

On the convergence almost everywhere of double Fourier series

Per Sjölin

Let

$$S_{mn}f(x) = \sum_{k=-m}^m \sum_{l=-n}^n c_{kl} e^{i(kx_1 + lx_2)}$$

denote the partial sums of the Fourier series of a function $f \in L^1(\mathbf{T}^2)$ where $\mathbf{T} = [0, 2\pi]$. It was proved by C. Fefferman [4], P. Sjölin [7] and N. R. Tevzadze [8] that if $p > 1$ and $f \in L^p(\mathbf{T}^2)$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{mn}f(x)$ exists almost everywhere. The method of Fefferman and Tevzadze also shows that if $(m_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ and $(n_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ are non-decreasing sequences of integers which tend to infinity and $f \in L^2(\mathbf{T}^2)$, then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S_{m_k n_k} f(x)$ exists almost everywhere.

Fefferman [5] also constructed a counterexample which shows that there exists a continuous function f with period 2π in each variable such that $\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} S_{mn}f(x)$ exists nowhere. In [7] Sjölin proved that if

$$\sum_{m, n} |c_{mn}|^2 (\log(\min(|m|, |n|) + 2))^2 < \infty, \tag{1}$$

then $\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} S_{mn}f(x, y)$ exists almost everywhere. From (1) convergence conditions involving the modulus of continuity of f can be obtained. For continuous functions f with period 2π in each variable we set

$$\omega(f; \delta) = \sup_{|x-y| \leq \delta} |f(x) - f(y)|.$$

It is then known that if

$$\omega(f; \delta) = O((\log \delta^{-1})^{-1-\varepsilon}), \quad \delta \rightarrow 0, \tag{2}$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$, then (1) holds (see Bahuh [1]). On the other hand it can be proved by use of Fefferman's counterexample that there exists an f with $\omega(f; \delta) =$

$=O((\log 1/\delta)^{-1})$, such that $\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} S_{mn}f(x)$ does not exist almost everywhere (see Bahbuh and Nikishin [2]).

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the convergence of $S_{mn}f$ for functions satisfying conditions of the type $\omega(f; \delta) = O((\log 1/\delta)^{-\alpha})$, where $0 < \alpha < 1$.

We need the following notation. If $f \in L^2(\mathbf{T}^2)$ we extend f to a function on \mathbf{R}^2 with period 2π in each variable and set

$$\Delta f(x, t) = f(x_1 + t_1, x_2 + t_2) - f(x_1, x_2 + t_2) - f(x_1 + t_1, x_2) + f(x_1, x_2),$$

$$x \in \mathbf{T}^2, \quad |t| \leq 1,$$

$$\omega'(f; \delta) = \sup_{|t| \leq \delta} \|\Delta f(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{T}^2)}$$

and

$$\omega'_2(f; \delta) = \sup_{|t| \leq \delta} \|\Delta f(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{T}^2)}.$$

We shall prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Assume $0 < \alpha < 1$ and let $(m_k)_1^\infty$ and $(n_k)_1^\infty$ be non-decreasing sequences of positive integers with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} m_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} n_k = \infty$.*

Set

$$\Gamma_k = \{(m, n) \in \mathbf{Z}^2; \max(|m - m_k|, |n - n_k|) \leq e^{(\log \min(m_k, n_k))^\alpha}\} \quad (3)$$

and $\Gamma = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty \Gamma_k$. Then the following holds.

(i) *If $f \in L^2(\mathbf{T}^2)$ and*

$$\int_0^1 \omega'_2(f; \delta)^2 \delta^{-1} (\log \delta^{-1})^{2\alpha-1} d\delta < \infty, \quad (4)$$

then $\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty, (m,n) \in \Gamma} S_{mn}f(x)$ exists almost everywhere.

(ii) *There exists an $f \in C(\mathbf{T}^2)$ with period 2π in each variable and*

$$\omega'(f; \delta) = O((\log \delta^{-1})^{-\alpha}), \quad \delta \rightarrow 0, \quad (5)$$

such that $\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty, (m,n) \in \Gamma} S_{mn}f(x)$ does not exist almost everywhere.

The result in (ii) shows that the exponent $2\alpha - 1$ in (4) cannot be replaced by a smaller number.

We first prove the following lemma.

