion is then expressed as

$$
\chi_{\ell, m}^{\mathrm{PF}_{k}}(\tau)=\eta(\tau) c_{\ell, m}^{(k)}(\tau)
$$

where $\ell+m \in 2 \mathbb{Z}$, and otherwise $\chi_{\ell, m}^{\mathrm{PF}_{k}}=0$. Note that the characters obey $\chi_{\ell, m}^{\mathrm{PF}_{k}}=\chi_{\ell, 2 k-m}^{\mathrm{PF}_{k}}=\chi_{k-\ell, m+k}^{\mathrm{PF}_{k}}$.

In addition, a character of the $\mathcal{N}=2$ minimal model in the NS sector [11] is given by
$\chi_{\ell, m}^{\mathrm{MM}_{k}}(\tau, z)=\sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 k}} c_{\ell, r}^{(k)}(\tau) \Theta_{(k+2) r-k m, k(k+2)}\left(\frac{\tau}{2}, \frac{z}{k+2}\right)$
where the weights $s=0,2$ of $\mathrm{U}(1)_{2}$ are summed. Note that the weights are subject to $\ell+m \in 2 \mathbb{Z}$, and otherwise $\chi_{\ell, m}^{\mathrm{MM}_{k}}=0$. Note that the characters satisfy $\chi_{\ell, m}^{\mathrm{MM}_{k}}=$ $\chi_{\ell, 2(k+2)-m}^{\mathrm{MM}_{k}}=\chi_{k-\ell, m+k+2}^{\mathrm{MM}_{k}}$.
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