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#### Abstract

Let $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ be two compact connected strongly pseudoconvex embeddable Cauchy-Riemann (CR) manifolds of dimensions $2 m-1$ and $2 n-1$ in $\mathbb{C}^{m+1}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, respectively. We introduce the ThomSebastiani sum $X=X_{1} \oplus X_{2}$ which is a new compact connected strongly pseudoconvex embeddable CR manifold of dimension $2 m+2 n+1$ in $\mathbb{C}^{m+n+2}$. Thus the set of all codimension 3 strongly pseudoconvex compact connected CR manifolds in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ for all $n \geqslant 2$ forms a semigroup. $X$ is said to be an irreducible element in this semigroup if $X$ cannot be written in the form $X_{1} \oplus X_{2}$. It is a natural question to determine when $X$ is an irreducible CR manifold. We use Kohn-Rossi cohomology groups to give a necessary condition of the above question. Explicitly, we show that if $X=X_{1} \oplus X_{2}$, then the Kohn-Rossi cohomology of the $X$ is the product of those Kohn-Rossi cohomology coming from $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ provided that $X_{2}$ admits a transversal holomorphic $S^{1}$-action.
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## 1 Introduction

One of the natural fundamental questions of complex geometry is to study the boundaries of complex varieties. For example, the famous classical complex Plateau problem asks which odd-dimensional real sub-manifolds of $\mathbb{C}^{N}$ are boundaries of complex sub-manifolds in $\mathbb{C}^{N}$. In their beautiful seminal paper, Harvey and Lawson [5,6] proved the following theorem.
Theorem 1.1 (Harvey-Lawson). Let $X$ be a compact connected strongly pseudoconvex embeddable $C R$ manifold. Then there exists a unique complex variety $V$ in $\mathbb{C}^{N}$ for some $N$ such that the boundary $\partial V=X$ and $V$ has only normal isolated singularities.

[^0]The above theorem is one of the deepest theorems in complex geometry. It relates the theory of strongly pseudoconvex CR manifolds on the one hand and the theory of isolated normal singularities on the other hand (see [10, 15]).

CR manifolds in $\mathbb{C}^{N}$ which bound varieties with isolated singularities behave quite differently to those CR manifolds in $\mathbb{C}^{N}$ which bound Stein manifolds. Let $D$ and $B^{n}$ be a domain and ball in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, respectively. The CR manifold $X=\partial D$ is said to have spherical property if for each point $p \in X$, there is a biholomorphic map $f: U \rightarrow V$ such that $f(U \cap X) \subset V \cap \partial B^{n}$ where $U$ and $V$ are open neighborhoods of $p$ and $f(p)$, respectively. It is well known in [2] that $D$ is a simply connected bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ with spherical real analytic boundary $X=\partial D$, then every local biholomorphic map at boundary as above extends to a biholomorphic map from $D$ to $B^{n}$. As a consequence, a local biholomorphic map between $X_{1}=\partial D_{1}$ and $X_{2}=\partial D_{2}$ where $D_{1}, D_{2}$ are simply connected domains in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ with spherical real analytic boundaries can extend to a global biholomorphic map from $D_{1}$ onto $D_{2}$. In [7], Ji et al. showed that the above phenomenon is no longer true if the CR manifolds bound varieties with isolated singularities.