Lemma. *If $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $f \in L^2(\mathbf{T}^2)$, then*

$$\sum_{m,n} |c_{mn}|^2 (\log(\min(|m|, |n|) + 2))^{2\alpha} < \infty \quad (6)$$

if and only if

$$\int_{|t| \leq 1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |df(x, t)|^2 dx \right) |t|^{-2} (\log |t|^{-1})^{2\alpha-1} dt < \infty. \quad (7)$$

Proof. It follows from Parseval's relation that the inner integral in (7) equals $4\pi \sum |c_{mn}|^2 |e^{imt_1} - 1|^2 |e^{int_2} - 1|^2$ and to prove the lemma it is sufficient to prove that

$$C_1 (\log m)^{2\alpha} \leq \int_{|t| \leq 1} |e^{imt_1} - 1|^2 |e^{int_2} - 1|^2 |t|^{-2} (\log |t|^{-1})^{2\alpha-1} dt \leq C_2 (\log m)^{2\alpha} \quad (8)$$

for $3 \leq m \leq n$, where C_1 and C_2 are positive constants. The integral in (8) is larger than

$$\begin{aligned} c \int_{1/m}^{1/2} \left(\int_{t_1}^{1/2} |t|^{-2} (\log |t|^{-1})^{2\alpha-1} dt_2 \right) dt_1 &\geq c \int_{1/m}^{1/2} \left(\int_{t_1}^{1/2} t_2^{-2} (\log t_2^{-1})^{2\alpha-1} dt_2 \right) dt_1 \geq \\ &\geq c \int_{1/m}^{1/2} t_1^{-1} (\log t_1^{-1})^{2\alpha-1} dt_1 \geq c (\log m)^{2\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

where c denotes positive constants. Thus the left inequality in (8) is proved. To prove the remaining inequality we observe that the integral in (8) is majorized by

$$\begin{aligned} C \int_{1/m}^{1/2} \int_0^{1/2} |t|^{-2} (\log |t|^{-1})^{2\alpha-1} dt_1 dt_2 + Cm^2 \int_0^{1/m} \int_{1/n}^{1/2} t_1^2 |t|^{-2} (\log |t|^{-1})^{2\alpha-1} dt_1 dt_2 + \\ + Cm^2 n^2 \int_0^{1/m} \int_0^{1/n} t_1^2 t_2^2 |t|^{-2} (\log |t|^{-1})^{2\alpha-1} dt_1 dt_2 = I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$I_1 \leq C \int_{1/m \leq |t| \leq 1} |t|^{-2} (\log |t|^{-1})^{2\alpha-1} dt = C \int_{1/m}^1 \delta^{-1} (\log \delta^{-1})^{2\alpha-1} d\delta \leq C (\log m)^{2\alpha}.$$

For $1/2 < \alpha \leq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq Cm^2 \int_0^{1/m} t_1^2 (\log t_1^{-1})^{2\alpha-1} \left(\int_{1/n}^{1/2} |t|^{-2} dt_2 \right) dt_1 \leq Cm^2 \int_0^{1/m} t_1 (\log t_1^{-1})^{2\alpha-1} dt_1 \leq \\ &\leq C (\log m)^{2\alpha-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and for $0 < \alpha \leq 1/2$

$$I_2 \leq Cm^2 \int_0^{1/m} t_1^2 \left(\int_{1/n}^{1/2} |t|^{-2} dt_2 \right) dt_1 \leq Cm^2 \int_0^{1/m} t_1 dt_1 = C.$$

Finally

$$I_3 \leq Cm^2 n^2 \int_0^{1/m} \int_0^{1/n} t_1 t_2 (\log |t|^{-1})^{2\alpha-1} dt_1 dt_2,$$

and for $\alpha > 1/2$ we obtain

$$I_3 \leq C \left(m^2 \int_0^{1/m} t_1 (\log t_1^{-1})^{2\alpha-1} dt_1 \right) \left(n^2 \int_0^{1/n} t_2 dt_2 \right) \leq C (\log m)^{2\alpha-1}.$$

For $\alpha \equiv 1/2$ we get

$$I_3 \equiv C \left(m^2 \int_0^{1/m} t_1 dt_1 \right) \left(n^2 \int_0^{1/n} t_2 dt_2 \right) = C,$$

which completes the proof of the lemma.

Proof of Theorem 1.

