Entanglement Entropy in Flat Holography

Hongliang Jiang,^a Wei Song^b and Qiang Wen^b

^aDepartment of Physics, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong, P.R.China

^b Yau Mathematical Sciences Center, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084, China

E-mail: hjiangag@connect.ust.hk, wsong@math.tsinghua.edu.cn, wenqqq@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn

ABSTRACT: BMS symmetry, which is the asymptotic symmetry at null infinity of flat spacetime, is an important input for flat holography. In this paper, we give a holographic calculation of entanglement entropy and Rényi entropy in three dimensional Einstein gravity and Topologically Massive Gravity. The geometric picture for the entanglement entropy is the length of a spacelike geodesic which is connected to the interval at null infinity by two null geodesics. The spacelike geodesic is the fixed points of replica symmetry, and the null geodesics are along the modular flow. Our strategy is to first reformulate the Rindler method for calculating entanglement entropy in a general setup, and apply it for BMS invariant field theories, and finally extend the calculation to the bulk.

Contents

1	Intr	roduction	1
2	Generalized Rindler method		
	2.1	Field theory calculation of entanglement entropy	4
		2.1.1 Generalized Rindler method	4
		2.1.2 "Cardy" formula	6
	2.2	Holographic entanglement entropy	6
3	Review of BMS group and asymptotically flat spacetime		
	3.1	BMS invariant field theory	7
	3.2	BMS as asymptotic symmetry group	8
	3.3	Global Minkowski, null-orbifold and FSC	8
	3.4	Poincaré coordinates	10
	3.5	Solutions with general spatial circle	10
4	\mathbf{Ent}	anglement entropy in field theory side	11
	4.1	Rindler transformations and the modular flow in BMSFT	11
	4.2	Entanglement entropy for BMSFT	14
		4.2.1 Zero temperature BMSFT on the plane	14
		4.2.2 Finite temperature BMSFT	15
		4.2.3 Zero temperature BMSFT on the cylinder	16
5	Holographic entanglement entropy in gravity side		
	5.1	The strategy	16
	5.2	Poincaré coordinate	18
	5.3	FSC	19
	5.4	Global Minkowski	21
6	The	e geometric description for holographic entanglement entropy	22
	6.1	Three special curves	22
	6.2	The modular flow and its bulk extension	24
	6.3	The geometric picture of holographic entanglement entropy	25
7	Holographic entanglement entropy from flat limit of AdS_3		
	7.1	Holographic entanglement entropy for AdS_3 with Brown-Henneaux boundary	
		conditions	26
	7.2	Flat limit of AdS_3	29
		7.2.1 Transformation to Bondi gauge and the flat limit	29
	7.3	Holographic entanglement entropy in flat limit	30

8	Topologically massive gravity	
	8.1 Topologically massive gravity in flat space	31
	8.2 Thermal entropy formula from flat limit	32
	8.3 Thermal entropy formula from direct calculation	33
	8.4 CS contribution to holographic entanglement entropy	34
9	Rényi entropy	34
\mathbf{A}	"Cardy" formula in BMSFT revisited	37
в	B Killing vectors	
	B.1 FSC	39
	B.2 Poincaré patch	40
	B.3 Global Minkowski	40
\mathbf{C}	C Rindler transformations for BMSFT	
	C.1 Thermal BMSFT	40
	C.2 BMSFT on the cylinder	42
D	Quotient method	42

1 Introduction

Holography [1, 2], which relates a theory containing gravity in higher spacetime dimensions to a quantum field theory in lower dimensions, is believed to be a promising way to understand quantum gravity. In particular, holography for asymptotically locally AdS (AlAdS) spacetimes, the so-called AdS/CFT correspondence [3–5] is one of the most active research fields. A priori, it is not clear whether the rich conceptual achievements from AdS/CFT are contingent to AlAdS. To understand the generality, it is important to extend the great success of holography beyond the context of AdS/CFT. Progresses for non-AlAdS holography includes dS/CFT correspondence [6, 7], the Shrödinger or Lifshitz spacetime/non-relativistic field theory duality [8–11], the Kerr/CFT correspondence [12– 16], the WAdS/CFT [17] or WAdS/WCFT [18, 19] correspondence, and illuminating results toward flat holography in four dimensions [20–23] and three dimensions [24–27].

A general item in the dictionary of holography is that the asymptotic symmetry for the gravitational theory in the bulk agrees with the symmetry of the dual field theory, if the later exists. For four dimensional flat spacetime, the asymptotic symmetry group at null future (past) is the BMS[±] group, first studied by Bondi, van der Burg, Metzner and Sachs [20, 21]. In a recent resurgence, Strominger [22] pointed out that the diagonal elements of BMS⁺ × BMS⁻ \rightarrow BMS is the symmetry of the *S*-matrix. BMS group is connected to infra properties of scattering amplitude [28, 29], and memory effects [30], in a triangle [31]. See the lecture notes [32] for a review. As a simple toy model, the three dimensional

version of BMS group has also generated lots of interested. BMS_3^{\pm} on \mathcal{I}^{\pm} was discussed in [24, 33–36]. Interesting developments include connections with Virasoro algebra [34], isomorphism between BMS algebra and Galileo conformal algebra [25], representations and bootstrap [37–45]. Flat holography based on BMS₃ symmetry was proposed in [25, 26] and supporting evidence can be found in [46–48]. The antipodal identification in three dimensions was discussed in [49, 50]. See [51–56] for more discussions for this flat holography and related topics.

One useful probe of holography is the entanglement entropy, which describes the correlation structure of a quantum system. In the context of AdS/CFT correspondence, Ryu and Takayanagi [57, 58] (RT) proposed that the entanglement entropy is given by the area of a codimension-two minimal surface in the bulk, which anchored on the entangling surface of the subsystem on the boundary. A covariant version was proposed by Hubeny, Rangamani and Takayanagi (HRT) [59]. Using AdS/CFT for Einstein gravity, RT and HRT proposal have been proved by [60-64]. It is interesting to ask if the connection between spacetime structure in the bulk and entanglement in the boundary still exist beyond the context of AdS/CFT, and if so, how it works for non-AlAdS spacetimes. So far, in the literature, there are three approaches. The first approach is to start with the RT or HRT proposal, and study the implications in the holographic dual, see [65-69]. The second approach is to directly propose a prescription in the bulk, and check its consistency [70, 71]. The third approach, which we will advocated in the current paper, is to derive an analog of RT proposal using the dictionary of holography, along the lines of [60-64]. In [72, 73], holographic entanglement entropy in Warped AdS_3 spacetime was derived by generalizing the gravitational entropy [63] and Rindler method [60, 74], respectively. Interestingly, it was found that the HRT proposal indeed need to be modified, and moreover the modification depends on different choices of the boundary conditions which determines the asymptotic symmetry group. Another important lesson is that the Rindler method [60], which maps entanglement entropy to thermal entropy by symmetry transformations, can be generalized to non-AlAdS dualities.

In the context of flat holography, entanglement entropy for field theory with BMS₃ symmetry was considered in [27] using twist operators. Using the Chern-Simons formalism of 3D gravity, [75] took the Wilson line approach [76–78], and found agreement with [27]. However, a direct calculation in metric formalism is still missing. No geometric picture has been proposed and it is not clear whether RT (HRT) proposal is applicable for asymptotic flat spacetime. In this paper, we will address this question along the lines of [72, 73].

In this paper, the Rindler method is formulated in general terms, without referring to any particular example of holographic duality. We argue that the entanglement entropy for a subregion \mathcal{A} is given by the thermal entropy on \tilde{B} , if there exists a Rindler transformation from the causal development of \mathcal{A} to \tilde{B} . Moreover, under such circumstances, the modular Hamiltonian implements a geometric flow generated by the boost vector k_t . Then we apply this generalized Rindler method to holographic dualities governed by BMS symmetry, and provide a holographic calculation of entanglement entropy and Rényi entropy in Einstein gravity and Topologically massive gravity. On the field theory side, our result of entanglement entropy agrees with that of [27] obtained using twist operators [79]. On the gravity side, by extending the Rinlder method to the bulk, we provide a holographic calculation of the entanglement entropy in metric formalism and provide a geometric picture (see figure 1). We also expect a generalization in higher dimensions.

The geometric picture (figure 1) for holographic entanglement entropy in three dimensional flat spacetime involves three special curves, a spacelike geodesic γ , and two null geodesics γ_{\pm} . γ is the set of fixed points of the bulk extended modular flow k_t^{bulk} (and also fixed points of bulk extended replica symmetry), while γ_{\pm} are the orbits of the boundary end points $\partial \mathcal{A}_{1,2}$ under k_t^{bulk} . The end points of γ is connected to the boundary end points $\partial \mathcal{A}$ by γ_{\pm} . The holographic entanglement entropy for the boundary interval \mathcal{A} is given by $\frac{\text{Length}(\gamma)}{4G}$. The main difference between our picture and the RT (HRT) proposal is that the spacelike geodesic γ is not directly connected to the boundary end points $\partial \mathcal{A}$. The reason is that points on $\partial \mathcal{A}$ are the fixed points of the boundary modular flow k_t but not the fixed points of the bulk modular flow k_t^{bulk} . Our results are consistent with all previous field theory [27] and as well as a Chern-Simons calculation [75]. In this paper, explicit calculations are done in Bondi gauge at future null infinity. Similar results follows for the past null infinity. We also expect the techniques and results here can be reinterpreted in the hyperbolic slicing [50, 80–83].

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we explain the generalized Rinder method and provide a formal justification for its validity. In section 3, BMS₃ and asymptotically flat spacetimes is reviewed. In section 4, we calculate the EE in the BMS₃ invariant field theory (BMSFT) by Rindler method. Then we calculate the entanglement entropy holographically for Einstein gravity in section 5. In section 6, we give geometric picture of holographic entanglement entropy. In section 7, we calculate the HEE by taking the flat limit of AdS. In section 8 we calculate the holographic entanglement entropy in topological massive gravity. In section 9, we calculate the Rényi entropy both on the field theory side and the gravity side. In appendix A, we rederive the "Cardy formula" for BMSFT using the BMS symmetries. In appendix B, we present the Killing vectors of 3D bulk flat spacetime. In appendix C we give the details of Rindler coordinate transformations for BMSFT in finite temperature and on cylinder.

2 Generalized Rindler method

The Rindler method was developed in the context of AdS/CFT [60] with the attempt to derive the Ryu-Takayanagi formula. For spherical entangling surfaces on the CFT vacuum, the entanglement entropy can be calculated as follows. In the CFT side, certain conformal transformations map the entanglement entropy in the vacuum to the thermodynamic entropy on a Rindler or hyperbolic spacetime. In the bulk, certain coordinate transformations map vacuum AdS to black holes with a hyperbolic horizon. Using the AdS/CFT dictionary, the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy calculates the thermal entropy on the hyperbolic spacetime, and hence provides a holographic calculation of the entanglement entropy. Going back to vacuum AdS, the image of the hyperbolic horizon then becomes an extremal surface ending on the entangling surface at the boundary. Recently, the Rindler method has been generalized to holographic dualities beyond AdS/CFT. The field theory story was generalized to Warped Conformal Field Theories (WCFT) in [74], while the gravity story was generalized to Warped Anti-de Sitter spacetimes (WAdS) in [73]. The results are consistent with the WAdS/WCFT correspondence [17].

In this section, we summarized the Rindler method for holographic entanglement entropy, without referring to the details of the holographic pair. The goal is to provide a general prescription which could be potentially used in a broader context. Schematic prescriptions in the field theory side and the gravity side are as follows.

