

# Erratum to “The primes contain arbitrarily long polynomial progressions”

by

TERENCE TAO

*University of California, Los Angeles  
Los Angeles, CA, U.S.A.*

TAMAR ZIEGLER

*University of Michigan  
Ann Arbor, MI, U.S.A.*

The original article appeared in  
*Acta Math.*, 201 (2008), 213–305  
DOI: [10.1007/s11511-008-0032-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11511-008-0032-5)

## Erratum

We wish to issue an erratum for the paper [1]. As the paper is currently written, there is an error just before equation (73) of page 269, in which it is claimed that  $O(M^{O(1/\eta_1)})$  is negligible compared to  $\sqrt{N}$ , which is not the case due to the way  $M$  and  $\eta_1$  are constructed. However, this can be fixed by a careful tracking of the degrees of all the polynomials involved (and a corresponding modification of the polynomial forms and polynomial correlation conditions defined in Definition 3.6, page 231, and in Definition 3.9, page 232, respectively), which ends up replacing  $O(M^{O(1/\eta_1)})$  by  $O(M^{d_*}W^{O(1/\eta_1)})$ , where  $d_*$  is the largest degree of the original polynomials  $P_1, \dots, P_k$ .

More specifically, the following corrections need to be made:

- After the sentence following (5) on page 221, the following paragraph should be added: “Throughout this paper, we set  $d_*$  to be the largest degree of the polynomials  $P_1, \dots, P_k$ .” On the same page, in the bullet point defining  $\eta_0$ , replace “ $1/2d$ , where  $d$  is the largest degree of the polynomials  $P_1, \dots, P_k$ ” by “ $1/2d_*$ ”. In the third bullet point, replace “ $c\eta_1/d$ ” by “ $c\eta_1/d_*$ ”.
- In Definition 3.6 on page 231, replace “total degree at most  $1/\eta_1$ ” by “total degree at most  $d_*$ ”. In Remark 3.8, delete “degree” from “degree, dimension, number and size”, and replace “four parameters” by “three parameters”.
- In Definition 3.9, page 232, replace “degree at most  $1/\eta_1$ ” by “degree at most  $d_*$ ”.

- In Theorem 4.5 on page 238, replace “of degree  $O(1)$ ” by “of degree at most  $d_*$ ”.
- In Theorem 4.7 on page 239, replace “of degree  $O(1)$ ” by “of degree at most  $d_*$ ”.
- In Definition 5.1 on page 242, add the restriction that each of the polynomials  $R_\alpha$  has degree at most  $d_*$ .
- In Proposition 5.9 on page 244, add the conclusion that  $Q$  has degree at most  $d_*$ .
- After Definition 5.11 on page 245, add the remark that in this paper, one only needs to consider weight vectors with  $w_i=0$  for all  $i>d_*$ .
- Before the equation (73) on page 269, replace  $M^{O(1/\eta_1)}$  by  $M^{d_*}W^{O(1/\eta_1)}$  (two occurrences).

Thanks to Le Thai Hoang and Julia Wolf for reporting this issue with the paper.

### References

- [1] TAO, T. & ZIEGLER, T., The primes contain arbitrarily long polynomial progressions. *Acta Math.*, 201 (2008), 213–305.

TERENCE TAO  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California, Los Angeles  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
U.S.A.  
[tao@math.ucla.edu](mailto:tao@math.ucla.edu)

TAMAR ZIEGLER  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Michigan  
Ann Arbor, MI 48109  
U.S.A.  
[tamarz@umich.edu](mailto:tamarz@umich.edu)

*Received February 28, 2013*