(i) If $D_n(u)$ denotes the Dirichlet kernel then $|D_k(u) - D_m(u)| \equiv 2\pi/|u|$ and also $|D_k(u) - D_m(u)| \equiv \pi|k - m|$. It follows that

$$|S_k g(x) - S_m g(x)| \equiv C \log(|k - m| + 2) g^*(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{T}, \quad (9)$$

where $S_k g$ and $S_m g$ are partial sums of the Fourier series of a function $g \in L^1(\mathbf{T})$ and g^* denotes the Hardy—Littlewood maximal function of g . For $f \in L^1(\mathbf{T}^2)$ we define

$$M_1 f(x) = \sup_{x_1 \in \omega} \frac{1}{|\omega|} \int_{\omega} |f(t_1, x_2)| dt_1, \quad x \in \mathbf{T}^2,$$

where ω denotes subintervals of \mathbf{T} ,

$$S_1^* f(x) = \sup_n \left| \int_{\mathbf{T}} D_n(x_1 - t_1) f(t_1, x_2) dt_1 \right|, \quad x \in \mathbf{T}^2,$$

and M_2 and S_2^* in the same way with the variables interchanged.

If $(m, n) \in \Gamma_k$ we write

$$S_{mn} f(x) - S_{m_k n_k} f(x) = S_{mn} f(x) - S_{mn_k} f(x) + S_{mn_k} f(x) - S_{m_k n_k} f(x),$$

and invoking (9) we obtain

$$|S_{mn} f(x) - S_{m_k n_k} f(x)| \equiv C \log(|n - n_k| + 2) M_2 S_1^* f(x) + C \log(|m - m_k| + 2) M_1 S_2^* f(x).$$

From the definition of Γ_k it follows that the right hand side in the above inequality is majorized by

$$C(\log(\min(m_k, n_k) + 2))^\alpha (M_2 S_1^* f(x) + M_1 S_2^* f(x)).$$

We therefore have

$$|S_{mn} f(x)| \equiv |S_{m_k n_k} f(x)| + C(\log(\min(m, n) + 2))^\alpha (M_2 S_1^* f(x) + M_1 S_2^* f(x)).$$

Defining

$$T_\Gamma f(x) = \sup_{(m, n) \in \Gamma} \frac{|S_{mn} f(x)|}{(\log(\min(m, n) + 2))^\alpha}$$

we obtain

$$T_\Gamma f(x) \equiv \sup_k |S_{m_k n_k} f(x)| + C(M_2 S_1^* f(x) + M_1 S_2^* f(x)).$$

It is proved in Fefferman [4] and Tevzadze [8] that the L^2 norm of the first term on the right hand side is majorized by $C\|f\|_2$ and it follows from the L^2 inequality for the Hardy—Littlewood maximal function in one variable that M_1 and M_2 are bounded on $L^2(\mathbf{T}^2)$. Also S_1^* and S_2^* are bounded on $L^2(\mathbf{T}^2)$ since the maximal partial sum operator in one variable is bounded on $L^2(\mathbf{T})$ according to the results of L. Carleson [3] and R. A. Hunt [6]. Hence T_Γ is bounded on $L^2(\mathbf{T}^2)$.

Now let $f \in L^2(\mathbf{T}^2)$ have Fourier coefficients c_{mn} and assume that (6) holds. We set

$$S_\Gamma f(x) = \sup_{(m,n) \in \Gamma} |S_{mn}f(x)|$$

and let g denote the function in $L^2(\mathbf{T}^2)$ which has Fourier coefficients $c_{mn}(\log(\min(|m|, |n|) + 2))^2$. Performing a partial summation as in the proof of Theorem 7.2 in Sjölin [7], pp. 85—86, we obtain

$$S_\Gamma f(x) \cong C(Pg(x) + T_\Gamma g(x)),$$

where P is a bounded operator on $L^2(\mathbf{T}^2)$. Hence

$$\|S_\Gamma f\|_2 \cong C\|g\|_2 = C\left(\sum |c_{mn}|^2 (\log(\min(|m|, |n|) + 2))^{2\alpha}\right)^{1/2}.$$

It follows that $\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty, (m,n) \in \Gamma} S_{mn}f(x)$ exists almost everywhere for each f with Fourier coefficients satisfying (6) and hence by the lemma for each f satisfying (7). To complete the proof of (i) we observe that (7) holds if $\omega'_2(f; \delta)$ satisfies (4).