2.1 Field theory calculation of entanglement entropy

2.1.1 Generalized Rindler method

In the field theory, the key step is to find a Rindler transformation, a symmetry transformation which maps the calculation of entanglement entropy to thermal entropy. Consider a QFT on a manifold \mathcal{B} with a symmetry group G, which act both on the coordinates and on the fields. The vacuum preserve the maximal subset of the symmetry, whose generators are denoted by h_j . Consider the entanglement entropy for a subregion \mathcal{A} with a co-dimension two boundary $\partial \mathcal{A}$. Acting on positions, a Rindler transformation R is a symmetry transformation. The image of R is a manifold $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^1$, and the domain is $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{B}$, with $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{D}$, and $\partial \mathcal{A} \subset \partial \mathcal{D}$. For theories with Lorentz invariance, \mathcal{D} is just the causal development of \mathcal{A} . The image of $\partial \mathcal{D}$ should also be the boundary of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$. A Rindler transformation R is supposed to have the following features:

- 1. The transformation $\tilde{x} = f(x)$ should be in the form of a symmetry transformation.
- 2. The coordinate transformation $x \to \tilde{x}$ should be invariant under some imaginary identification of the new coordinates $\tilde{x}^i \sim \tilde{x}^i + i\tilde{\beta}^i$. Such an identification will be referred to as a "thermal" identification hereafter.
- 3. The vectors $\partial_{\tilde{x}^i}$ annihilate the vacuum. i.e.

$$\partial_{\tilde{x}^i} = \sum_j b_{ij} h_j \,, \tag{2.1}$$

where b_{ij} are arbitrary constants.

4. Let $k_t \equiv \tilde{\beta}^i \partial_{\tilde{x}^i}$, then k_t generates a translation along the thermal circle, and induce the flow $\tilde{x}^i(s) = \tilde{x}^i + \tilde{\beta}^i s$. A thermal identification can be expressed as $\tilde{x}^i \sim \tilde{x}^i(i)$. The boundary of the causal domain $\partial \mathcal{D}$ should be left invariant under the flow. In particular, k_t can only become degenerate² at the entangling surface $\partial \mathcal{A}$,

$$\tilde{\beta}^i \partial_{\tilde{x}^i}|_{\partial \mathcal{A}} = 0.$$
(2.2)

¹ Throughout this paper, we always use tilded variables to describe the $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ spacetimes and their bulk extensions after the Rindler transformation.

²For Warped conformal field theory [73], k_t keeps $\partial \mathcal{D}$ invariant, but will not degenerate anywhere for $\alpha \neq 0$.

Now we argue that the vacuum entanglement entropy on \mathcal{A} is given by the thermal entropy on $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$, if such a Rindler transformation with the above properties can be found. Property 2 defines a thermal equilibrium on $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$. Property 3 implies that the vacuum state on \mathcal{B} is mapped to a state invariant under translations of \tilde{x}^i , which is just the thermal equilibrium on $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$. The Modular Hamiltonian on $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$, denoted by $H_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}}$ then implements the geometric flow along k_t . With property 1, the symmetry transformation R acts on the operators by an unitary transformation U_R . By reversing the Rindler map, a local operator $H_{\mathcal{D}}$ on \mathcal{D} can be defined by $U_{\mathcal{D}}(s) = U_R \tilde{U}(s) U_R^{-1}$, where $\tilde{U}(s) \equiv e^{-i\tilde{H}s}$. Note that $U_{\mathcal{D}}(s)$ generates the geometric flow, and $H_{\mathcal{D}} \equiv \ln U_{\mathcal{D}}(i)$ is just the conserved charge Q_{k_t} up to an additive constant. Property 4 indicates that $U_{\mathcal{D}}(s)$ implements a symmetry transformation which keeps \mathcal{D} invariant. Then we can always decompose the Hilbert space of \mathcal{A} in terms of eigenvalues of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}$. Therefore, the modular Hamiltonian on \mathcal{D} can indeed be written as $H_{\mathcal{D}}$, which again generates the geometric flow along k_t . In particular, the density matrix are related by a unitary transformation

$$\rho_{\mathcal{A}} = U_R \, \rho_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}} \, U_R^{-1} \,. \tag{2.3}$$

Since unitary transformations does not change entropy, the entanglement entropy on \mathcal{A} is given by the thermal entropy on $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$.

More explicitly, at the thermal equilibrium, the partition function and density of matrix on $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ can be written as ³

$$Z(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}) = \operatorname{Tr} e^{-\tilde{\beta}^{i}Q_{\tilde{x}^{i}}}, \quad \rho_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}} \equiv \operatorname{Tr} e^{-\tilde{H}} = Z(\tilde{\mathcal{B}})^{-1} e^{-\tilde{\beta}^{i}Q_{\tilde{x}^{i}}}, \qquad (2.4)$$

where $Q_{\tilde{x}^i}$ are the conserved charges associated with the translation symmetries. The modular flow is now local, and is generated by $k_t \equiv \tilde{\beta}^i \partial_{\tilde{x}^i}$. The action of the flow on positions and fields are given by

$$\tilde{x}^i(s) = \tilde{x}^i + \tilde{\beta}^i s \,, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(s) = \tilde{U}(s)\tilde{\mathcal{O}}\tilde{U}(-s).$$
(2.6)

The entanglement entropy which is equivalent to thermal entropy is then given by

$$S_{\rm EE}(\mathcal{A}) = S(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}) = (1 - \tilde{\beta}^i \partial_{\tilde{\beta}^i}) Z(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}).$$
(2.7)

Réyni entropy and the Modular entropy [84] can be calculated in a similar fashion. In fact, $\tau = \sum_{i} \frac{\tilde{x}^{i}}{2\pi\beta_{i}}$ parameterizes the Rindler time. The thermal identification is just $\tau \sim \tau + 2\pi i$. The replica trick can be performed by making multiple copies of \mathcal{B} and impose the periodicity boundary condition

$$\tau \sim \tau + 2q\pi i \tag{2.8}$$

$$\phi_b(\tau + 2\pi i) = \phi_b(\tau) \tag{2.9}$$

To actually find the Rindler map, the strategy is to follow the steps below,

³If there are internal symmetries, the partition function should be modified accordingly.

- Take an arbitrary symmetry transformation, and impose the condition (2.1). This will give a system of differential equations, whose solution will depends on the constants b_{ij} .
- The temperatures can be read off from the transformations, and will be determined by certain combinations of b_{ij} .
- Further solving condition (2.2) will relate the b_{ij} to position and size of the entangling surface ∂A .

2.1.2 "Cardy" formula

In conformal field theory, S-transformation is used to estimate the resulting thermal entropy, leading to an analog of the Cardy formula [85, 86]. A successful generalization has been applied to WCFT in [18, 74], and BMSFT in [46, 47]. More generally, S-transformation can be realized as a coordinate transformation compatible with the symmetry, which effectively switches the spatial circle and thermal circle. In some region of parameters, the partition function is dominated by the vacuum contribution, and the entropy can hence be estimated.

For our purpose in BMSFT, we revisit the Cary-like formula in appendix A and obtain the approximated entropy formula for BMSFT on arbitrary torus. Our derivation is based on the BMS symmetries only, without resorting to flat limit of CFT.

2.2 Holographic entanglement entropy

The gravity story is the extension of the field theory story using holography. There are two possible routes.

- The first route is to find the classical solution in the bulk which is dual to thermal states on $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$. This can be obtained by extending the boundary coordinate transformation $\tilde{x} = f(x)$ to the bulk, by performing a quotient. More detailed discussion can be found in [73].
- The second route is to extend replica symmetry to the bulk along the lines of [63, 64]. The field theory generator k_t has a bulk extension k_t^{bulk} via the holographic dictionary. Since ∂A is the fixed point of k_t , we expect a special bulk surface γ satisfying

$$k_t^{\text{bulk}}|_{\gamma} = 0, \qquad (2.10)$$

Such a bulk surface will be the analog of RT(HRT) surface. However, if $k_t^{\text{bulk}}|_{\partial A} \neq 0$, the homologous condition can not be imposed directly. As we will see later, γ is connected to ∂A by two null geodesics γ_{\pm} , which are along the bulk modular flow k_t^{bulk} . We will discuss a local version of this approach in a future work [87].

3 Review of BMS group and asymptotically flat spacetime

3.1 BMS invariant field theory

In this subsection, we review a few properties of two dimensional field theory with BMS_3 symmetry (BMSFT). On the plane [88], BMS symmetries are generated by the following vectors

$$L_n = -z^{n+1}\partial_z - (n+1)z^n w \partial_w, \qquad (3.1)$$

$$M_n = z^{n+1} \partial_w \,. \tag{3.2}$$

The finite BMS transformations can be written as [47]

$$\tilde{z} = f(z), \qquad (3.3a)$$

$$\tilde{w} = f'(z)w + g(z). \tag{3.3b}$$

Let J(z) denote the current associated to the reparameterization of z, and let P(z) denotes the current associated to the z-dependent shift of w. We can define charges ⁴ [47]

$$\mathcal{L}_{n} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1} dz z^{n+1} J(z) , \qquad (3.4)$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{n} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1} dz z^{n+1} P(z) \,. \tag{3.5}$$

The conserved charges satisfy the central extended algebra

$$[\mathcal{L}_n, \mathcal{L}_m] = (n-m)\mathcal{L}_{n+m} + \frac{c_{\rm L}}{12}(n^3 - n)\delta_{m+n,0}, \qquad (3.6a)$$

$$[\mathcal{L}_n, \mathcal{M}_m] = (n-m)\mathcal{M}_{n+m} + \frac{c_{\rm M}}{12}(n^3 - n)\delta_{m+n,0}, \qquad (3.6b)$$

$$\left[\mathcal{M}_n, \mathcal{M}_m\right] = 0\,,\tag{3.6c}$$

where $c_{\rm L}, c_{\rm M}$ are the central charges. Under the transformation (3.3), the currents transform as

$$\tilde{P}(\tilde{z}) = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}\right)^{-2} \left(P(z) - \frac{c_{\rm M}}{12}\{f, z\}\right),\tag{3.7}$$

$$\tilde{J}(\tilde{z}) = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}\right)^{-2} \left(J(z) - \frac{c_{\rm L}}{12} \{f, z\}\right) + \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial z}\right)^{-2} \left(P(z) - \frac{c_{\rm M}}{12} \{g, z\}\right),\tag{3.8}$$

where the Schwarzian derivative is

$$\{F, z\} = \frac{F'''(z)}{F'(z)} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{F''(z)}{F'(z)}\right)^2.$$
(3.9)

In particular, the transformation below maps a plane to a cylinder,

$$z = e^{i\phi}, \qquad w = ie^{i\phi}u, \qquad (3.10)$$

⁴We believe the analytic continuations of z, w to complex numbers are inessential.

The currents transform as

$$J^{\text{Cyl}}(\phi) = -z^2 J(z) + \frac{c_{\text{L}}}{24}, \qquad P^{\text{Cyl}}(\phi) = -z^2 P(z) + \frac{c_{\text{M}}}{24}, \qquad (3.11)$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{n}^{\text{Cyl}} = \mathcal{L}_{n} - \frac{c_{\text{L}}}{24} \delta_{n,0}, \qquad \mathcal{M}_{n}^{\text{Cyl}} = \mathcal{M}_{n} - \frac{c_{\text{M}}}{24} \delta_{n,0}, \qquad (3.12)$$

where the generators on the cylinder are defined as

$$\mathcal{L}_{n}^{\text{Cyl}} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\phi e^{in\phi} J^{\text{Cyl}}(z) , \qquad (3.13)$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{n}^{\text{Cyl}} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\phi e^{in\phi} P^{\text{Cyl}}(z) \,. \tag{3.14}$$

3.2 BMS as asymptotic symmetry group

Minkowski spacetime is the playground of modern quantum field where the Poincaré symmetry gives very stringent constraint on the properties of particles. The Poincaré algebra includes translation and Lorentz transformation, while the Lorentz transformations further consist of spatial rotation and boost. At the null infinity of flat spacetime, the finite dimensional Poincaré isometry group is enhanced to infinitely dimensional asymptotic symmetry group, called BMS group [20, 21].

