

Convexity of the median in the gamma distribution

Christian Berg and Henrik L. Pedersen

Abstract. We show that the median $m(x)$ in the gamma distribution with parameter x is a strictly convex function on the positive half-line.

1. Introduction

The median, $m(x)$, of the gamma distribution with (positive) parameter x is defined implicitly by the formula

$$(1) \quad \int_0^{m(x)} e^{-t} t^{x-1} dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{x-1} dt.$$

In a recent paper (see [5]) we showed that $0 < m'(x) < 1$ for all $x > 0$. Consequently, $m(x) - x$ is a decreasing function, which for $x = 1, 2, \dots$ yields a positive answer to the Chen–Rubin conjecture, cf. [6]. Other authors have solved this conjecture in its discrete setting (see [2], [1] and [3]).

In [4] convexity of the sequence $m(n+1)$ has been established, and the natural question arises if $m(x)$ is a convex function. The main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *The median $m(x)$ defined in (1) satisfies $m''(x) > 0$. In particular it is a strictly convex function for $x > 0$.*

2. Proofs

The proof is based on some results in [5], which we briefly describe. Convexity of m is studied through the function

$$(2) \quad \varphi(x) \equiv \log \frac{x}{m(x)}, \quad x > 0.$$

Research of the second author was supported by the Carlsberg Foundation.

This function played a key role in [5], and we recall its crucial properties in the proposition below.

Proposition 2.1. *The function $x \mapsto x\varphi(x)$ is strictly decreasing for $x > 0$ and*

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x\varphi(x) &= \log 2, \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x\varphi(x) &= \frac{1}{3}.\end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.2. Proposition 2.1 is established by showing that $(x\varphi(x))' < 0$. It follows that the function $\varphi(x)$ is itself strictly decreasing and $\varphi(x) < -x\varphi'(x)$.

The starting point for proving Theorem 1.1 is the relation [5, (10)]

$$(3) \quad (x\varphi(x))' = -e^{g(x)}(A(x) + B(x)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}g(x) &= x(\varphi(x) - 1 + e^{-\varphi(x)}), \\ A(x) &= \int_0^{x\varphi(x)} e^{-s} e^{x(1-e^{-s/x})} \left(1 - \left(1 + \frac{s}{x}\right) e^{-s/x}\right) ds, \\ B(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty t e^{-xt} \xi'(t+1) dt,\end{aligned}$$

and where ξ , defined in [5, (7)], is a certain positive, increasing and concave function on $[1, \infty)$ satisfying $\xi'(t+1) < \frac{8}{135}$ for $t > 0$. To establish these properties of ξ is quite involved, and we refer to [5, Section 5] for details. Let us recall the definition of ξ . The function $f(x) = e^{-x} + x$ has an inverse function $u(t)$ for $x \in]-\infty, 0[$ and it has an inverse function $v(t)$ for $x \in]0, \infty[$. Then

$$\xi(t) \equiv u'(t) + v'(t) = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-u(t)}} + \frac{1}{1 - e^{-v(t)}}, \quad t > 1.$$

Before proving the theorem we state the following lemmas, whose proofs are given later.

Lemma 2.3. *For the function g we have*

$$\begin{aligned}g(x) &< x\varphi(x), \\ -g'(x) &< -x\varphi'(x)\varphi(x), \\ -g'(x) &< -x\varphi'(x)\end{aligned}$$

for all $x > 0$.

Lemma 2.4. *We have for $x > 0$,*

$$A(x) < \frac{x\varphi(x)^3}{6},$$

$$-A'(x) < -\frac{1}{6}\varphi(x)^3 - \frac{1}{2}x\varphi'(x)\varphi(x)^2.$$

Lemma 2.5. *We have for $x > 0$,*

$$B(x) < \frac{4}{135x^2},$$

$$-B'(x) < \frac{8}{135x^3}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. From (2) we get