(ii) Choose $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ so that $\varphi(t) = 1$ for $1/20 \cong t \cong 2\pi - 1/20$, and $\varphi(t) = 0$ for t close to 0 and 2π , and set $h_\lambda(x) = e^{i\lambda x_1 x_2} \varphi(x_1) \varphi(x_2)$ for $x \in \mathbf{T}^2$ and $\lambda \cong 10$. Set $Q = \{x \in \mathbf{T}^2; 1/10 \cong x_1, x_2 \cong 2\pi - 1/10\}$. Fefferman [5] has proved that

$$|S_{[\lambda x_2], [\lambda x_1]} h_\lambda(x)| \cong c \log \lambda, \quad x \in Q, \tag{10}$$

where c is a positive constant. The function h_λ can be used to construct the counterexamples mentioned in the introduction. To prove (ii) we shall use a function obtained by multiplying h_λ with a character. We set $\mu_k = (m_k, n_k)$,

$$\lambda_k = \frac{1}{10} e^{(\log \min(m_k, n_k))^2}$$

and

$$g_k(x) = e^{i\mu_k \cdot x} h_{\lambda_k}(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{T}^2,$$

$k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Also set $\mu_k^{(1)} = (m_k, -n_k)$, $\mu_k^{(2)} = (-m_k, n_k)$ and $\mu_k^{(3)} = (-m_k, -n_k)$. We have

$$\sum_{|l_1 - \mu_1| \cong m, |l_2 - \mu_2| \cong n} \hat{g}_k(l) e^{il \cdot x} = e^{i\mu \cdot x} S_{mn}(e^{-i\mu \cdot x} g_k)(x), \quad \mu \in \mathbf{Z}^2, \tag{11}$$

where $\hat{g}_k(l)$ denotes the Fourier coefficients of g_k . We now take $x \in Q$, $m = [\lambda_k x_2]$, $n = [\lambda_k x_1]$ and $\mu = \mu_k$, $\mu_k^{(1)}$, $\mu_k^{(2)}$ and $\mu_k^{(3)}$ in (11) and add the corresponding four equalities. We then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_{m_k+m, n_k+n} g_k(x) + S_{m_k-m-1, n_k-n-1} g_k(x) - S_{m_k+m, n_k-n-1} g_k(x) - S_{m_k-m-1, n_k+n} g_k(x) = \\ = e^{i\mu_k \cdot x} S_{mn} h_{\lambda_k}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^3 e^{i\mu_k^{(j)} \cdot x} S_{mn}(e^{i(\mu_k - \mu_k^{(j)}) \cdot x} h_{\lambda_k})(x). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

We have

$$|\mu_k - \mu_k^{(j)}| \cong \min(m_k, n_k) = e^{(\log 10\lambda_k)^{1/\alpha}}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3,$$

and it follows from a partial integration in the integral defining Fourier coefficients that

$$|(e^{i(\mu_k - \mu_k^{(j)}) \cdot x} h_{\lambda_k})^\wedge(l)| \cong C\lambda_k e^{-(\log 10\lambda_k)^{1/\alpha}}, \quad |l_1| \cong m, \quad |l_2| \cong n.$$

Hence

$$|S_{mn}(e^{i(\mu_k - \mu_k^{(j)}) \cdot x} h_{\lambda_k})(x)| \cong C\lambda_k^3 e^{-(\log 10\lambda_k)^{1/\alpha}} \cong C, \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

From this estimate and (10) it follows that for $k > k_0$ the right hand side of (12) has absolute value larger than $c \log \lambda_k$ and hence at least one of the terms on the left hand side has absolute value larger than $c \log \lambda_k$, where c denotes positive constants. We have chosen m and n so that the indices of the partial sums on the left hand side of (12) belong to Γ_k and hence we have proved that for $x \in Q$ and $k > k_0$ there exists $q_k = q_k(x) \in \Gamma_k$ such that $|S_{q_k} g_k(x)| \cong c \log \lambda_k$, where $c > 0$.

We now choose an increasing sequence of integers $(k_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ so that $k_1 > k_0$ and

$$\|S_{mn} g_{k_j} - g_{k_j}\|_\infty \cong 2^{-i}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, i-1, \quad (m, n) \in \Gamma_{k_i}$$

and

$$\min(m_{k_i}, n_{k_i}) \cong e^{(\log \max(m_{k_{i-1}}, n_{k_{i-1}}))^{3/\alpha}}, \quad (13)$$

for $i = 2, 3, 4, \dots$. This can be done since $S_{mn} g_k$ tends to g_k uniformly for each k . We set $f = \sum_{j=1}^\infty c_j g_{k_j}$, where $c_j = (\log \lambda_{k_j})^{-1}$, and shall prove that f has the desired properties.