In three spacetime dimensions, the topology of the boundary at null infinity is $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ where \mathbb{R} is the null direction. Under proper boundary conditions [24, 34], the general solution to Einstein equation in the Bondi gauge is

$$ds^{2} = \Theta(\phi)du^{2} - 2dudr + 2\left[\Xi(\phi) + \frac{u}{2}\partial_{\phi}\Theta(\phi)\right]dud\phi + r^{2}d\phi^{2}, \qquad (3.15)$$

where the null infinity is at $r \to \infty$. The asymptotic symmetry group is the three dimensional BMS group, whose algebra is given in the previous subsection.

BMS₃ group is generated by the super-translation and super-rotation. The supertranslation can be thought as the translation along the null direction which may vary from one point to another in S^1 , while the super-rotation is the diffeomorphism of S^1 . Furthermore, the corresponding conserved charges generates BMS₃ group on the phase space. The infinitely dimensional algebra now has central extensions, which also coincide with (3.6). For Einstein gravity, $c_{\rm L} = 0$, $c_{\rm M} = 3/G$ [34].

3.3 Global Minkowski, null-orbifold and FSC

The zero mode solutions in (3.15), describing some classical background of spacetime, are of particular interest. With the standard parameterization of the S^1

$$\phi \sim \phi + 2\pi \,, \tag{3.16}$$

general classical solutions of Einstein gravity without cosmology constant takes the following form [24]

$$ds^{2} = 8GMdu^{2} - 2dudr + 8GJdud\phi + r^{2}d\phi^{2}$$

$$\rightarrow Mdu^{2} - 2dudr + Jdud\phi + r^{2}d\phi^{2}.$$
(3.17)

where in the second line we have used the convention 8G = 1 which will be adopted throughout the paper. We will spell it out whenever it is necessary to restore G.

Via holography, the identification (3.16) specifies a canonical spatial circle where the BMSFT is defined on. These classical flat-space backgrounds (3.17) can be classified into three types:

- M = -1, J = 0: Global Minkowski. The solution (3.17) (3.16) cover the full three dimensional Minkowski. The holographic dual is the BMSFT defined on the cylinder.
- M = J = 0: Null-orbifold. It was first constructed in string theory [89]. They are supposed to play the role of zero temperature BTZ, being the holographic dual of BMSFT on a torus with zero-temperature and a fixed spatial circle.
- M > 0: Flat Space Cosmological solution (FSC). This was previously studied in string theory as the shifted-boost orbifold of Minkowski spacetimes [90, 91]. Their boundary dual is the thermal BMSFT at finite temperature.

The flat-space metric (3.17) can also be written in the ADM form⁵

$$ds^{2} = -\left(\frac{J^{2}}{4r^{2}} - M\right)dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{\left(\frac{J^{2}}{4r^{2}} - M\right)} + r^{2}\left(d\varphi + \frac{J}{2r^{2}}dt\right)^{2}.$$
(3.19)

This indicates that the flat-space (3.17) admit a Cauchy horizon [37] at

$$r_H = |r_c|, \qquad r_c \equiv \frac{J}{2\sqrt{M}}.$$
 (3.20)

The thermal circle of (3.17) is given by

thermal circle:
$$(u, \phi) \sim (u + i\beta_u, \phi - i\beta_\phi),$$
 (3.21)

with

$$\beta_u = \frac{\pi J}{M^{3/2}}, \quad \beta_\phi = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{M}}.$$
 (3.22)

The thermal entropy of the horizon is given by the Bekenstein-Hawking formula. Meanwhile, this thermal entropy also can be obtained from the dual field theory by considering a Cary-like counting of states. The equality of thermal entropy between bulk gravity and boundary field was shown in [46, 47]

$$S_{\rm FSC} = S_{\rm BMSFT} = \frac{2\pi r_c}{4G} \,. \tag{3.23}$$

$$t = u - \frac{r}{M} + \frac{r_c}{2M} \log \frac{r - r_c}{r + r_c}, \qquad \varphi = \phi - \frac{r_c}{2\sqrt{M}} \log \frac{r - r_c}{r + r_c}, \qquad r_c = \frac{J}{2\sqrt{M}}.$$
 (3.18)

⁵The coordinates are related by

The flat-space metric (3.17) with M > 0 can also be brought into the Cartesian coordinate locally⁶

$$r = \sqrt{M(t^2 - x^2) + r_c^2}, \qquad (3.24a)$$

$$\phi = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \log \frac{\sqrt{M(t-x)}}{r+r_c}, \qquad (3.24b)$$

$$u = \frac{1}{M} \left(r - \sqrt{M}y - \sqrt{M}r_c \phi \right), \qquad (3.24c)$$

satisfying $ds^2 = -dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2$.

3.4 Poincaré coordinates

If we decompactify the angular direction ϕ , the boundary theory will be put on the plane instead of cylinder. In particular, the resulting spacetime with M = J = 0 is more like a flat version of AdS in Poincaré patch. The coordinate transformation from the Poincaré coordinate and the Cartesian coordinate is

$$t = \frac{l_{\phi}}{4}r + \frac{2}{l_{\phi}}\left(u + \frac{r\phi^2}{2}\right), \qquad (3.25)$$

$$x = \left(\frac{l_u}{l_\phi} + r\phi\right),\tag{3.26}$$

$$y = \frac{l_{\phi}}{4}r - \frac{2}{l_{\phi}}\left(u + \frac{r\phi^2}{2}\right).$$
(3.27)

It is easy to check that

$$ds^2 = -2dudr + r^2 d\phi^2 \,, \tag{3.28}$$

$$= -dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2. ag{3.29}$$

the patch with $r \ge 0$, $u \in (-\infty, \infty)$, $\phi \in (-\infty, \infty)$ covers the region $t + y \ge 0$.

The inverse transformation from Cartesian coordinate to the Poincaré to is

$$r = \frac{2(t+y)}{l_{\phi}}, \quad u = \frac{-(l_u - l_{\phi}x)^2 + l_{\phi}^2(t^2 - y^2)}{4l_{\phi}(t+y)}, \quad \phi = \frac{-l_u + l_{\phi}x}{2(t+y)}.$$
 (3.30)

As $r \to 0$, both u and ϕ will diverge except when $x \to \frac{l_u}{l_{\phi}}$.

3.5 Solutions with general spatial circle

More generally, we can consider solutions locally with the same metric as (3.17), but with a spatial circle different from (3.16). More precisely,

$$ds^2 = \tilde{M}d\tilde{u}^2 - 2d\tilde{u}d\tilde{r} + \tilde{J}d\tilde{u}d\tilde{\phi} + r^2d\tilde{\phi}^2, \qquad (3.31)$$

$$(\tilde{u}, \tilde{\phi}) \sim (\tilde{u} + \Delta \tilde{u}, \tilde{\phi} + \Delta \tilde{\phi}).$$
 (3.32)

⁶We hope that the same symbol "t" with different meanings in (3.18) and (3.24) will not cause any confusions.

When we study the bulk extension of the Rindler transformations in section 5, we will encounter the bulk extensions of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$, which are usually this kind of spacetime. Hereafter we will refer to (3.31) as $\widetilde{\text{FSC}}$. The proper length on Cauchy horizon is $ds^2 = \left(\sqrt{\tilde{M}}d\tilde{u} + \tilde{r}_c d\tilde{\phi}\right)^2$. Integration along this proper length will give the length of the horizon, and the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy

$$S_{\rm BH} = \frac{\ell_{\rm horizon}}{4G} = \frac{\sqrt{\tilde{M}\Delta\tilde{u} + r_c\Delta\tilde{\phi}}}{4G}, \qquad (3.33)$$

where $\Delta \tilde{u}$ and $\Delta \tilde{\phi}$ represent the extension of the spacetime along the \tilde{u} and $\tilde{\phi}$ direction.

4 Entanglement entropy in field theory side

In this section we apply the generalized Rindler method to a general BMS field theory with arbitrary $c_{\rm L}$ and $c_{\rm M}$. As we will show below, our results in this section agree with the previous calculation using twist operators [27].

4.1 Rindler transformations and the modular flow in BMSFT

In this section, following the guidelines we give in section 2.1.1, we derive the most general Rindler transformations in BMSFT. According to property 1 in subsection 2.1.1, the Rindler transformations should be a symmetry of the field theory, thus for BMSFT it should be the following BMS transformations [47]

$$\tilde{\phi} = f(\phi), \tag{4.1}$$

$$\tilde{u} = \partial f(\phi)u + g(\phi), \qquad (4.2)$$

where we use ∂ to denote derivative with respect to ϕ . Furthermore, this indicates the theory after Rindler transformation is also a BMS invariant field theory, which we call BMSFT. The inverse transformation can be written as

$$\phi = \tilde{f}(\tilde{\phi}), \tag{4.3}$$

$$u = \tilde{\partial}\tilde{f}(\tilde{\phi})\tilde{u} + \tilde{g}(\tilde{\phi}), \qquad (4.4)$$

where

$$\tilde{\partial}\tilde{f}\partial f = 1, \quad \tilde{g} = -g\tilde{\partial}\tilde{f},$$
(4.5)

and $\tilde{\partial}$ denotes derivative with respect to $\tilde{\phi}$. The property 4 of the Rindler transformation indicates that the vectors $\partial_{\tilde{\phi}}$ and $\partial_{\tilde{u}}$ have to be linear combinations of the global BMS₃ generators. The conditions for $\partial_{\tilde{\phi}}$ is

$$\partial_{\tilde{\phi}} = \tilde{\partial}\tilde{f}\,\partial_{\phi} + \tilde{\partial}^{2}\tilde{f}\tilde{u}\,\partial_{u} + \tilde{\partial}\tilde{g}\,\partial_{u}$$

$$= \tilde{\partial}\tilde{f}\,\partial_{\phi} + \partial_{\phi}\left(\tilde{\partial}\tilde{f}\right)u\,\partial_{u} + \left(-\partial_{\phi}\left(\tilde{\partial}\tilde{f}\right)\tilde{g} + \tilde{\partial}\tilde{g}\right)\partial_{u}$$

$$= \sum_{n=-1}^{1} (b_{n}L_{n} + d_{n}M_{n}).$$

$$(4.7)$$

which implies that the condition for $\partial_{\tilde{u}}$ is automatically satisfied, as

$$\partial_{\tilde{u}} = \tilde{\partial}\tilde{f}(\tilde{\phi})\partial_u = -\sum_{n=-1}^{1} b_n M_n \,. \tag{4.8}$$

Note the BMS generators have the following general form (see [47] or consider the u, ϕ components of (B.1) in the limit of $r \to \infty$)

$$\sum_{n=-1}^{1} (b_n L_n + d_n M_n) = (u\partial_\phi Y + T)\partial_u + Y\partial_\phi, \qquad (4.9)$$

then we can get two differential equations

$$\tilde{\partial}\tilde{f}(\tilde{\phi}) = Y(\phi), \qquad (4.10)$$

$$-\partial_{\phi}\left(\tilde{\partial}\tilde{f}\right)\tilde{g} + \tilde{\partial}\tilde{g} = T(\phi).$$
(4.11)

It is interesting to note

$$\partial_{\phi} \left(\frac{\tilde{g}}{\tilde{\partial}\tilde{f}} \right) = \frac{-\partial_{\phi} \left(\tilde{\partial}\tilde{f} \right) \tilde{g} + \partial_{\phi}\tilde{g} \cdot \tilde{\partial}\tilde{f}}{(\tilde{\partial}\tilde{f})^2} = \frac{-\partial_{\phi} \left(\tilde{\partial}\tilde{f} \right) \tilde{g} + \tilde{\partial}\tilde{g}}{(\tilde{\partial}\tilde{f})^2} = \frac{T}{Y^2} \,. \tag{4.12}$$

