

# A note on standard equivalences

Xiao-Wu Chen

## ABSTRACT

We prove that any derived equivalence between triangular algebras is standard, that is, it is isomorphic to the derived tensor functor given by a two-sided tilting complex.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $k$  be a field. We require that all categories and functors we are discussing are  $k$ -linear. Let  $A$  be a finite-dimensional  $k$ -algebra. We denote by  $A\text{-mod}$  the category of finite-dimensional left  $A$ -modules and by  $\mathbf{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$  its bounded derived category.

Let  $B$  be another finite-dimensional  $k$ -algebra. We will require that  $k$  acts centrally on any  $B$ - $A$ -bimodule. Recall that a *two-sided tilting complex* is a bounded complex  $X$  of  $B$ - $A$ -bimodules such that the derived tensor functor gives an equivalence  $X \otimes_A^{\mathbf{L}} - : \mathbf{D}^b(A\text{-mod}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(B\text{-mod})$ .

A triangle equivalence  $F: \mathbf{D}^b(A\text{-mod}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(B\text{-mod})$  is said to be *standard* if it is isomorphic, as a triangle functor, to  $X \otimes_A^{\mathbf{L}} -$  for some two-sided tilting complex  $X$ . It is an open question whether all triangle equivalences are standard; see the remarks before [7, Corollary 3.5]. We mention that the answer to this question is yes for hereditary algebras in [6, Theorem 1.8], and for algebras with ample or anti-ample canonical bundles in [5, Theorem 4.5].

The aim of this note is to answer the above question affirmatively in another special case, which contains hereditary algebras.

Recall that an algebra  $A$  is *triangular* provided that the Ext-quiver of  $A$  has no oriented cycles. There are explicit examples of algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , which are derived equivalent such that  $A$  is triangular, but  $B$  is not; the reader is referred to the top of [2, p. 21]. It makes sense to have the following notion: an algebra  $A$  is *derived-triangular* if it is derived equivalent to a triangular algebra.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $A$  be a derived-triangular algebra. Then any triangle equivalence  $F: \mathbf{D}^b(A\text{-mod}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(B\text{-mod})$  is standard.*

We observe that a derived-triangular algebra has finite global dimension. The converse is not true in general. Indeed, let  $A$  be a non-triangular algebra with two simple modules that has finite global dimension; for an example, one may take the Schur algebra  $S(2, 2)$  in characteristic two. Then  $A$  is not derived-triangular. Indeed, any triangular algebra  $B$  that is derived equivalent to  $A$  has two simple modules and thus is hereditary. This forces that the algebra  $A$  is triangular, yielding a contradiction.

We recall that a piecewise hereditary algebra is triangular. In particular, Theorem 1.1 implies that the assumption on the standardness of the autoequivalence in [4, Section 4] is superfluous.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is a rather immediate application of [1, Theorem 4.7], which characterizes certain triangle functors between the bounded homotopy categories of Orlov

---

Received 9 November 2015; revised 28 April 2016; published online 14 July 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification* 13D09, 16G10, 18E30 (primary).

The author is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 411201446 and 11522113), NCET-12-0507, and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

categories. Here, we observe that the category of projective modules over a triangular algebra is naturally an Orlov category.

We refer the reader to [8, 9] for unexplained notions in the representation theory of algebras.

2. The bounded homotopy category of an Orlov category

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $k$ -linear additive category, which is Hom-finite and has split idempotents. Here, the Hom-finiteness means that all the Hom spaces are finite-dimensional. It follows that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Krull–Schmidt category; see [3, Corollary A.2].

We denote by  $\text{Ind } \mathcal{A}$  a complete set of representatives of indecomposable objects in  $\mathcal{A}$ . The category  $\mathcal{A}$  is called *bricky* if the endomorphism algebra of each indecomposable object is a division algebra.

We slightly generalize [1, Definition 4.1]. A bricky category  $\mathcal{A}$  is called an *Orlov category* provided that there is a degree function  $\text{deg}: \text{Ind } \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  with the following property: for any indecomposable objects  $P, P'$  having  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P, P') \neq 0$ , we have that  $P \simeq P'$  or  $\text{deg}(P) > \text{deg}(P')$ . An object  $X$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  is homogeneous of degree  $n$  if it is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of indecomposables of degree  $n$ . An additive functor  $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is *homogeneous* if it sends homogeneous objects to homogeneous objects and preserves their degrees.