Therefore, it is of great interest to study the interior regularity of $V$, the Harvey-Lawson solution of complex Plateau problem. For this purpose one has to study CR-invariants. It seems to us that the first fundamental invariant of this kind was introduced by Kohn and Rossi [8], the so-called Kohn-Rossi $\bar{\partial}_{b}$-cohomology groups $H_{K R}^{p, q}(X)$ (for definition see Section 2). They proved the finite dimensionality of their cohomology groups under certain natural conditions. Of course it would be of interest to compute the dimension of these $\bar{\partial}_{b}$-cohomology groups. In general, a strongly pseudoconvex manifold $M$ is a modification of a Stein space $V$ with isolated singularities. In [8], Kohn-Rossi made the following conjecture. In general, either there is no Kohn-Rossi cohomology of $X$ the boundary of $M$ (or $V$ ) in degree $(p, q)$, $q \neq 0, n-1$, or it must result from the interior singularities of $V$. The following theorem of Yau answers the Kohn-Rossi conjecture affirmatively.
Theorem 1.2 (See [16]). Let $M$ be a strongly pseudoconvex manifold of dimension $n(n \geqslant 3)$ which is a modification of a Stein space $V$ at the isolated singularities $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m}$. Let $X=\partial M$. Then $\operatorname{dim} H_{K R}^{p, q}(X)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{s_{i}}^{p, q+1}$ for $1 \leqslant q \leqslant n-2$, where $b_{s_{i}}^{p, q+1}=\operatorname{dim} H_{\left\{s_{i}\right\}}^{q+1}\left(V, \Omega_{V}^{p}\right)$ is a local invariant of the singularity $s_{i}$. Suppose that $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m}$ are hypersurface singularities. Then for $1 \leqslant q \leqslant n-2$,

$$
\operatorname{dim} H_{K R}^{p, q}(X)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } p+q \leqslant n-2 \text { or } p+q \geqslant n+1 \\ \tau_{1}+\cdots+\tau_{m}, & \text { if } p+q=n-1 \text { or } p+q=n\end{cases}
$$

where $\tau_{i}$ is the number of moduli of $V$ at $s_{i}$ and can be computed explicitly.
Remark 1.3. Let $f$ be a holomorphic function in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. Suppose $V=\{f=0\}$ has an isolated singularity at the origin. Then the Tjurina number $\tau$ of $V$ at 0 is equal to

$$
\tau=\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{C}\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} /\left(f, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}}\right)
$$

The Milnor number $\mu$ of $V$ at 0 is equal to

$$
\mu=\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{C}\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} /\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}}\right) .
$$

Let $f:\left(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be a holomorphic function with an isolated critical point at the origin. Recall that a polynomial $f\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is weighted homogeneous of type $\left(w_{0}, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)$, where $w_{0}, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}$ are fixed positive rational numbers, if it can be expressed as a linear combination of monomials $x_{0}^{i_{0}} x_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{i_{n}}$ for which $\frac{i_{0}}{w_{0}}+\frac{i_{1}}{w_{1}}+\cdots+\frac{i_{n}}{w_{n}}=1$. On the other hand, for arbitrary holomorphic function $f\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ with 0 as an isolated singularity of $V=\{x: f(x)=0\}$. We say that $V$ has quasi-homogeneous singularity if $f \in\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{0}}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}}\right)$, the ideal generated by $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{0}}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}}$ in the local ring $\mathscr{O}_{V, 0}$. Saito [12] proved that $V$ has quasi-homogeneous singularity at 0 , if and only if after a biholomorphic change of variables, $f$ is a weighted homogeneous polynomial.
Definition 1.4. Let $\left(V_{1}, 0\right)$ and $\left(V_{2}, 0\right)$ be two germs of varieties in $\left(\mathbb{C}^{N}, 0\right)$. We say that $\left(V_{1}, 0\right)$ and $\left(V_{2}, 0\right)$ have the same analytic type (i.e., $\left.\left(V_{1}, 0\right) \cong\left(V_{2}, 0\right)\right)$ if there exists a germ of biholomorphism from $\left(\mathbb{C}^{N}, V_{1}, 0\right)$ to $\left(\mathbb{C}^{N}, V_{2}, 0\right)$.

By Theorem 1.1, any compact connected strongly pseudoconvex embeddable CR manifold $X$ bounds a complex variety $V$ in $\mathbb{C}^{N}$ with only isolated normal singularities at $Y$.
Definition 1.5. Let $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ be two compact connected strongly pseudoconvex embeddable CR manifolds of dimension $2 n-1$ which bound normal varieties $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ with only isolated singularities at $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$, respectively. We say that $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are algebraically equivalent if $\left(V_{1}, Y_{1}\right) \cong\left(V_{2}, Y_{2}\right)$ as germs of varieties.