Furthermore by noting the relation in (4.5), the previous differential equations can be simplified as

$$\partial_{\phi}f = \frac{1}{Y}, \qquad (4.13)$$

$$\partial_{\phi}g = -\frac{T}{Y^2}. \tag{4.14}$$

Rindler transformation on the plane

Now we consider the BMSFT on the plane with zero temperature. In this case the symmetry generators of BMS_3 are

$$L_n = -u(n+1)\phi^n \partial_u - \phi^{n+1} \partial_\phi , \qquad (4.15)$$

$$M_n = \phi^{n+1} \partial_u \,, \tag{4.16}$$

where the n = -1, 0, 1 part form a subalgebra, and generate the global symmetries. By matching the general form of Killing vectors in (4.9), one can easily see that

$$Y = -b_{-1} - b_0 \phi - b_1 \phi^2, \qquad T = d_{-1} + d_0 \phi + d_1 \phi^2.$$
(4.17)

Substituting them into (4.13), one gets

$$f = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-b_0^2 + 4b_1b_{-1}}} \arctan\left(\frac{b_0 + 2b_1\phi}{\sqrt{-b_0^2 + 4b_1b_{-1}}}\right) + c_1.$$
(4.18)

Note that c_1 and b_0 shift the origin of ϕ or $\tilde{\phi}$, and therefore we can set $c_1 = b_0 = 0$ without losing generality. Taking

$$b_1 = \frac{2\pi}{\tilde{\beta}_{\phi} l_{\phi}}, \qquad b_{-1} = -\frac{\pi l_{\phi}}{2\tilde{\beta}_{\phi}}, \qquad (4.19)$$

we get

$$f(\phi) = \frac{\tilde{\beta}_{\phi}}{\pi} \operatorname{arctanh}\left(\frac{2\phi}{l_{\phi}}\right).$$
(4.20)

Plugging (4.17) and $b_{\pm 1,0}$ into (4.14), we get

$$g = \frac{-4d_{-1} + d_1 l_{\phi}^2}{4\pi^2 l_{\phi}} \tilde{\beta}_{\phi}^2 \operatorname{arctanh}\left(\frac{2\phi}{l_{\phi}}\right) - \frac{\tilde{\beta}_{\phi}^2 (4d_{-1}\phi + l_{\phi}^2 (d_0 + d_1\phi^2))}{2\pi^2 (l_{\phi}^2 - 4\phi^2)} + c_2 \,. \tag{4.21}$$

Taking

$$d_1 = \frac{2\pi l_u}{\tilde{\beta}_{\phi} l_{\phi}^2} - \frac{2\pi \tilde{\beta}_u}{l_{\phi} \tilde{\beta}_{\phi}^2}, \qquad d_{-1} = \frac{\pi l_u}{2\tilde{\beta}_{\phi}} + \frac{\pi l_{\phi} \tilde{\beta}_u}{2\tilde{\beta}_{\phi}^2}, \tag{4.22}$$

then,

$$\tilde{u} = \frac{2\tilde{\beta}_{\phi}(ul_{\phi} - l_{u}\phi)}{\pi(l_{\phi}^{2} - 4\phi^{2})} - \frac{d_{0}\tilde{\beta}_{\phi}^{2}l_{\phi}^{2}}{2\pi^{2}(l_{\phi}^{2} - 4\phi^{2})} - \frac{\tilde{\beta}_{u}}{\tilde{\beta}_{\phi}}\tilde{\phi} + c_{2}.$$
(4.23)

The two parameters d_0 and c_2 can be absorbed by a shift of \tilde{u} and u. Finally, up to some trivial shifts, we get the most general coordinate transformations

$$\tilde{\phi} = \frac{\tilde{\beta}_{\phi}}{\pi} \tanh^{-1} \left(\frac{2\phi}{l_{\phi}}\right) \,, \tag{4.24a}$$

$$\tilde{u} + \frac{\tilde{\beta}_u}{\tilde{\beta}_\phi} \tilde{\phi} = \frac{2\tilde{\beta}_\phi (ul_\phi - l_u\phi)}{\pi (l_\phi^2 - 4\phi^2)} \,. \tag{4.24b}$$

The above Rindler transformation satisfies the property 2 as it induces a thermal circle

thermal circle: $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{\phi}) \sim (\tilde{u} + i\tilde{\beta}_u, \tilde{\phi} - i\tilde{\beta}_\phi).$ (4.25)

Note that the subregion \mathcal{D} of \mathcal{B} which maps to $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is a strip bounded by $\phi = -l_{\phi}/2$ and $\phi = l_{\phi}/2$.

Rewritten in the original coordinate system, we get

$$\partial_{\tilde{\phi}} = \frac{\pi}{2\tilde{\beta}_{\phi}l_{\phi}} \left((l_{\phi}^2 - 4\phi^2)\partial_{\phi} + (l_u l_{\phi} + 4\frac{l_u}{l_{\phi}}\phi^2 - 8u\phi + \frac{\tilde{\beta}_u}{\tilde{\beta}_{\phi}}l_{\phi}^2 - 4\frac{\tilde{\beta}_u}{\tilde{\beta}_{\phi}}\phi^2)\partial_u \right) , \quad (4.26)$$

$$\partial_{\tilde{u}} = \frac{\pi}{2\tilde{\beta}_{\phi}l_{\phi}} \left(l_{\phi}^2 - 4\phi^2\right) \partial_u \,. \tag{4.27}$$

Thus, the generator of modular flow is

$$k_t = -\tilde{\beta}_{\phi}(\partial_{\tilde{\phi}} - \frac{\tilde{\beta}_u}{\tilde{\beta}_{\phi}}\partial_{\tilde{u}}) = -\sum_{n=-1}^1 \tilde{\beta}_{\phi}(b_n L_n + (d_n + \frac{\tilde{\beta}_u}{\tilde{\beta}_{\phi}}b_n)M_n), \qquad (4.28)$$

$$= -\frac{\pi}{2l_{\phi}} \left((l_{\phi}^2 - 4\phi^2)\partial_{\phi} + (l_u l_{\phi} + 4\frac{l_u}{l_{\phi}}\phi^2 - 8u\phi)\partial_u \right).$$
(4.29)

It is easy to verify that at the points $(\pm l_u/2, \pm l_{\phi}/2)$, $k_t = 0$. Following our prescription (2.2), this implies that $(\pm l_u/2, \pm l_{\phi}/2)$ is that endpoints of the interval. Thus, we can naturally interpret l_u, l_{ϕ} as the extension of the interval along u and ϕ direction.

The global BMS₃ generators L_n, M_n have bulk extensions, which are just the Killing vectors (B.11) of the flat-space (3.17) in Poincaré patch. Substitute (B.11) into (4.7) and (4.8), the modular generator (4.28) can also be extended to the bulk and is given by

$$k_t^{\text{bulk}} = -\frac{\pi}{2l_{\phi}} \left((l_{\phi}^2 - 4\phi^2 + \frac{8(l_{\phi}u - l_u\phi)}{l_{\phi}r})\partial_{\phi} + (l_u l_{\phi} + 4\frac{l_u}{l_{\phi}}\phi^2 - 8u\phi)\partial_u + (\frac{8l_u}{l_{\phi}} + 8r\phi)\partial_r \right).$$
(4.30)

Following the similar analysis, we give the construction of Rindler transformations in BMSFT with a thermal or spatial circle in Appendix C. We also calculate the modular flow and its bulk extension in these two cases.

4.2 Entanglement entropy for BMSFT

In this subsection we consider zero temperature BMSFT on the plane, finite temperature BMSFT, and BMSFT on a cylinder respectively, and calculate the entanglement entropy of the following interval in these BMSFTs,

$$\mathcal{A}: \qquad (-l_u/2, -l_\phi/2) \to (l_u/2, l_\phi/2), \qquad (4.31)$$

where the arrow means a line connecting the two endpoints. After the Rindler transformation, the entanglement entropy equals to the thermal entropy of the BMSFT on $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$, which can be calculated via a Cardy-like formula. Since the extension of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is essentially infinite, we need to introduce the cutoffs to regulate the interval

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{reg}}: \qquad (-l_u/2 + \epsilon_u, -l_\phi/2 + \epsilon_\phi) \to (l_u/2 - \epsilon_u, l_\phi/2 - \epsilon_\phi). \tag{4.32}$$

In [46, 47], the Cardy-like formula for BMSFT is derived from the modular invariance of the theory, which is inherited from the modular invariance of CFT_2 under flat limit. In appendix A we re-derive the Cardy-like formula using the BMS symmetry only.

The manifold $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$ can be considered as a torus with the following identifications

$$(\tilde{u}, \tilde{\phi}) \sim (\tilde{u} + i\bar{a}, \tilde{\phi} - ia) \sim (\tilde{u} + 2\pi\bar{b}, \tilde{\phi} - 2\pi b), \qquad (4.33)$$

where (a, \bar{a}) parametrize a thermal circle and (b, \bar{b}) parametrize a spatial circle. We find that (see appendix A), under some regime (A.12), the thermal entropy can be calculated by

$$S_{\bar{b}|b}(\bar{a}|a) = -\frac{\pi^2}{3} \left(c_{\rm L} \frac{b}{a} + c_{\rm M} \frac{(\bar{a}b - a\bar{b})}{a^2} \right).$$
(4.34)

4.2.1 Zero temperature BMSFT on the plane

After performing the Rindler transformation (4.24), the image of this regularized interval \mathcal{A}_{reg} is

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{reg}}: -\left(\frac{\Delta \tilde{u}}{2}, \frac{\Delta \tilde{\phi}}{2}\right) \to \left(\frac{\Delta \tilde{u}}{2}, \frac{\Delta \tilde{\phi}}{2}\right), \qquad (4.35)$$
$$\Delta \tilde{\phi} = \frac{\tilde{\beta}_{\phi}}{\pi} \log \frac{l_{\phi}}{\epsilon_{\phi}}, \quad \Delta \tilde{u} = \frac{\tilde{\beta}_{\phi} l_{u}}{\pi l_{\phi}} - \frac{\tilde{\beta}_{\phi} \epsilon_{u}}{\pi \epsilon_{\phi}} - \frac{\tilde{\beta}_{u}}{\pi} \log \frac{l_{\phi}}{\epsilon_{\phi}},$$

where we have neglected irrelevant terms $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon_u, \epsilon_{\phi})$ and terms $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon_u^2)/\epsilon_{\phi}$, but keep terms of order $\frac{\epsilon_u}{\epsilon_{\phi}}$. The endpoint effects are expected to be negligible for this large interval, thus we can identify the endpoints to form a spatial circle. The same story happens in the later two cases. Together with the thermal circle induced by the Rindler transformation (4.24), $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$ can be considered as a torus parametrized by

$$a = \tilde{\beta}_{\phi} , \qquad \bar{a} = \tilde{\beta}_u , \qquad (4.36)$$

$$2\pi b = -\Delta \tilde{\phi} , \qquad 2\pi \bar{b} = \Delta \tilde{u} .$$
 (4.37)

The canonical torus parameters defined by (A.5) are

$$\hat{\beta}_{\phi} = -\frac{2\pi^2}{\log\frac{l_{\phi}}{\epsilon_{\phi}}}, \qquad \hat{\beta}_u = -\frac{\beta_{\phi}^2}{2\pi^2} \left(\frac{l_u}{l_{\phi}} - \frac{\epsilon_u}{\epsilon_{\phi}}\right).$$
(4.38)

thus satisfy the regime (A.12) for (4.34).