$$m''(x) = -e^{-\varphi(x)}(2\varphi'(x) + x\varphi''(x) - x\varphi'(x)^2),$$

so that $m''(x) > 0$ is equivalent to the inequality

$$(x\varphi(x))'' < x\varphi'(x)^2.$$

Differentiation of (3) yields

$$(x\varphi(x))'' = e^{g(x)}(-g'(x))(A(x) + B(x)) + e^{g(x)}(-A'(x) - B'(x)).$$

By using Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} -A'(x) - B'(x) &< -\frac{1}{2}x\varphi'(x)\varphi(x)^2 + \frac{8}{135x^3} - \frac{1}{6}\varphi(x)^3 \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}x\varphi'(x)\varphi(x)^2 + \frac{\varphi(x)^2}{x} \left(\frac{8}{135(x\varphi(x))^2} - \frac{1}{6}x\varphi(x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here the expression in the brackets is positive, since $(x\varphi(x))^3 < (\log 2)^3 < \frac{48}{135}$. Therefore, and because $\varphi(x) < -x\varphi'(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned} -A'(x) - B'(x) &< \frac{1}{2}x\varphi'(x)^2 x\varphi(x) + x\varphi'(x)^2 \left(\frac{8}{135(x\varphi(x))^2} - \frac{1}{6}x\varphi(x) \right) \\ &= x\varphi'(x)^2 \left(\frac{8}{135(x\varphi(x))^2} + \frac{1}{3}x\varphi(x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We also have from Lemmas 2.3, 2.4 and 2.5,

$$\begin{aligned} -g'(x)(A(x) + B(x)) &< -x\varphi'(x)\varphi(x) \left(\frac{x\varphi(x)^3}{6} + \frac{4}{135x^2} \right) \\ &< x^2\varphi'(x)^2\varphi(x)^2 \left(\frac{x\varphi(x)}{6} + \frac{4}{135(x\varphi(x))^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Combining these inequalities, and using Lemma 2.3, yields

$$(x\varphi(x))'' < x\varphi'(x)^2 e^{x\varphi(x)} \left(x\varphi(x)^2 \left(\frac{x\varphi(x)}{6} + \frac{4}{135(x\varphi(x))^2} \right) + \frac{8}{135(x\varphi(x))^2} + \frac{1}{3}x\varphi(x) \right).$$

Supposing that $x \geq 1$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (x\varphi(x))'' &< x\varphi'(x)^2 e^{x\varphi(x)} \left((x\varphi(x))^2 \left(\frac{x\varphi(x)}{6} + \frac{4}{135(x\varphi(x))^2} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{8}{135(x\varphi(x))^2} + \frac{1}{3}x\varphi(x) \right) \\ &= x\varphi'(x)^2 e^{x\varphi(x)} \left(\frac{(x\varphi(x))^3}{6} + \frac{4}{135} + \frac{8}{135(x\varphi(x))^2} + \frac{1}{3}x\varphi(x) \right) \\ &= x\varphi'(x)^2 h_1(x\varphi(x)), \end{aligned}$$

where h_1 is given by

$$h_1(t) = e^t \left(\frac{t^3}{6} + \frac{4}{135} + \frac{8}{135t^2} + \frac{t}{3} \right).$$

One can show that h_1 attains its maximum on the interval $[\frac{1}{3}, \log 2]$ at the left end point and that $h_1(\frac{1}{3}) = (\frac{551}{810})^{\frac{1}{3}} \sqrt[3]{e} \approx 0.9494$. Therefore it follows that $(x\varphi(x))'' < x\varphi'(x)^2$ for $x \geq 1$.

For $0 < x < 1$ the estimate $-g'(x) < -x\varphi'(x)$ from Lemma 2.3 is used and in this way we get

$$(x\varphi(x))'' < x\varphi'(x)^2 h_2(x\varphi(x)),$$

where

$$h_2(t) = e^t \left(\frac{t^2}{6} + \frac{4}{135t} + \frac{8}{135t^2} + \frac{t}{3} \right).$$

Since $x < 1$ and $x\varphi(x)$ decreases we have $x\varphi(x) > \varphi(1) = -\log \log 2$. One can show that h_2 attains its maximum on the interval $[-\log \log 2, \log 2]$ for $t = -\log \log 2$ and that $h_2(-\log \log 2) \approx 0.9616$. Therefore $(x\varphi(x))'' < x\varphi'(x)^2$ for $x < 1$. \square

Remark 2.6. The function h_2 becomes larger than 1 for t close to $\frac{1}{3}$, so h_2 cannot be used to obtain the inequality $(x\varphi(x))'' < x\varphi'(x)^2$ for all $x > 0$.