It is well known that the "number of moduli" of a "moduli space" of strongly pseudoconvex CR manifolds has to be infinite (see [1]). However, under the notion of algebraically equivalence of CR manifolds in the sense of Definition 1.5, then the number of moduli becomes a finite problem. Obviously, two analytically equivalent CR manifolds are automatically algebraically equivalent. In order to understand the classification problem of CR manifolds, a first step is to understand the classification problem of CR manifolds up to algebraic equivalence.

Let $V:=\left\{\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right): f\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0\right\}$ be a hypersurface with $Y$ as a finite set of isolated singularities in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. Let $X_{V}$ be a CR manifold of dimension $2 n-1$ sitting in $V$ such that $X_{V}$ bounds the variety $V$ which contains all singularities $Y$. It is clear that all such CR manifolds $X_{V}$ are algebraically equivalent to each other.

Let $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ be two hypersurfaces defined by $f\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=0$ and $g\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)=0$ with singularities $Y_{1}=\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n_{1}}\right\}$ and $Y_{2}=\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n_{2}}\right\}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{m+1}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, respectively. Then it is easy to see $f\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)+g\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)=0$ defines hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^{m+n+2}$ with $n_{1} n_{2}$ isolated singularities. We shall denote this hypersurface by $V_{1} \oplus V_{2}$, the Thom-Sebastiani (see [13]), addition of two hypersurfaces.
Definition 1.6. Let $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ be two hypersurfaces with associate CR manifolds $X_{V_{1}}$ and $X_{V_{2}}$. We define $X_{V_{1}} \oplus X_{V_{2}}$ as $X_{V_{1} \oplus V_{2}}$, where $V_{1} \oplus V_{2}$ is the Thom-Sebastiani: Sum as above.
Remark 1.7. For each hypersurface $V$, we can assume without loss of generality that $X_{V}$ is a strongly pseudoconvex CR manifold.
Remark 1.8. The set of all codimension 3 strongly pseudoconvex compact connected CR manifolds in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ for all $n \geqslant 2$ forms a semigroup under the addition defined in Definition 1.6. A CR manifold $X$ is said to be irreducible if it cannot be written as the sum of two CR manifolds.

It is natural to ask the following question.
Question. Given a real codimension 3 compact connected $C R$ manifold $X$, how can one tell whether $X$ is an irreducible element in the semi-group of real codimension $3 C R$ manifolds.

Main Theorems A and B below, answer this question partially.
Main Theorem A. Let $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ be two compact connected strongly pseudoconvex embeddable CR manifolds of dimensions $2 m-1$ and $2 n-1$ in $\mathbb{C}^{m+1}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ respectively. Assume that $X_{2}$ admits a transversal holomorphic $S^{1}$-action (see Definition 2.3). Let $X=X_{1} \oplus X_{2}$. The following statements hold.
(a) If $1 \leqslant t \leqslant m+n-1$ and $s+t=m+n+1$ or $m+n$, then $\operatorname{dim} H_{K R}^{s, t}(X) \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{dim} H_{K R}^{s, t}(X)=$ $\operatorname{dim} H_{K R}^{a, b}\left(X_{1}\right) \operatorname{dim} H_{K R}^{c, d}\left(X_{2}\right)$, where $a, b, c, d$ satisfy the following conditions:
(1) $1 \leqslant b \leqslant m-2$ and $a+b=m$ or $m-1$.
(2) $1 \leqslant d \leqslant n-2$ and $c+d=n$ or $n-1$.
(3) $a+b+n=c+d+m=s+t-1$.
(b) If $1 \leqslant t \leqslant m+n-1$ and $s+t \neq m+n+1$ and $s+t \neq m+n$, then $\operatorname{dim} H_{K R}^{s, t}(X)=0$.