Substituting these quantities into the entropy formula (4.34) derived before, we can obtain the entropy

$$S_{\rm EE} = \frac{c_{\rm L}}{6} \log \frac{l_{\phi}}{\epsilon_{\phi}} + \frac{c_{\rm M}}{6} \left(\frac{l_u}{l_{\phi}} - \frac{\epsilon_u}{\epsilon_{\phi}} \right).$$
(4.39)

This agrees with the result in literature [27] when we set $\epsilon_u = 0$. In general, we would like to keep the cutoff related terms. It is interesting to note that $c_{\rm L}$ term is the same as that in CFT. This is not surprising since the \mathcal{L}_n generators satisfy the chiral part of the Virasoro algebra.

4.2.2Finite temperature BMSFT

The temperature of the quantum field theory is dictated by the periodicity along the imaginary axis of time, namely $u \sim u + i\beta$. More generally, we can have the following thermal identification

$$(u,\phi) \sim (u+i\beta_u,\phi-i\beta_\phi). \tag{4.40}$$

The Rindler transformation for thermal BMSFT is given in (C.8), which in particular respects the above thermal circle. Following the similar steps, we find the torus $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$ is parametrized by

$$a = \tilde{\beta}_{\phi} , \qquad \bar{a} = \tilde{\beta}_u , \qquad (4.41)$$

$$2\pi b = -\frac{\tilde{\beta}_{\phi}}{\pi}\zeta , \qquad 2\pi \bar{b} = -\frac{\tilde{\beta}_{u}}{\pi}\zeta + \frac{\tilde{\beta}_{\phi}}{\pi\beta_{\phi}} \Big[\pi \Big(l_{u} + \frac{\beta_{u}}{\beta_{\phi}}l_{\phi}\Big) \coth\frac{\pi l_{\phi}}{\beta_{\phi}} - \beta_{u}\Big], \qquad (4.42)$$

where $\zeta = \log \left(\frac{\beta_{\phi}}{\pi \epsilon_{\phi}} \sinh \frac{\pi l_{\phi}}{\beta_{\phi}} \right)$. One can check that the canonical torus parameters (A.5) also satisfy the regime (A.12), thus the EE can be obtained from (4.34)

$$S_{\rm EE} = \frac{c_{\rm L}}{6} \log\left(\frac{\beta_{\phi}}{\pi\epsilon_{\phi}} \sinh\frac{\pi l_{\phi}}{\beta_{\phi}}\right) + \frac{c_{\rm M}}{6} \frac{1}{\beta_{\phi}} \left[\pi \left(l_u + \frac{\beta_u}{\beta_{\phi}}l_{\phi}\right) \coth\left(\frac{\pi l_{\phi}}{\beta_{\phi}}\right) - \beta_u\right] - \frac{c_{\rm M}}{6} \frac{\epsilon_u}{\epsilon_{\phi}}, \quad (4.43)$$

coinciding with the result in [75].

4.2.3 Zero temperature BMSFT on the cylinder

Consider the cylinder with periodicity $\phi \sim \phi + 2\pi$, we should apply the Rindler transformation (C.9). Similarly we find $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ can be considered as a torus parametrized by

$$a = \tilde{\beta}_{\phi} , \qquad \bar{a} = \tilde{\beta}_u , \qquad (4.44)$$

$$2\pi b = -\frac{\hat{\beta}_{\phi}}{\pi}\zeta , \qquad 2\pi \bar{b} = -\frac{\hat{\beta}_{u}}{\pi}\zeta + \frac{\hat{\beta}_{\phi}l_{u}\cot(l_{\phi}/2)}{2\pi} - \frac{\hat{\beta}_{\phi}\epsilon_{u}}{\pi\epsilon_{\phi}}, \qquad (4.45)$$

where $\zeta = \log\left(\frac{2}{\epsilon_{\phi}}\sin\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}\right)$. When $l_{\phi} < \pi$, again the canonical parameters defined in (A.5) satisfies the regime (A.12) for (4.34). Thus the entropy can be calculated by (4.34),

$$S_{\rm EE} = \frac{c_{\rm L}}{6} \log\left(\frac{2}{\epsilon_{\phi}} \sin\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}\right) + \frac{c_{\rm M}}{12} \left(l_u \cot\left(\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}\right) - \frac{2\epsilon_u}{\epsilon_{\phi}}\right). \tag{4.46}$$

When the interval is very small, i.e. $l_{\phi} \to 0$, $S_{\text{EE}}^{cyl} \to S_{\text{EE}}^{plane}$, which is just the EE on the plane. This is reasonable, since when the interval is very small, whether the space is compact or not is expected to be irrelevant.

5 Holographic entanglement entropy in gravity side

5.1 The strategy

In this section we extend the field side story to the bulk. On the field theory side, the Rindler transformations map the entanglement entropy for BMSFT to the thermal entropy of BMSFT. According to section 3, this story should have a bulk description. We start from BMSFT and its gravity dual, the flat-space (3.17). Then our task is to find a transformation from (3.17) to a new spacetime, which is usually a \widetilde{FSC} (3.31) in tilde coordinates, such that its dual boundary field theory is just the BMSFT in the field theory side story. According to the flat holography, the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of \widetilde{FSC} equals to the thermal entropy of BMSFT, thus, reproduces the entanglement entropy for BMSFT.

To make sure that the gravity side story reproduces the field theory side story on the boundary, we expect $\widetilde{\text{FSC}}$ to satisfy the following requirements.⁷

- The bulk transformations from flat-space (3.17) to FSC should be a bulk extension of the Rindler transformation, thus reproduce the field side story on the boundary.
- The asymptotic structures of FSC should satisfy the BMS₃ boundary conditions locally, and have the same thermal and spatial periodicities as the boundary field theory BMSFT .

More explicitly we expect the metric of \widetilde{FSC} to be in the form of (3.17). The second requirement is necessary, since we expect \widetilde{FSC} to be the gravity dual of \widetilde{BMSFT} .

 $^{^{7}}$ This is the bulk extension method. In appendix D, we provide a more general method to obtain the bulk coordinate transformation which is independent of the boundary. These two methods give the same result.

Based on our discussions on the Rindler transformations in the field theory side story, we find that the vectors $\partial_{\tilde{u}}$ and $\partial_{\tilde{\phi}}$ should be the following linear combinations of the global BMSFT generators

$$\partial_{\tilde{\phi}} = \sum_{n=-1}^{1} (b_n L_n + d_n M_n), \qquad \partial_{\tilde{u}} = -\sum_{n=-1}^{1} b_n M_n.$$
 (5.1)

This relation can be naturally extended to the bulk by simply replacing the global generators in BMSFT with their bulk counterparts, i.e. the Killing vectors in flat-space. These Killing vectors are explicitly given by (B.11) for Poincaré, and by (B.10) for FSC and global Minkowski. The first requirement indicates that, in order to reproduce the Rindler transformation on the boundary, we should choose the same coefficients $b_{0,\pm 1}$ and $d_{0,\pm 1}$ as in the field theory side. The second requirement gives the Bondi gauge conditions for the new coordinates

$$g_{\tilde{u}\tilde{u}} = \partial_{\tilde{u}} \cdot \partial_{\tilde{u}} \equiv \tilde{M} , \qquad g_{\tilde{u}\tilde{\phi}} = \partial_{\tilde{u}} \cdot \partial_{\tilde{\phi}} \equiv \tilde{J} , \qquad g_{\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\phi}} = \partial_{\tilde{\phi}} \cdot \partial_{\tilde{\phi}} \equiv \tilde{r}^2 , \tag{5.2}$$

$$g_{\tilde{r}\tilde{r}} = \partial_{\tilde{r}} \cdot \partial_{\tilde{r}} = 0, \qquad g_{\tilde{r}\tilde{\phi}} = \partial_{\tilde{r}} \cdot \partial_{\tilde{\phi}} = 0, \qquad g_{\tilde{u}\tilde{r}} = \partial_{\tilde{u}} \cdot \partial_{\tilde{r}} = g_{\tilde{u}\tilde{r}}(\tilde{r}), \tag{5.3}$$

where the inner products are calculated with the old metric of flat-space. The constants \tilde{M} and \tilde{J} are determined by the coefficients in (5.1) and can be regarded as the mass and angular momentum of the new spacetime \widetilde{FSC} . Note that, in the bulk $\partial_{\tilde{u}}$ and $\partial_{\tilde{\phi}}$ are two commuting Killing vectors, the new metric only depend on the third metric \tilde{r} . So the Bondi gauge conditions (5.2) (5.3) are consistent with (5.1). We define the new radial coordinate \tilde{r} with the third equation in (5.2), and the third equation in (5.3) requires $g_{\tilde{u}\tilde{r}}$ only depends on \tilde{r} . Combing these vectors ($\partial_{\tilde{u}}, \partial_{\tilde{\phi}}, \partial_{\tilde{r}}$) together, we get a matrix, which is essentially the Jacobian matrix between the old and new coordinate systems. Solving all these conditions will give the bulk coordinate transformation, as well as the unknown metric component $g_{\tilde{u}\tilde{r}}(\tilde{r})$. As expected, we always get $g_{\tilde{u}\tilde{r}}(\tilde{r}) = -1$, so the new metric is in the form of (3.17).

The bulk transformation can be regarded as a quotient on flat-space without doing identification for the new coordinates. Similar strategy has been successfully applied on warped AdS_3 and AdS_3 spacetimes with certain boundary conditions in [73] to calculate holographic entanglement entropy, and both the results fulfill their field theory side expectations.

We will use the method elaborated above to perform quotient for Poincaré coordinate in subsection 5.2. Then a short-cut method of quotient for FSC and global Minkowski in Einstein gravity will be used for calculating HEE in section 5.3 and section 5.4, respectively. Note that, in Einstein gravity, the central charges of the dual BMSFT are given by $c_{\rm L} =$ 0, $c_{\rm M} = 3/G$, with $c_{\rm L}$ vanished. To investigate the holographic entanglement entropy contributed from the $c_{\rm L}$ term, we will consider the topologically massive gravity (TMG) in section 8.

5.2 Poincaré coordinate

In Poincaré coordinates, the coefficients in (5.1) are given by (4.19) and (4.22), while the bulk Killing vectors are given by (B.11). We also need to use the following relations

$$\tilde{\beta}_{\phi} = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{\tilde{M}}}, \qquad \frac{\tilde{\beta}_{u}}{\tilde{\beta}_{\phi}} = \frac{\tilde{J}}{2\tilde{M}},$$
(5.4)

which is just (3.22) in FSC. Following the steps outlined in section 5.1, we get the coordinate transformation between the "Poincaré" coordinates and \widetilde{FSC} ,

$$\tilde{r} = \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{M}}{16l_{\phi}^2} \left(8u - 4l_u + r(l_{\phi} - 2\phi)^2\right) \left(8u + 4l_u + r(l_{\phi} + 2\phi)^2\right) + \frac{\tilde{J}^2}{4\tilde{M}}}, \qquad (5.5)$$

$$\tilde{\phi} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{M}}} \log \frac{\sqrt{\tilde{M}}}{4l_{\phi}} \left(\frac{8u - 4l_u + r(l_{\phi} - 2\phi)^2}{\tilde{r} + \tilde{J}/(2\sqrt{\tilde{M}})} \right),\tag{5.6}$$

$$\tilde{u} = \frac{\tilde{r}}{\tilde{M}} + \frac{1}{4l_{\phi}\sqrt{\tilde{M}}} \left(8u + 4r\phi^2 - rl_{\phi}^2\right) - \frac{\tilde{J}}{2\tilde{M}}\tilde{\phi}.$$
(5.7)

It is easy to check that

$$ds^2 = \tilde{M}d\tilde{u}^2 - 2d\tilde{u}d\tilde{r} + \tilde{J}d\tilde{u}d\tilde{\phi} + \tilde{r}^2 d\tilde{\phi}^2, \qquad (5.8)$$

$$= -2dudr + r^2 d\phi^2. \tag{5.9}$$

On the boundary, i.e. $r \to \infty$, the coordinate transformation becomes

$$\tilde{\phi} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\tilde{M}}} \operatorname{arctanh} \frac{2\phi}{l_{\phi}}, \qquad (5.10)$$

$$\tilde{u} = \frac{4}{\sqrt{\tilde{M}}} \frac{(ul_{\phi} - l_u \phi)}{(l_{\phi}^2 - 4\phi^2)} - \frac{\tilde{r}_c}{\sqrt{\tilde{M}}} \tilde{\phi}, \qquad (5.11)$$

which reproduces the Rindler transformation (4.24) in the field theory side story after using the identification (5.4).