Let  $A$  be a finite-dimensional  $k$ -algebra. We denote by  $\{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n\}$  a complete set of representatives of simple  $A$ -modules. Denote by  $P_i$  the projective cover of  $S_i$ . We recall that the *Ext-quiver*  $Q_A$  of  $A$  is defined as follows. The vertex set of  $Q_A$  equals  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , and there is a unique arrow from  $i$  to  $j$  provided that  $\text{Ext}_A^1(S_i, S_j) \neq 0$ . The algebra  $A$  is *triangular* provided that  $Q_A$  has no oriented cycles.

Let  $A$  be a triangular algebra. We denote by  $Q_A^0$  the set of sources in  $Q_A$ . Here, a vertex is a source if there is no arrow ending at it. For each  $d \geq 1$ , we define the set  $Q_A^d$  inductively, such that a vertex  $i$  belongs to  $Q_A^d$  if and only if any arrow ending at  $i$  necessarily starts at  $\bigcup_{0 \leq m \leq d-1} Q_A^m$ . It follows that  $Q_A^0 \subseteq Q_A^1 \subseteq Q_A^2 \subseteq \dots$  and that  $\bigcup_{d \geq 0} Q_A^d = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . We mention that this construction can be found in [8, p. 42].

We denote by  $A\text{-proj}$  the category of finite-dimensional projective  $A$ -modules. Then  $\{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n\}$  is a complete set of representatives of indecomposables in  $A\text{-proj}$ . For each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we define  $\text{deg}(P_i) = d$  such that  $i \in Q_A^d$  and  $i \notin Q_A^{d-1}$ .

The following example of an Orlov category seems to be well known.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $A$  be a triangular algebra. Then  $A\text{-proj}$  is an Orlov category with the above degree function. Moreover, any equivalence  $F: A\text{-proj} \rightarrow A\text{-proj}$  is homogeneous.*

*Proof.* Since  $A$  is triangular, it is well known that  $\text{End}_A(P_i)$  is isomorphic to  $\text{End}_A(S_i)$ , which is a division algebra. Then  $A\text{-proj}$  is bricky. We recall that for  $i \neq j$  with  $\text{Hom}_A(P_i, P_j) \neq 0$ , there is a path from  $j$  to  $i$  in  $Q_A$ . From the very construction, we infer that, for an arrow  $\alpha: a \rightarrow b$  with  $b \in Q_A^d$ , we have  $a \in Q_A^{d-1}$ . Then we are done by the following consequence: if there is a path from  $j$  to  $i$  in  $Q_A$ , then  $\text{deg}(P_j) < \text{deg}(P_i)$ .

For the final statement, we observe that the equivalence  $F$  extends to an autoequivalence on  $A\text{-mod}$ , and thus induces an automorphism of  $Q_A$ . The automorphism preserves the subsets  $Q_A^d$ . Consequently, the equivalence  $F$  preserves degrees, and is homogeneous.  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $k$ -linear additive category as above. We denote by  $\mathbf{K}^b(\mathcal{A})$  the homotopy category of bounded complexes in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Here, a complex  $X$  is visualized as  $\dots \rightarrow X^{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_X^{n-1}} X^n \xrightarrow{d_X^n} X^{n+1} \rightarrow \dots$ , where the differentials satisfy  $d_X^n \circ d_X^{n-1} = 0$ . The translation functor on  $\mathbf{K}^b(\mathcal{A})$  is denoted by  $[1]$ , whose  $n$ th power is denoted by  $[n]$ .

We view an object  $A$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  as a stalk complex concentrated at degree zero, which is still denoted by  $A$ . In this way, we identify  $\mathcal{A}$  as a full subcategory of  $\mathbf{K}^b(\mathcal{A})$ .

We are interested in triangle functors on  $\mathbf{K}^b(\mathcal{A})$ . We recall that a *triangle functor*  $(F, \theta)$  consists of an additive functor  $F: \mathbf{K}^b(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^b(\mathcal{A})$  and a natural isomorphism  $\theta: [1]F \rightarrow F[1]$ , which preserves triangles. More precisely, for any triangle  $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \xrightarrow{h} X[1]$  in  $\mathbf{K}^b(\mathcal{A})$ , the sequence  $FX \rightarrow FY \rightarrow FZ \xrightarrow{\theta_X \circ F(h)} (FX)[1]$  is a triangle. We refer to  $\theta$  as the *connecting isomorphism* for  $F$ . A natural transformation between triangle functors is required to respect the two connecting isomorphisms.