If the condition that $X_{2}$ admits a transversal holomorphic $S^{1}$-action is deleted in Main Theorem A, then the equality should be replaced by inequality as follows.
Main Theorem B. Let $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ be two compact connected strongly pseudoconvex embeddable CR manifolds of dimensions $2 m-1$ and $2 n-1$ in $\mathbb{C}^{m+1}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, respectively. Let $X=X_{1} \oplus X_{2}$. The following statements hold.
(a) If $1 \leqslant t \leqslant m+n-1$ and $s+t=m+n+1$ or $m+n$, then $\operatorname{dim} H_{K R}^{s, t}(X) \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{dim} H_{K R}^{s, t}(X) \geqslant$ $\operatorname{dim} H_{K R}^{a, b}\left(X_{1}\right) \operatorname{dim} H_{K R}^{c, d}\left(X_{2}\right)$, where $a, b, c, d$ satisfy the following conditions:
(1) $1 \leqslant b \leqslant m-2$ and $a+b=m$ or $m-1$.
(2) $1 \leqslant d \leqslant n-2$ and $c+d=n$ or $n-1$.
(3) $a+b+n=c+d+m=s+t-1$.
(b) If $1 \leqslant t \leqslant m+n-1$ and $s+t \neq m+n+1$ and $s+t \neq m+n$, then $\operatorname{dim} H_{K R}^{s, t}(X)=0$.

In Section 2, we present some basic notation and facts about CR manifolds. We also recall the definitions of Kohn-Rossi cohomology groups. In Section 3, we give the proof of our main theorems. Section 4 presents some concluding remarks.

## 2 Preliminaries

In 1965, Kohn and Rossi [8] defined their cohomology on CR manifold. Following Tanaka [14], we reformulate the definition in a way independent of the interior manifold.
Definition 2.1. Let $X$ be a connected orientable manifold of real dimension $2 n-1$. A CR structure on $X$ is an ( $n-1$ )-dimensional subbundle $S$ of $\mathbb{C} T(X)$ (complexified tangent bundle) such that
(1) $S \cap \bar{S}=\{0\}$,
(2) if $L, L^{\prime}$ are local sections of $S$, then so is $\left[L, L^{\prime}\right]$.

Such a manifold with a CR structure is called a CR manifold.
Definition 2.2. Let $X$ be a CR manifold with structures $S$ as in Definition 2.1. Since $S \cap \bar{S}=\{0\}$, there is a unique subbundle $\mathcal{H}$ of $T(X)$ such that

$$
\mathbb{C H}=S \oplus \bar{S},
$$

i.e., $\mathcal{H}$ is the real part of $S \oplus \bar{S}$. Furthermore, there is a unique homomorphism $J: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$
J^{2}=-1, \quad 1=\text { identity } .
$$

The pair $(\mathcal{H}, J)$ is called the real expression of $S$.
Definition 2.3. With the notation in the above definition, a smooth $S^{1}$-action on $X$ is said to be holomorphic if it preserves the subbundle $\mathcal{H} \subset T(X)$ and commutes with $J$. It is said to be transversal if, in addition, the vector field $\mathcal{V}$ which generates the action is transversal to $\mathcal{H}$ at all points of $X$.
Theorem 2.4 (See [9]). Let $X$ be a strongly pseudoconvex CR manifold of dimension $2 n-1>1$, and suppose that $X$ admits a transversal holomorphic $S^{1}$-action. Then there exists a holomorphic equivariant embedding $X \hookrightarrow V$ as a hypersurface in an $n$-dimensional algebraic variety $V \subset \mathbb{C}^{N}$ with a linear $\mathbb{C}^{*}$ action.
Definition 2.5. Let $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{n-1}$ be a local frame of the CR structure $S$ on $X$ so that $\bar{L}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{L}_{n-1}$ is a local frame of $\bar{S}$. Since $S \oplus \bar{S}$ has complex codimension one in $\mathbb{C} T(X)$, we may choose a local section $N$ of $\mathbb{C} T(X)$ such that $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{n-1}, \bar{L}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{L}_{n-1}, N$ span $\mathbb{C} T(X)$. We may assume that $N$ is purely imaginary. Then the matrix $\left(c_{i j}\right)$ defined by

$$
\left[L_{i}, \bar{L}_{j}\right]=\sum_{k} a_{i, j}^{k} L_{k}+\sum_{k} b_{i, j}^{k} \bar{L}_{k}+c_{i, j} N
$$