By virtue of the flat holography, we can calculate the thermal entropy of $\widetilde{\text{BMSFT}}$ by the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy S_{BH} of $\widetilde{\text{FSC}}$,

$$S_{\rm BH} = \frac{\sqrt{\tilde{M}}\Delta\tilde{u} + \tilde{J}/(2\sqrt{\tilde{M}})\Delta\tilde{\phi}}{4G} , \qquad (5.12)$$

where $\Delta \tilde{u}$ and $\Delta \tilde{\phi}$ are the extension of the new coordinates. Since these two quantities are essentially infinity, we need to introduce two cutoffs ϵ_u and ϵ_{ϕ} , as was discussed on the field theory side before, and consider the regularized interval

$$-\frac{l_{\phi}}{2} + \epsilon_{\phi} < \phi < \frac{l_{\phi}}{2} - \epsilon_{\phi}, \qquad -\frac{l_{u}}{2} + \epsilon_{u} < u < \frac{l_{u}}{2} - \epsilon_{u}.$$
(5.13)

Then it is easy to find that

$$\Delta \tilde{u} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\tilde{M}}} \left(\frac{l_u}{l_\phi} - \frac{\epsilon_u}{\epsilon_\phi} \right) - \frac{\tilde{J}}{2\tilde{M}} \Delta \tilde{\phi} , \qquad (5.14)$$

$$\Delta \tilde{\phi} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\tilde{M}}} \log \frac{l_{\phi}}{\epsilon_{\phi}} \,. \tag{5.15}$$

Very straightforwardly, we get the holographic entanglement entropy for BMSFT,

$$S_{\text{HEE}} = S_{\text{BH}} = \frac{1}{2G} \left(\frac{l_u}{l_\phi} - \frac{\epsilon_u}{\epsilon_\phi} \right) \,. \tag{5.16}$$

As expected, this result agrees with the field theory side result (4.39) after inserting the central charges of BMSFT dual to Einstein gravity $c_{\rm L} = 0$, $c_{\rm M} = \frac{3}{G}$.

5.3 FSC

In principle, the strategy we applied on the Poincaré case can be generalized to FSC and global Minkowski. However, the differential equations in these two cases are too complicated to solve. So we have to find some short-cuts to simplify the calculation. Since the spacetimes we are studying are locally flat, we can cast them into Cartesian coordinates. This yield many simplifications, since in Cartesian coordinates the quotient from flat-space to FSC is essentially a Poincaré transformation. Then, by transforming back to the Bondi gauge, we get the quotient from flat-space to FSC.

More specifically, we can associate the flat-space (FSC) with a Cartesian coordinate system (x, y, t) through

$$r = \sqrt{M(t^2 - x^2) + r_c^2},$$
 (5.17a)

$$\phi = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}}\log\frac{\sqrt{M(t-x)}}{r+r_c},\qquad(5.17b)$$

$$u = \frac{1}{M} \left(r - y - \sqrt{M} r_c \phi \right).$$
 (5.17c)

Similarly, with M, r_c replaced by \tilde{M} , \tilde{r}_c , we can associate the new spacetime $\widetilde{\text{FSC}}$ with a Cartesian coordinate system $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{t})$. Our steps can be summarized by the following equations

$$ds^{2} = M du^{2} - 2dudr + 2r_{c}\sqrt{M}dud\phi + r^{2}d\phi^{2}$$

$$= -dt^{2} + dx^{2} + dy^{2}$$

$$= -d\tilde{t}^{2} + d\tilde{x}^{2} + d\tilde{y}^{2}$$

$$= \tilde{M}d\tilde{u}^{2} - 2d\tilde{u}d\tilde{r} + 2\tilde{r}_{c}\sqrt{\tilde{M}}d\tilde{u}d\tilde{\phi} + \tilde{r}^{2}d\tilde{\phi}^{2}.$$
 (5.18)

Namely, we first consider the quotient between (x, y, t) and $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{t})$ which is essentially a Poincaré transformation, then we can express their relations in Bondi gauge with some coordinate substitutions. In order to reproduce the Rindler transformation (C.8) asymptotically in Bondi gauge, we need to choose the Poincaré transformation properly. For our purpose, the relevant Poincaré transformation can be found as

$$\tilde{t} = t \cosh \eta - y \sinh \eta, \qquad (5.19a)$$

$$\tilde{y} = y \cosh \eta - t \sinh \eta \,, \tag{5.19b}$$

$$\tilde{x} = s_0 + x \,, \tag{5.19c}$$

where the rapidity of boost along the y direction, and the translation along the x direction are given by

$$\eta = \log\left(\coth\left(\frac{l_{\phi}\sqrt{M}}{4}\right)\right), \qquad s_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{M}l_u + r_c l_{\phi})\operatorname{csch}\left(\sqrt{M}l_{\phi}/2\right). \tag{5.20}$$

Combining the coordinate transformations (5.17) and its tilde version as well as (5.19), it is straightforward to get the quotient from flat-space to $\widetilde{\text{FSC}}$ in Bondi gauge, a coordinate transformation from (u, r, ϕ) to $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{r}, \tilde{\phi})$

$$\tilde{r} = \sqrt{R_+ R_- + \tilde{r}_c^2}, \qquad (5.21a)$$

$$\tilde{\phi} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{M}}} \log\left(\frac{R_+}{\tilde{r} + \tilde{r}_c}\right),\tag{5.21b}$$

$$\begin{split} \tilde{u} &= \frac{1}{\tilde{M}} \left[\tilde{r} - \sqrt{\tilde{M}} \tilde{r}_c \tilde{\phi} + \frac{\sqrt{\tilde{M}}}{\sqrt{M} \sinh\left(\sqrt{M} l_{\phi}/2\right)} \\ & \times \left(\left(-r + Mu + \sqrt{M} r_c \phi \right) \cosh\frac{\sqrt{M} l_{\phi}}{2} + \left(r - r_c \right) \cosh\left(\sqrt{M} \phi\right) \right) \right], \end{split}$$
(5.21c)

where

$$R_{\pm} = \frac{\sqrt{\tilde{M}}}{\sqrt{M}\sinh\left(\sqrt{M}l_{\phi}/2\right)} \left[M(u \mp \frac{l_u}{2}) + 2r\sinh^2\left(\frac{\sqrt{M}(2\phi \mp l_{\phi})}{4}\right) + r_c\sqrt{M}(\phi \mp \frac{l_{\phi}}{2}) - r_c\sinh\left(\frac{\sqrt{M}(2\phi \mp l_{\phi})}{2}\right) \right]. \quad (5.22)$$

The asymptotic behavior of the bulk transformation is given by

$$\tilde{\phi} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\tilde{M}}} \operatorname{arctanh} \left[\frac{\tanh(\sqrt{M}\phi/2)}{\tanh(\sqrt{M}l_{\phi}/4)} \right], \qquad (5.23)$$

$$\tilde{u} = \frac{\sqrt{M}u + r_c \phi - s_0 \sinh(\sqrt{M}\phi)}{\sqrt{\tilde{M}} \left(\coth(\sqrt{M}l_{\phi}/2) - \cosh(\sqrt{M}\phi) \operatorname{csch}(\sqrt{M}l_{\phi}/2) \right)} - \frac{\tilde{r}_c}{\sqrt{\tilde{M}}} \tilde{\phi}, \qquad (5.24)$$

which exactly matches the Rindler transformation (C.8) in the field theory side story if we use (3.22) and (5.4). Introducing two regulating parameters ϵ_u and ϵ_{ϕ} , it is easy to determine the extension $\Delta \tilde{u}$ and $\Delta \tilde{\phi}$, which are given by

$$\Delta \tilde{u} = \frac{(l_u M + l_\phi \sqrt{M} r_c) \coth\left(\frac{l_\phi \sqrt{M}}{2}\right) - 2r_c}{\sqrt{M}\tilde{M}} - \frac{\tilde{r}_c}{\sqrt{\tilde{M}}} \Delta \tilde{\phi} - \frac{2\epsilon_u}{\sqrt{\tilde{M}}\epsilon_\phi}, \quad (5.25)$$

$$\Delta \tilde{\phi} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\tilde{M}}} \log \left(\frac{2 \sinh \frac{l_{\phi} \sqrt{M}}{2}}{\sqrt{M} \epsilon_{\phi}} \right) \,. \tag{5.26}$$

The holographic entanglement entropy is given by the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of $\widetilde{\mathrm{FSC}},$

$$S_{\rm BH} = \frac{\sqrt{\tilde{M}}\Delta\tilde{u} + \tilde{r}_c\Delta\tilde{\phi}}{4G} = \frac{1}{4G} \left[\sqrt{M} \left(l_u + \frac{J}{2M} l_\phi \right) \coth\frac{\sqrt{M} l_\phi}{2} - \frac{J}{M} - \frac{2\epsilon_u}{\epsilon_\phi} \right], \quad (5.27)$$

which, according to (3.22), gives that

$$S_{\text{HEE}} = S_{\text{BH}} = \frac{1}{2G} \left[\frac{\pi}{\beta_{\phi}} \left(l_u + \frac{\beta_u}{\beta_{\phi}} l_{\phi} \right) \coth\left(\frac{\pi l_{\phi}}{\beta_{\phi}}\right) - \frac{\beta_u}{\beta_{\phi}} - \frac{\epsilon_u}{\epsilon_{\phi}} \right].$$
(5.28)

As expected, this agrees with field theory side result (4.43) with $c_{\rm L} = 0$, $c_{\rm M} = \frac{3}{G}$.

5.4 Global Minkowski

For global Minkowski, we have conventional Cartesian coordinate system and the ϕ coordinate is compactified as $\phi \sim \phi + 2\pi$. We define the our Cartesian coordinates in the following way,

$$t = r + u, \qquad x = r \cos \phi, \qquad y = r \sin \phi, \qquad \phi \sim \phi + 2\pi, \qquad (5.29)$$

As in the FSC case, the quotient here can also be performed in the Cartesian coordinate systems,

$$ds^{2} = -du^{2} - 2dudr + r^{2}d\phi^{2} = -dt^{2} + dx^{2} + dy^{2}$$

= $-d\tilde{t}^{2} + d\tilde{x}^{2} + d\tilde{y}^{2}$
= $\tilde{M}d\tilde{u}^{2} - 2d\tilde{u}d\tilde{r} + 2\tilde{r}_{c}\sqrt{\tilde{M}}d\tilde{u}d\tilde{\phi} + \tilde{r}^{2}d\tilde{\phi}^{2}$. (5.30)

Note that, $(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$ is related to $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{r})$ in the same way as (5.17). Again the relevant Poincaré transformation is given by (5.19) with η and s_0 given by (5.20)(M = -1 and $r_c = 0$ in this case). The final quotient is given by (5.21) with M = -1, $r_c = 0$. Asymptotically, the quotient reproduces the Rindler transformation (C.9). This together with the two regulation parameters ϵ_u and ϵ_{ϕ} determines the extension of the horizon

$$\Delta \tilde{u} = \frac{l_u}{\sqrt{\tilde{M}}} \cot \frac{l_\phi}{2} - \frac{\tilde{r}_c}{\sqrt{\tilde{M}}} \Delta \tilde{\phi} - \frac{2\epsilon_u}{\sqrt{\tilde{M}}\epsilon_\phi} \,, \tag{5.31}$$

$$\Delta \tilde{\phi} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\tilde{M}}} \log \left(\frac{2 \sin \frac{l_{\phi}}{2}}{\epsilon_{\phi}} \right) \,. \tag{5.32}$$

For Einstein gravity, this directly gives the holographic entanglement entropy

$$S_{\text{HEE}} = S_{\text{BH}} = \frac{\sqrt{\tilde{M}\Delta\tilde{u} + \tilde{r}_c\Delta\tilde{\phi}}}{4G} = \frac{1}{4G}l_u \left|\cot\frac{l_\phi}{2} - \frac{2\epsilon_u}{\epsilon_\phi}\right|, \qquad (5.33)$$

which is exactly the same as the field theory result (4.46) with $c_{\rm L} = 0$, $c_{\rm M} = \frac{3}{G}$.