For a triangle functor  $(F, \theta)$ , the connecting isomorphism  $\theta$  is *trivial* if  $[1]F = F[1]$  and  $\theta$  is the identity transformation. In this case, we suppress  $\theta$  and write  $F$  for the triangle functor.

Any additive functor  $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  gives rise to a triangle functor  $\mathbf{K}^b(F): \mathbf{K}^b(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^b(\mathcal{A})$ , which acts on complexes componentwise. The connecting isomorphism for  $\mathbf{K}^b(F)$  is trivial. Similarly, any natural transformation  $\eta: F \rightarrow F'$  extends to a natural transformation  $\mathbf{K}^b(\eta): \mathbf{K}^b(F) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^b(F')$  between triangle functors.

The following fundamental result is due to [1, Theorem 4.7].

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an Orlov category and let  $(F, \theta): \mathbf{K}^b(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^b(\mathcal{A})$  be a triangle functor such that  $F(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ . We assume further that  $F|_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is homogeneous. Let  $F_1, F_2: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be two homogeneous functors.*

(i) *Then there is a unique natural isomorphism  $(F, \theta) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^b(F|_{\mathcal{A}})$  of triangle functors, which is the identity on the full subcategory  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

(ii) *Any natural transformation  $\mathbf{K}^b(F_1) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^b(F_2)$  of triangle functors is of the form  $\mathbf{K}^b(\eta)$  for a unique natural transformation  $\eta: F_1 \rightarrow F_2$ .*

*Proof.* The existence of the natural isomorphism in (1) is due to [1, Theorem 4.7]; cf. [1, Remark 4.8]. The uniqueness follows from the commutative diagram (4.10) and Lemma 4.5(2) in [1], by induction on the support of a complex in the sense of [1, Subsection 4.1]. Here, we emphasize that the connecting isomorphism  $\theta$  is used in the construction of the natural isomorphism on stalk complexes; compare the second paragraph in [1, p. 1541].

The statement (2) follows by the same uniqueness reasoning as above. More precisely, in the notation of [1, Theorem 4.7], the extension of  $\theta^0$  therein to  $\theta$  is unique.  $\square$

Recall that  $\mathbf{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$  denotes the bounded derived category of  $A\text{-mod}$ . We identify  $A\text{-mod}$  as the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$  formed by stalk complexes concentrated at degree zero. We denote by  $H^n(X)$  the  $n$ th cohomology of a complex  $X$ .

The following observation is immediate.

**LEMMA 2.3.** *Let  $A$  be a finite-dimensional algebra and let  $F: \mathbf{D}^b(A\text{-mod}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$  be a triangle equivalence with  $F(A) \simeq A$ . Then we have  $F(A\text{-mod}) = A\text{-mod}$ , and thus the restricted equivalence  $F|_{A\text{-mod}}: A\text{-mod} \rightarrow A\text{-mod}$ .*

*Proof.* We use the canonical isomorphisms  $H^n(X) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}^b(A\text{-mod})}(A[-n], X)$ . It follows that both  $F$  and its quasi-inverse send stalk complexes to stalk complexes. Then we are done.  $\square$

We assume that we are given an equivalence  $F: A\text{-mod} \rightarrow A\text{-mod}$  with  $F(A) \simeq A$ . Then there is an algebra automorphism  $\sigma: A \rightarrow A$  such that  $F$  is isomorphic to  ${}_{\sigma}A_1 \otimes_A -$ . Here, the  $A$ -bimodule  ${}_{\sigma}A_1$  is given by the regular right  $A$ -module, where the left  $A$ -module is twisted by  $\sigma$ . This bimodule is invertible and thus viewed as a two-sided tilting complex. We refer

the reader to [7,9, Subsection 6.5] for details on two-sided tilting complexes and standard equivalences.

We now combine the above results.