is Hermitian, and is called the Levi form of $X$.
Proposition 2.6. The number of non-zero eigenvalues and the absolute value of the signature of ( $c_{i j}$ ) at each point are independent of the choice of $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{n-1}, N$.
Definition 2.7. $\quad X$ is said to be strongly pseudoconvex if the Levi form is positive definite at each point of $X$.
Let $\left\{\mathscr{A}^{k}(X), d\right\}$ be the De Rham complex of $X$ with complex coefficients, and let $H^{k}(X)$ be the De Rham cohomology groups. There is a natural filtration of the De Rham complex as follows. For any
integer $p$ and $k$, put $A^{k}(X)=\wedge^{k}\left(\mathbb{C} T(X)^{*}\right)$ and denote by $F^{p}\left(A^{k}(X)\right)$ the subbundle of $A^{k}(X)$ consisting of all $\phi \in A^{k}(X)$ which satisfy the equality

$$
\phi\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{p-1}, \bar{Z}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{Z}_{k-p+1}\right)=0
$$

for all $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{p-1} \in \mathbb{C} T(X)_{0}$ and $Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{k-p+1} \in S_{0}, 0$ being the origin of $\phi$. Then

$$
A^{k}(X)=F^{0}\left(A^{k}(X)\right) \supset F^{1}\left(A^{k}(X)\right) \supset \cdots \supset F^{k}\left(A^{k}(X)\right) \supset F^{k+1}\left(A^{k}(X)\right)=0
$$

Setting $F^{p}\left(\mathscr{A}^{k}(X)\right)=\Gamma\left(F^{p}\left(A^{k}(X)\right)\right)$, we have

$$
\mathscr{A}^{k}(X)=F^{0}\left(\mathscr{A}^{k}(X)\right) \supset F^{1}\left(\mathscr{A}^{k}(X)\right) \supset \cdots \supset F^{k}\left(\mathscr{A}^{k}(X)\right) \supset F^{k+1}\left(\mathscr{A}^{k}(X)\right)=0
$$

Since clearly $d F^{p}\left(\mathscr{A}^{k}(X)\right) \subseteq F^{p}\left(\mathscr{A}^{k+1}(X)\right)$, the collection $\left\{F^{p}\left(\mathscr{A}^{k}(X)\right)\right\}$ gives a filtration of the De Rham complex.

We denote by $H_{K R}^{p, q}(X)$ the groups $E_{1}^{p, q}(X)$ of the spectral sequence $\left\{E_{r}^{p, q}(X)\right\}$ associated with the filtration $\left\{F^{p}\left(\mathscr{A}^{k}(X)\right)\right\}$. We call $H_{K R}^{p, q}(X)$ the Kohn-Rossi cohomology group of type $(p, q)$. More explicitly, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A^{p, q}(X)=F^{p}\left(A^{p+q}(X)\right), \quad \mathscr{A}^{p, q}(X)=\Gamma\left(A^{p, q}(X)\right) \\
& C^{p, q}(X)=A^{p, q}(X) / A^{p+1, q-1}(X), \quad \mathscr{C}^{p, q}(X)=\Gamma\left(C^{p, q}(X)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $d: \mathscr{A}^{p, q}(X) \rightarrow \mathscr{A}^{p, q+1}(X)$ maps $\mathscr{A}^{p+1, q-1}(X)$ into $\mathscr{A}^{p+1, q}(X)$, it induces an operator $d^{\prime \prime}:$ $\mathscr{C}^{p, q}(X) \rightarrow \mathscr{C}^{p, q+1}(X) . H_{K R}^{p, q}(X)$ are then the cohomology groups of the complex $\left\{\mathscr{C}^{p, q}(X), d^{\prime \prime}\right\}$.

In our proof of the main theorems, we need the following result in commutative algebra.
If $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}\right\}$ is a finite subset of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, and $M_{i}=I\left(\left\{p_{i}\right\}\right)$ is the maximal ideal of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ corresponding to $p_{i}$, we will write

$$
\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{M_{i}}=\left\{f / g: g\left(p_{i}\right) \neq 0\right\}=\mathcal{O}_{i}
$$

for simplicity of notation. $\mathcal{O}_{i}$ is called the local ring of $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ at $p_{i}$.
Theorem 2.8 (See [3, Theorem 2.2]). Let I be a zero-dimensional ideal in $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ and let $V(I)=$ $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}\right\}$. Then, there is an isomorphism between $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] / I$ and the direct product of the rings $A_{i}=\mathcal{O}_{i} / I \mathcal{O}_{i}$, for $i=1, \ldots, m$.