6 The geometric description for holographic entanglement entropy

In this section, we provide a geometric description of the holographic entanglement entropy in global Minkowski. As discussed in section 2, there are two aspects, one from the explicit Rindler transformation in the bulk, and the other from the fixed points of the modular generator k_t .

6.1 Three special curves

From the bulk Rindler transformation, a natural step is to find the surface in the global Minkowski which is mapped to the Cauchy horizon in $\widetilde{\text{FSC}}$. To make this subsection more self-contained, we rewrite (5.21) with M = -1, $r_c = 0$ below,

$$\tilde{r} = \sqrt{R_+ R_- + \tilde{r}_c^2}, \tag{6.1a}$$

$$\tilde{\phi} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{M}}} \log \left[\frac{R_+}{\sqrt{R_+R_- + \tilde{r}_c^2 + \tilde{r}_c}} \right],\tag{6.1b}$$

$$\tilde{u} = \frac{1}{\tilde{M}} \left[\tilde{r} - \sqrt{\tilde{M}} \tilde{r}_c \tilde{\phi} - \sqrt{\tilde{M}} \left(r \cos \phi \csc\left(\frac{l_\phi}{2}\right) - (r+u) \cot\left(\frac{l_\phi}{2}\right) \right) \right].$$
(6.1c)

where

$$R_{\pm} = \frac{\sqrt{\tilde{M}}}{\sin(\frac{l_{\phi}}{2})} \left(2r\sin^2\frac{l_{\phi} \mp 2\phi}{4} + u \mp \frac{l_u}{2}\right)$$
(6.2)

The condition $\tilde{r} = \tilde{r}_c$ implies $R_+R_- = 0$, whose solutions define two surfaces,

$$\mathcal{N}_+: \ R_+ = 0 \tag{6.3}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{-}: R_{-} = 0 \tag{6.4}$$

with normal vectors

$$n_{\pm} = \nabla_{\mu} R_{\pm} = \frac{\sqrt{\tilde{M}}}{\sin(\frac{l_{\phi}}{2})} \left(1, -r\sin(\frac{l_{\phi}}{2} \mp \phi), 1 - \cos(\frac{l_{\phi}}{2} \mp \phi) \right)$$
(6.5)

It is easy to verify that $n_{+}^{2} = n_{-}^{2} = 0$, and hence both surfaces are null.

On the null surface $\mathcal{N}_+ \cup \mathcal{N}_-$, there are three special curves γ and γ_{\pm} (see figure 1). The spacelike curve $\gamma \subset \mathcal{N}_+ \cap \mathcal{N}_-$ is a spacelike geodesic. Both the null curves γ_{\pm} are along the *r* direction. γ_{\pm} starts from the end points $\partial A_{1,2}$ and intersect with the curve $\mathcal{N}_+ \cap \mathcal{N}_$ at $\partial \gamma_{1,2}$, respectively.



Figure 1. The red line \mathcal{A} on the future null infinity \mathcal{I}^+ is the boundary interval. The blue line γ is a spacelike geodesic, on which the bulk modular generator vanishes, $k_t^{\text{bulk}} = 0$. The two green lines γ_+ , γ_- are null geodesics. The tangent vector on γ_+ and γ_- are k_t^{bulk} . The union $\gamma_{\mathcal{A}} = \gamma \cup \gamma_+ \cup \gamma_-$ is invariant under the modular flow k_t^{bulk} . The entanglement entropy is given by $S_{\text{HEE}} = \frac{\text{Length}(\gamma)}{4G} = \frac{\text{Length}(\gamma_{\mathcal{A}})}{4G}$.

The spatial geodesic γ

The curve γ defined above is given by

$$\gamma = \gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2, \qquad (6.6)$$

$$\gamma_1: \quad u = \frac{1}{2} \cos\left(\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}\right) \sqrt{4r^2 - l_u^2 \csc^2\left(\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}\right)} - r, \qquad (6.7)$$

$$\phi = -\arcsin\left(\frac{l_u \csc\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}}{2r}\right), \qquad (6.7)$$

$$\gamma_2: \quad u = -\frac{1}{2} \cos\left(\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}\right) \sqrt{4r^2 - l_u^2 \csc^2\left(\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}\right)} - r, \qquad (6.7)$$

The spacelike geodesic γ_1 and γ_2 are smoothly connected at the "turning point"

$$\gamma_1 \cap \gamma_2: \quad u_* = -\frac{l_u}{2} \csc \frac{l_\phi}{2}, \ \phi_* = -\frac{\pi}{2}, \ r_* = \frac{l_u}{2} \csc \frac{l_\phi}{2}, \tag{6.8}$$

At the maximum radius $r = r_I$, $\frac{du}{dr}|_{r_I} = 0$ the two end points are

$$\partial \gamma_1: \ (u_1, \phi_1, r_1) = \left(\frac{l_u}{2} (1 - 2\csc^2 \frac{l_\phi}{2}), \frac{l_\phi}{2} - \pi, r_I\right), \tag{6.9}$$

$$\partial \gamma_2: (u_2, \phi_2, r_2) = \left(-\frac{l_u}{2}, -\frac{l_\phi}{2}, r_I\right).$$
 (6.10)

The end points (6.10)(6.9) can be obtained by looking at the image in FSC under (6.1). Note that \tilde{M} and \tilde{r}_c do not affect the null surfaces. For simplicity we set $\tilde{M} = 1$ and $\tilde{r}_c = 0$. We find the inverse coordinate transformation for (6.1) is given by

$$r = \frac{1}{2} \csc \frac{l_{\phi}}{2} \times \sqrt{l_u^2 + 4\tilde{u}^2 - 4\tilde{r} \left(l_u \sin \frac{l_{\phi}}{2} \sinh(\tilde{\phi}) + 2\tilde{u} \cos \frac{l_{\phi}}{2} \cosh(\tilde{\phi}) + 2\tilde{u} \right) + 4\tilde{r}^2 \left(\cos \frac{l_{\phi}}{2} + \cosh(\tilde{\phi}) \right)^2},$$

$$u = \cot \frac{l_{\phi}}{2} (\tilde{r} - \tilde{u}) - (r - \tilde{r} \csc \frac{l_{\phi}}{2} \cosh(\tilde{\phi})),$$

$$\phi = -\cos^{-1} \left[\frac{2 \left(\tilde{r} \cos \frac{l_{\phi}}{2} \cosh(\tilde{\phi}) + \tilde{r} - \tilde{u} \right)}{2r \sin \frac{l_{\phi}}{2}} \right].$$
(6.11)

The horizon $\tilde{r} = \tilde{r}_c = 0$ of the $\widetilde{\text{FSC}}$ maps to the curve γ (6.6), with the range of γ determined by the constraint $\tilde{u} \in \left[-\frac{\Delta \tilde{u}}{2}, \frac{\Delta \tilde{u}}{2}\right]$ with $\Delta \tilde{u}$ given by (5.31).

The null geodesics γ_{\pm}

The null geodesic γ_+ is given by

$$\gamma_{+} \subset \mathcal{N}_{+}: \ (u,\phi,r) = \begin{cases} (\frac{l_{u}}{2}, \frac{l_{\phi}}{2}, \tau_{+}), & \tau_{+} > 0\\ (\frac{l_{u}}{2} + 2\tau_{+}, \frac{l_{\phi}}{2} - \pi + \epsilon, -\tau_{+}), & -\frac{l_{u}}{2}\csc^{2}\frac{l_{\phi}}{2} \le \tau_{+} \le 0 \end{cases}$$
(6.12)

while γ_{-} is given by

$$\gamma_{-} \subset \mathcal{N}_{-}: \ (u,\phi,r) = \left(-\frac{l_{u}}{2}, -\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}, r\right), \quad r \ge \frac{l_{u}}{2}\csc^{2}\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}.$$
 (6.13)

It is easy to check that γ_+ connects the end points $\partial \mathcal{A}_1$ of the boundary interval and $\partial \gamma_1$ of γ , while γ_- connect the other two end points $\partial \gamma_2$ and $\partial \mathcal{A}_2$. The ranges of r on γ_{\pm} is determined by requiring that the coordinate transformation (6.1b) is well defined, i.e. $R_- \geq 0, R_+ \geq 0$, for the choice $\tilde{M} = 1, \tilde{J} = 0$. Both γ_- and γ_+ maps to null curves (or just points for some choices of \tilde{M} and \tilde{r}_c) on the horizon of FSC.

6.2 The modular flow and its bulk extension

Here we reconsider the physical meaning of the three geodesics γ and γ_{\pm} from the fixed points of the bulk modular flow k_t^{bulk} . We rewrite the modular flow (C.10) in the field

theory side, and its bulk extension (C.11)

$$k_{t} = \pi \csc\left(\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}\right) \left(-l_{u} \csc\left(\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}\right) + l_{u} \cos(\phi) \cot\left(\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}\right) + 2u \sin(\phi)\right) \partial_{u} + 2\pi \csc\left(\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}\right) \left(\cos\left(\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}\right) - \cos(\phi)\right) \partial_{\phi},$$

$$k_{t}^{\text{bulk}} = \pi \csc\left(\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}\right) \left(-l_{u} \csc\left(\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}\right) + l_{u} \cos(\phi) \cot\left(\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}\right) + 2u \sin(\phi)\right) \partial_{u}$$
(6.14)

$$+\pi \csc\left(\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}\right) \left(2\left(\cos\left(\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}\right) - \cos(\phi)\right) + r^{-1}\left(l_{u}\sin(\phi)\cot\left(\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}\right) - 2u\cos(\phi)\right)\right)\partial_{\phi}$$
$$-\pi \csc\left(\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}\right) \left(l_{u}\cos(\phi)\cot\left(\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}\right) + 2(r+u)\sin(\phi)\right)\partial_{r}.$$
(6.15)

We see that k_t vanishes at the boundary end points $\partial \mathcal{A}_{1,2}$. One can easily check that the fixed points which satisfy $k_t^{\text{bulk}} = 0$ in the bulk is just the intersection curve $\mathcal{N}_+ \cap \mathcal{N}_-$ (6.6). Note that the curves $\mathcal{N}_+ \cap \mathcal{N}_-$ (6.6) can be extended even for $r > r_I$. However, if we take $r \to \infty$ in (6.6), $\mathcal{N}_+ \cap \mathcal{N}_-$ intersects with the boundary at $(u, \phi) = (-\pi, -\infty)$ and $(\pi, -\infty)$. The reason is that the boundary end points $\partial \mathcal{A}_{1,2}$ are the fixed points of k_t but not the fixed points of k_t^{bulk}

$$k_t|_{\partial \mathcal{A}_{1,2}} = 0, \qquad k_t^{\text{bulk}}|_{\partial \mathcal{A}_{1,2}} = -2\pi \left(r_I \pm r_\infty\right) \partial_r \neq 0, \qquad (6.16)$$

and thus $\mathcal{N}_+ \cap \mathcal{N}_-$ will never go through $\partial \mathcal{A}_{1,2}$. It is interesting that the orbits of $\partial \mathcal{A}_{1,2}$ under the bulk modular flow k_t^{bulk} are just γ_{\pm} . More interestingly, we find that γ is the only extremal (or saddle) curve among all the curves that connect the null rays γ_{\pm} , the orbits of $\partial \mathcal{A}_{1,2}$ under bulk modular flow. This can be considered as a generalized version of the RT (HRT) proposal when the boundary entangling surface $\partial \mathcal{A}$ is not fixed under the bulk modular flow (or bulk extended replica symmetry).