**PROPOSITION 2.4.** *Let  $A$  be a triangular algebra and let  $(F, \theta): \mathbf{D}^b(A\text{-mod}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$  be a triangle equivalence with  $F(A) \simeq A$ . We recall the algebra automorphism  $\sigma$  given by the restricted equivalence  $F|_{A\text{-mod}}$ , and the  $A$ -bimodule  ${}_{\sigma}A_1$ . Then there is a natural isomorphism  $(F, \theta) \rightarrow {}_{\sigma}A_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbf{L}} -$  of triangle functors. In particular, the triangle equivalence  $(F, \theta)$  is standard.*

*Proof.* Since the algebra  $A$  is triangular, it has finite global dimension. The natural functor  $\mathbf{K}^b(A\text{-proj}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$  is a triangle equivalence. We identify these two categories. Therefore, the triangle functor  $(F, \theta): \mathbf{K}^b(A\text{-proj}) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^b(A\text{-proj})$  restricts to an equivalence  $F|_{A\text{-proj}}$ , which is isomorphic to  ${}_{\sigma}A_1 \otimes_A -$ . By Lemma 2.1, the statements in Proposition 2.2 apply in our situation. Consequently, we have an isomorphism between  $(F, \theta)$  and  $\mathbf{K}^b({}_{\sigma}A_1 \otimes_A -)$ . Here, we identify the functors  $\mathbf{K}^b({}_{\sigma}A_1 \otimes_A -)$  and  ${}_{\sigma}A_1 \otimes_A^{\mathbf{L}} -$ . Then we are done.  $\square$

### 3. The proof of Theorem 1.1

We now prove Theorem 1.1. In what follows, for simplicity, when writing a triangle functor, we suppress its connecting isomorphism.

We first assume that the algebra  $A$  is triangular. The complex  $F(A)$  is a one-sided tilting complex. By [9, Theorem 6.4.1], there is a two-sided tilting complex  $X$  of  $B$ - $A$ -bimodules with an isomorphism  $X \rightarrow F(A)$  in  $\mathbf{D}^b(B\text{-mod})$ . Denote by  $G$  a quasi-inverse of the standard equivalence  $X \otimes_A^{\mathbf{L}} -: \mathbf{D}^b(A\text{-mod}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(B\text{-mod})$ . Then the triangle functor  $GF: \mathbf{D}^b(A\text{-mod}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$  satisfies  $GF(A) \simeq A$ . Proposition 2.4 implies that  $GF$  is standard, and thus  $F$  is isomorphic to the composition of  $X \otimes_A^{\mathbf{L}} -$  and a standard equivalence. Then we are done in this case by the well-known fact that the composition of two standard equivalences is standard.

In general, let  $A$  be derived-triangular. Assume that  $A'$  is a triangular algebra that is derived equivalent to  $A$ . By [9, Proposition 6.5.5], there is a standard equivalence  $F': \mathbf{D}^b(A'\text{-mod}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(A\text{-mod})$ . The above argument implies that the composition  $FF'$  is standard. Recall from [9, Proposition 6.5.6] that a quasi-inverse  $F'^{-1}$  of  $F'$  is standard. We are done by observing that  $F$  is isomorphic to the composition  $(FF')F'^{-1}$ , a composition of two standard equivalences.

*Acknowledgements.* We thank Martin Kalck for pointing out the example in [2] and Dong Yang for the reference [5].

### References

1. P. N. ACHAR and S. RICHE, ‘Koszul duality and semisimplicity of Frobenius’, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* 63 (2013) 1511–1612.
2. G. BONBINSKI, C. GEISS and A. SKOWRONSKI, ‘Classification of derived discrete algebras’, *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* 2 (2004) 19–49.
3. X. W. CHEN, Y. YE and P. ZHANG, ‘Algebras of derived dimension zero’, *Comm. Algebra* 36 (2008) 1–10.
4. B. KELLER, ‘On triangulated orbit categories’, *Doc. Math.* 10 (2005) 551–581.
5. H. MINAMOTO, ‘Ampleness of two-sided tilting complexes’, *Inter. Math. Res. Not.* 1 (2012) 67–101.
6. J. I. MIYACHI and A. YEKUTIELI, ‘Derived Picard groups of finite-dimensional hereditary algebras’, *Compos. Math.* 129 (2001) 341–68.
7. J. RICKARD, ‘Derived equivalences as derived functors’, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 43 (1991) 37–48.
8. C. M. RINGEL, *Tame algebras and integral quadratic forms*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1099 (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Tokyo, 1984).

9. A. ZIMMERMANN, *Representation theory, a homological algebra point of view* (Springer, International Publishing, Switzerland, 2014).

*Xiao-Wu Chen*  
*Wu Wen-Tsun Key Laboratory of*  
*Mathematics*  
*Chinese Academy of Sciences*  
*School of Mathematical Sciences*  
*University of Science and Technology of*  
*China*  
*No. 96 Jinzhai Road*  
*Hefei 230026, Anhui*  
*P.R. China*

xwchen@mail.ustc.edu.cn  
<http://home.ustc.edu.cn/~xwchen>.