## 3 Proofs of the main theorems

Proof of Main Theorem A. Since $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are two compact connected strongly pseudoconvex embeddable CR manifolds of dimensions $2 m-1$ and $2 n-1$ in $\mathbb{C}^{m+1}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, it follows from Theorem 1.1 that there exist two corresponding hypersurfaces $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$, which are bounded by $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{m+1}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, respectively, having isolated singularities $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n_{1}}$ and $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n_{2}}$. Let $f\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$ and $g\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ be the defining equations for $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$, respectively. Since $X_{2}$ admits a transversal holomorphic $S^{1}$-action, it follows from Theorem 2.4 that $g$ is a weighted homogeneous polynomial. We know that $f\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)+g\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ defines hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^{m+n+2}$ with $n_{1} n_{2}$ isolated singularities $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n_{1} n_{2}}\right\}$. By Theorem 1.2, for $1 \leqslant t \leqslant m+n-1$, we have

$$
\operatorname{dim} H_{K R}^{s, t}(X)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0, \quad \text { if } s+t \leqslant m+n-1 \text { or } s+t \geqslant m+n+2 \\
\tau_{1}+\cdots+\tau_{n_{1} n_{2}}, \quad \text { if } s+t=m+n \text { or } p+q=m+n+1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\tau_{i}$ is the Tjurina number of $V:=V(f+g)$ at $a_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n_{1} n_{2}$, i.e., $\tau_{i}=\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{O}_{i} /(f$ $\left.+g, \frac{\partial(f+g)}{\partial x_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial(f+g)}{\partial y_{n}}\right) \mathcal{O}_{i}$, where $\mathcal{O}_{i}$ is the local ring of $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}, y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}\right]$ at $a_{i}$. It follows from Theorem 2.8 that

$$
\tau_{1}+\cdots+\tau_{n_{1} n_{2}}=\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}, y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}\right] /\left(f+g, \frac{\partial(f+g)}{\partial x_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial(f+g)}{\partial y_{n}}\right)
$$

Thus we have

$$
\operatorname{dim} H_{K R}^{s, t}(X)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0, \quad \text { if } s+t \leqslant m+n-1 \text { or } s+t \geqslant m+n+2 \\
\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}, y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}\right] /\left(f+g, \frac{\partial(f+g)}{\partial x_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial(f+g)}{\partial y_{n}}\right), \\
\text { if } s+t=m+n \text { or } p+q=m+n+1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Similarly, for $1 \leqslant b \leqslant m-2$ we have

$$
\operatorname{dim} H_{K R}^{a, b}\left(X_{1}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0, \quad \text { if } a+b \leqslant m-2 \text { or } a+b \geqslant m+1 \\
\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}\right] /\left(f, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{m}}\right), \\
\text { if } a+b=m-1 \text { or } a+b=m
\end{array}\right.
$$

and for $1 \leqslant d \leqslant n-2$ we have

$$
\operatorname{dim} H_{K R}^{c, d}\left(X_{2}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0, \quad \text { if } c+d \leqslant n-2 \text { or } c+d \geqslant n+1 \\
\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{C}\left[y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}\right] /\left(g, \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{n}}\right) \\
\text { if } c+d=n-1 \text { or } c+d=n
\end{array}\right.
$$

It follows from Theorem 1.2 that if $1 \leqslant t \leqslant m+n-1$ and $s+t=m+n$ or $m+n+1$, then $\operatorname{dim} H_{K R}^{s, t}(X) \neq 0$ and we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{dim} H_{K R}^{s, t}(X) & =\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}, y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}\right] /\left(f+g, \frac{\partial(f+g)}{\partial x_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial(f+g)}{\partial y_{n}}\right)  \tag{3.1a}\\
& =\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}, y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}\right] /\left(f+g, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{m}}, \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{n}}\right)  \tag{3.1b}\\
& =\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}, y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}\right] /\left(f, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{m}}, \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{n}}\right)  \tag{3.1c}\\
& =\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}\right] /\left(f, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{m}}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}\left[y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}\right] /\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{n}}\right) . \tag{3.1d}
\end{align*}
$$