Proof of Lemma 2.3. It is clear that $g(x) < x\varphi(x)$. Differentiation yields

$$\begin{aligned} -g'(x) &= -\varphi(x) + (1 - x\varphi'(x))(1 - e^{-\varphi(x)}) \\ &< -\varphi(x) + (1 - x\varphi'(x))\varphi(x) = -x\varphi'(x)\varphi(x), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $1 - e^{-a} < a$ for $a > 0$.

To find an estimate that is more accurate for x near 0 we use

$$\begin{aligned} -g'(x) &= -x\varphi'(x)(1 - e^{-\varphi(x)}) - \varphi(x) + 1 - e^{-\varphi(x)} \\ &< -x\varphi'(x) - \varphi(x) + 1 - e^{-\varphi(x)} < -x\varphi'(x). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 2.4. Using that $1 - e^{-a} < a$ and $1 - (1+a)e^{-a} < a^2/2$ for $a > 0$, we can estimate $A(x)$ by

$$A(x) < \int_0^{x\varphi(x)} e^{-s} e^{x(s/x)} \left(\frac{s^2}{2x^2} \right) ds = \frac{x\varphi(x)^3}{6}.$$

A computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} -A'(x) &= -(\varphi(x) + x\varphi'(x))e^{-x\varphi(x)}e^{x(1-e^{-\varphi(x)})}(1 - (1+\varphi(x))e^{-\varphi(x)}) \\ &\quad - \int_0^{x\varphi(x)} e^{-s} e^{x(1-e^{-s/x})} \left(1 - \left(1 + \frac{s}{x} \right) e^{-s/x} \right)^2 ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^{x\varphi(x)} e^{-s} e^{x(1-e^{-s/x})} \frac{s^2}{x^3} e^{-s/x} ds \\ &< -(\varphi(x) + x\varphi'(x)) \frac{1}{2} \varphi(x)^2 + \int_0^{x\varphi(x)} s^2 e^{-s/x} ds \frac{1}{x^3} \\ &< -\frac{1}{2} \varphi(x)^3 - \frac{1}{2} x\varphi'(x)\varphi(x)^2 + \frac{1}{3} \varphi(x)^3 \\ &= -\frac{1}{6} \varphi(x)^3 - \frac{1}{2} x\varphi'(x)\varphi(x)^2. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 2.5. These estimates follow directly from the inequality

$$\xi'(t+1) < \frac{8}{135}. \quad \square$$

References

1. ADELL, J. A. and JODRÁ, P., Sharp estimates for the median of the $\Gamma(n+1, 1)$ distribution, *Statist. Probab. Lett.* **71** (2005), 185–191.
2. ALM, S. E., Monotonicity of the difference between median and mean of gamma distributions and of a related Ramanujan sequence, *Bernoulli* **9** (2003), 351–371.
3. ALZER, H., Proof of the Chen–Rubin conjecture, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **135** (2005), 677–688.

4. ALZER, H., A convexity property of the median of the gamma distribution, *Statist. Probab. Lett.* **76** (2006), 1510–1513.
5. BERG, C. and PEDERSEN, H. L., The Chen–Rubin conjecture in a continuous setting, *Methods Appl. Anal.* **13** (2006), 63–88.
6. CHEN, J. and RUBIN, H., Bounds for the difference between median and mean of gamma and Poisson distributions, *Statist. Probab. Lett.* **4** (1986), 281–283.

Christian Berg
Department of Mathematics
University of Copenhagen
Universitetsparken 5
DK-2100 Copenhagen
Denmark
berg@math.ku.dk

Henrik L. Pedersen
Department of Natural Sciences
University of Copenhagen
Thorvaldsensvej 40
DK-1871 Frederiksberg C
Denmark
henrikp@dina.kvl.dk

Received October 5, 2006
in revised form November 2, 2006
published online February 13, 2007