It is clear that $\omega'(g_k; \delta) \cong C \min(m_k, n_k) \delta$ and choosing i as the least integer such that

$$e^{(\log 10\lambda_{k_i})^{1/\alpha}} \cong 1/\delta,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \omega'(f; \delta) &\cong \sum_{j=1}^\infty c_j \omega'(g_{k_j}; \delta) \cong C \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} c_j \min(m_{k_j}, n_{k_j}) \delta + C \sum_{j=i}^\infty c_j \cong \\ &\cong C\delta \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (\log \lambda_{k_j})^{-1} e^{(\log 10\lambda_{k_j})^{1/\alpha}} + Cc_i \cong \\ &\cong C\delta (\log \lambda_{k_{i-1}})^{-1} e^{(\log 10\lambda_{k_{i-1}})^{1/\alpha}} + C(\log \lambda_{k_i})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

From the choice of i it follows that the last term on the right hand side is less than $C(\log 1/\delta)^{-\alpha}$ and it also follows that

$$e^{(\log 10\lambda_{k_i-1})^{1/\alpha}} \leq 1/\delta.$$

Using this inequality it is easy to prove that the first term can be majorized in the same way and hence $\omega'(f; \delta) \leq C(\log 1/\delta)^{-\alpha}$.

We let $x \in Q$ and $q_{k_i} = q_{k_i}(x)$ and write

$$\begin{aligned} S_{q_{k_i}} f(x) - f(x) &= c_i (S_{q_{k_i}} g_{k_i}(x) - g_{k_i}(x)) + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} c_j (S_{q_{k_i}} g_{k_j}(x) - g_{k_j}(x)) + \\ &+ \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} c_j (S_{q_{k_i}} g_{k_j}(x) - g_{k_j}(x)) = A_1 + A_2 + A_3. \end{aligned}$$

From the above estimates it follows that

$$|A_1| \leq c c_i \log \lambda_{k_i} = c, \quad c > 0,$$

$$|A_2| \leq (i-1)2^{-i},$$

and we also have

$$\begin{aligned} |A_3| &\leq \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} c_j \|S_{q_{k_i}} g_{k_j} - g_{k_j}\|_{\infty} \leq C(\log \max(m_{k_i}, n_{k_i}))^2 \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} c_j \|g_{k_j}\|_{\infty} \leq \\ &\leq C(\log \max(m_{k_i}, n_{k_i}))^2 c_{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

(13) yields

$$\log 10\lambda_{k_{i+1}} \leq (\log \max(m_{k_i}, n_{k_i}))^2,$$

and hence A_3 tends to zero as i tends to infinity. Also A_2 tends to zero and we conclude that

$$|S_{q_{k_i}} f(x) - f(x)| \leq c > 0$$

for $x \in Q$ and i large. Hence there exists a set of positive measure on which $\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty, (m, n) \in \Gamma} S_{mn} f(x)$ does not exist. The proof is complete.

References

1. BAHBUH, M., On sufficient conditions for convergence of the rectangular partial sums of double Fourier series (Russian). *Mat. Zametki*. **15** (1974), 835—838.
2. BAHBUH, M., & NIKISHIN, E. M., On the convergence of the double Fourier series of continuous functions (Russian). *Sibirsk. Mat. Ž.* **14** (1973), 1189—1199.
3. CARLESON, L., On convergence and growth of partial sums of Fourier series. *Acta Math.* **116** (1966), 135—157.
4. FEFFERMAN, C., On the convergence of multiple Fourier series. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **77** (1971), 744—745.
5. FEFFERMAN, C., On the divergence of multiple Fourier series. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **77** (1971), 191—195.
6. HUNT, R. A., On the convergence of Fourier series. Orthogonal expansions and their continuous analogues. *Proceedings of the Conference held at Southern Illinois University, Edwardsville, 1967*. SIU Press Carbondale, Illinois, 1968.
7. SJÖLIN, P., Convergence almost everywhere of certain singular integrals and multiple Fourier series. *Ark. Mat.* **9** (1971), 65—90.
8. TEVZADZE, N. R., On the convergence of the double Fourier series of quadratic summable functions (Russian). *Soobsč. Akad. Nauk Gruz. SSR* **58** (1970), 277—279.

Received February 10, 1975

Per Sjölin
Department of Mathematics
University of Stockholm
Box 6701
S-113 85 Stockholm
Sweden