The third equality above comes from the fact that $g$ is weighted homogeneous while the last equality follows from [4, Korollars 1 and 2, p.181]. By Theorem 1.2 it is easy to see that when the following conditions are satisfied,
(1) $1 \leqslant b \leqslant m-2$ and $a+b=m$ or $m-1$;
(2) $1 \leqslant d \leqslant n-2$ and $c+d=n$ or $n-1$;
(3) $a+b+n=c+d+m=s+t-1$,
then $\operatorname{dim} H_{K R}^{c, d}\left(X_{1}\right) \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{dim} H_{K R}^{c, d}\left(X_{2}\right) \neq 0$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim} H_{K R}^{s, t}(X) & =\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}\right] /\left(f, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{m}}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}\left[y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}\right] /\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{n}}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}\right] /\left(f, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{m}}\right) \operatorname{dim} \mathbb{C}\left[y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}\right] /\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{n}}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{dim} H_{K R}^{c, d}\left(X_{1}\right) \operatorname{dim} H_{K R}^{c, d}\left(X_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Part (b) of Main Theorem A follows from Theorem 1.2 directly.
Proof of Main Theorem B. The proof of Main Theorem B is the same as the proof of Main Theorem A. We only need to replace (3.1a)-(3.1d) by the following (3.2a)-(3.2e):

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{dim} H_{K R}^{s, t}(X) & =\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}, y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}\right] /\left(f+g, \frac{\partial(f+g)}{\partial x_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial(f+g)}{\partial y_{n}}\right)  \tag{3.2a}\\
& =\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}, y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}\right] /\left(f+g, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{m}}, \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{n}}\right) \tag{3.2b}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \geqslant \operatorname{dim} \mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}, y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}\right] /\left(f+g, g, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{m}}, \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{n}}\right)  \tag{3.2c}\\
& =\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}, y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}\right] /\left(f, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{m}}, g, \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{n}}\right)  \tag{3.2~d}\\
& =\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}\right] /\left(f, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{m}}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}\left[y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}\right] /\left(g, \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{n}}\right) . \tag{3.2e}
\end{align*}
$$

The proof is complete.

## 4 Concluding remarks

The ultimate goal in CR geometry is the following: Given two strongly pseudoconvex CR manifolds, determine whether they are CR biholomorphically equivalent. This is certainly a very difficult problem. In order to solve the classification problem of CR manifolds, one can first investigate the irreducibility of a hypersurface type CR manifold, i.e., given a real codimension 3 compact connected CR manifold $X$, how can one tell whether $X$ is an irreducible element in the semi-group of real codimension 3 CR manifolds. In Main Theorems A and B, we give a partial answer to this question in terms of the KohnRossi cohomology groups which depend only on the information of the CR manifold. In our future work, we shall try to answer this question by means of singularity theory. In 1995, Luk and Yau [11] introduced many CR invariants to CR manifolds just using the theory of resolution of singularity. For example, when the CR manifold $X$ has dimension 3, those invariants introduced in [11] include $m_{Z}, p_{f}, p_{a}, p_{g}, q$ etc., where $p_{g}$ is called geometric genus and can also be defined for CR manifolds of any dimension. Some of these invariants are proved to be very useful in studying the existence problem of non-trivial CR morphisms between strongly pseudoconvex CR manifolds. In [17], Yau proved that there is no nonconstant CR morphism from $X_{1}$ to $X_{2}$ if $p_{g}\left(X_{1}\right)<p_{g}\left(X_{2}\right)$. Recently, Lin et al. [10] generalized this definition and got a series of CR invariants $p_{m}$ which is called plurigenera of compact connected strongly pseudoconvex CR manifolds. Their $p_{1}$ coincides with previously defined $p_{g}$. We hope that one can also use the CR invariants which are defined from singularity theory or others [18] to give a complete answer to the irreducibility question in the near future.
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