6.3 The geometric picture of holographic entanglement entropy

We observe that the holographic entanglement entropy is given by the length of the spacelike geodesic γ which is connected to the end points at the boundary by two null geodesics γ_{\pm} ,

$$S_{\text{HEE}} = \frac{\text{Length}(\gamma)}{4G} \tag{6.17}$$

Alternatively, we can define a curve $\gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$ homologous to the boundary interval \mathcal{A} ,

$$\gamma_{\mathcal{A}} = \gamma \cup \gamma_{-} \cup \gamma_{+} \,. \tag{6.18}$$

The holographic entanglement entropy can also be given by the total length of $\gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$

$$S_{\text{HEE}} = \frac{\text{Length}(\gamma_{\mathcal{A}})}{4G}, \qquad (6.19)$$

We hope to further clarify the choice between γ and $\gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$ in the future.

7 Holographic entanglement entropy from flat limit of AdS₃

In this section, we re-derive the HEE from a flat limit of AdS_3 . As summarized in [60, 73], the logic of Rindler method for AdS_3 is the following: we do a quotient on AdS_3 and get a Rindler \widetilde{AdS}_3 black string, with the boundary of the later covers the a causal development of an interval on the boundary of the former. Accordingly the entanglement entropy of the interval equals to the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of \widetilde{AdS}_3 .

Under the flat limit $\ell \to \infty$, this picture provides a way to calculate the holographic entanglement entropy for BMSFT. The quotient on AdS₃ to Rindler \widetilde{AdS}_3 under the flat limit become a quotient on flat-space (3.17) to Rindler \widetilde{FSC} as described in section 5. Note that the outer horizon of the Rindler \widetilde{AdS}_3 is now pushed to an infinitely far away location, while the inner horizon becomes the Cauchy horizon of \widetilde{FSC} . Thus, under the flat limit, the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of the inner horizon of \widetilde{AdS}_3 is the quantity that gives the holographic entanglement entropy for the BMSFT ⁸.

In this section we first revisit the Rindler method for AdS_3 in section 7.1. Then in section 7.2, we change to Bondi gauge and discuss how to take flat limit. We re-derive the holographic entanglement entropy for BMSFT from a flat limit of AdS_3 for Einstein gravity in section 7.3 and TMG in section 8 respectively.

7.1 Holographic entanglement entropy for AdS_3 with Brown-Henneaux boundary conditions

Poincaré AdS₃

For simplicity we first consider Poincaré AdS₃

$$ds^2 = \ell^2 \left(\frac{d\rho^2}{4\rho^2} + 2\rho dU dV\right). \tag{7.1}$$

The dual boundary field theory is a CFT₂ defined on a plane with zero temperatures. Following the guide lines to construct Rindler transformations in section 2, we define $\partial_{\tilde{U}}$ and $\partial_{\tilde{V}}$ as a combination of global generators in either copy of the Virasoro algebras (see appendix B in [73] for an explicit example). Then we find the Rindler transformation for CFT₂ on a plane, and extend it to the bulk. The bulk transformation is a quotient on (7.1), and is given by

$$T_{\tilde{U}}\tilde{U} = \frac{1}{4} \log \left[\frac{(1+\rho(2U+l_U)V)^2 - \rho^2 l_V^2 (l_U/2+U)^2}{(1+\rho(2U-l_U)V)^2 - \rho^2 l_V^2 (l_U/2-U)^2} \right],$$

$$T_{\tilde{V}}\tilde{V} = \frac{1}{4} \log \left[\frac{(1+\rho(2V+l_V)U)^2 - \rho^2 l_U^2 (l_V/2+V)^2}{(1+\rho(2V-l_V)U)^2 - \rho^2 l_U^2 (l_V/2-V)^2} \right],$$

$$\frac{\tilde{\rho}}{T_{\tilde{U}}T_{\tilde{V}}} = \frac{\rho^2 \left(l_U^2 \left(l_V^2 - 4V^2 \right) - 4U^2 l_V^2 \right) + 4(2\rho UV + 1)^2}{4\rho l_U l_V}.$$
(7.2)

⁸This differs from the prescription in [92], which takes flat limit directly on the CFT results. This equals to taking flat limit on the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of the outer horizon in \widetilde{AdS}_3 .

Then we get the metric of the Rindler \widetilde{AdS}_3

$$ds^{2} = \ell^{2} \left(T_{\tilde{U}}^{2} d\tilde{U}^{2} + 2\tilde{\rho} d\tilde{U} d\tilde{V} + T_{\tilde{V}}^{2} d\tilde{V}^{2} + \frac{d\tilde{\rho}^{2}}{4(\tilde{\rho}^{2} - T_{\tilde{U}}^{2}T_{\tilde{V}}^{2})} \right).$$
(7.3)

The asymptotic behavior of bulk quotient is given by

$$T_{\tilde{U}}\tilde{U} = \operatorname{ArcTanh}\left(\frac{2U}{l_U}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right) ,$$

$$T_{\tilde{V}}\tilde{V} = \operatorname{ArcTanh}\left(\frac{2V}{l_V}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right) ,$$
 (7.4)

which is just the Rindler transformation and, as expected, a conformal mapping. It shows that the boundary of \widetilde{AdS}_3 (7.3) covers the causal development of an interval

$$\mathcal{A}: \quad (-l_U/2, -l_V/2) \to (l_U/2, l_V/2),$$
(7.5)

on the boundary of the original Poincaré AdS₃. We introduce two infinitesimal parameters ϵ_U and ϵ_V and regulate the interval as

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{reg}}: \qquad (-l_U/2 + \epsilon_U, -l_V/2 + \epsilon_V) \to (l_U/2 - \epsilon_U, l_V/2 - \epsilon_V).$$
(7.6)

These two parameters also regulate the extension of the \tilde{U} , \tilde{V} coordinates of the \widetilde{AdS}_3 ,

$$\Delta \tilde{U} = \frac{1}{T_{\tilde{U}}} \log \frac{l_U}{\epsilon_U}, \qquad \Delta \tilde{V} = \frac{1}{T_{\tilde{V}}} \log \frac{l_V}{\epsilon_V}.$$
(7.7)

Hence the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of the outer horizon of the \widetilde{AdS}_3 is given by

$$S_{\text{outer}} = \frac{\ell}{4G} \sqrt{T_{\tilde{U}}^2 \Delta \tilde{U}^2 + 2T_{\tilde{U}} T_{\tilde{V}} \Delta \tilde{U} \Delta \tilde{V} + T_{\tilde{V}}^2 \Delta \tilde{V}^2}$$
$$= \frac{\ell}{4G} \left| T_{\tilde{U}} \Delta \tilde{U} + T_{\tilde{V}} \Delta \tilde{V} \right|$$
$$= \frac{\ell}{4G} \log \frac{l_U l_V}{\epsilon_U \epsilon_V}.$$
(7.8)

Following the logic of the Rindler method, S_{outer} gives the holographic entanglement entropy for the single interval (7.6) in a CFT₂ with zero temperature. This result is also consistent with the HRT [59] formula.

AdS_3 with a thermal (spatial) circle

To consider ${\rm CFT}_2$ with finite temperatures, we take the dual bulk spacetime to be a BTZ black string 9

$$ds^{2} = \ell^{2} \left(T_{U}^{2} dU^{2} + 2\rho dU dV + T_{V}^{2} dV^{2} + \frac{d\rho^{2}}{4(\rho^{2} - T_{U}^{2} T_{V}^{2})} \right),$$
(7.12)

with the thermal circle

thermal circle:
$$(U, V) \sim \left(U + \frac{\pi i}{T_U}, V - \frac{\pi i}{T_V}\right).$$
 (7.13)

The mapping from Poincaré AdS_3 (7.1) to (7.12) is given by

$$U \to e^{2T_U U} \sqrt{1 - \frac{2T_U T_V}{\rho + T_U T_V}},$$

$$V \to e^{2T_V V} \sqrt{1 - \frac{2T_U T_V}{\rho + T_U T_V}},$$

$$\rho \to \frac{(\rho + T_U T_V) e^{-2(T_U U + T_V V)}}{4T_U T_V}.$$
(7.14)

Accordingly, in terms of the coordinates of BTZ black string (7.12) we have

$$\log \frac{l_U}{\epsilon_U} \to \log[\frac{\sinh(T_U l_U)}{T_U \epsilon_U}], \qquad \log \frac{l_V}{\epsilon_V} \to \log[\frac{\sinh(T_V l_V)}{T_V \epsilon_V}]. \tag{7.15}$$

It is easy to see that the quotient on (7.12) to $\widetilde{\text{AdS}}_3$ (7.3) is just given by the combination of (7.2) and (7.14). Also the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of the outer horizon of (7.3) changes to

$$S_{\text{outer}} = \frac{\ell}{4G} \log \left[\frac{\sinh \left(T_U l_U \right) \sinh \left(T_V l_V \right)}{T_U T_V \epsilon_U \epsilon_V} \right] \,. \tag{7.16}$$

This gives the holographic entanglement entropy for a single interval in a CFT_2 with finite temperatures.

When we consider imaginary temperatures $T_U \to -\frac{\pi i}{L_U}$, $T_V \to -\frac{\pi i}{L_V}$, the thermal circle (7.13) changes to a spatial circle

spatial circle:
$$(U, V) \sim (U - L_U, V + L_V)$$
. (7.17)

⁹Under the following transformations

$$U \to \frac{\ell \varphi + t}{2\ell^2}, \quad V \to \frac{\ell \varphi - t}{2\ell^2}, \quad \rho \to 2r^2 - r_-^2 - r_+^2, \tag{7.9}$$

$$T_V \to r_+ - r_-, \quad T_U \to r_+ + r_-,$$
 (7.10)

(7.12) can be written in the ADM formula

$$ds^{2} = -\frac{\left(r^{2} - r_{-}^{2}\right)\left(r^{2} - r_{+}^{2}\right)}{\ell^{2}r^{2}}dt^{2} + \frac{\ell^{2}r^{2}}{\left(r^{2} - r_{-}^{2}\right)\left(r^{2} - r_{+}^{2}\right)}dr^{2} + r^{2}\left(d\varphi + \frac{r_{-}r_{+}}{\ell r^{2}}dt\right)^{2}.$$
 (7.11)

Correspondingly, the boundary field theory becomes a zero temperature CFT_2 on a cylinder. Accordingly, the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of the outer horizon of \widetilde{AdS}_3 (7.3) then becomes

$$S_{\text{outer}} = \frac{\ell}{4G} \log \left[\frac{L_U L_V \sin\left(\frac{\pi l_U}{L_U}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi l_V}{L_V}\right)}{\pi^2 \epsilon_U \epsilon_V} \right].$$
(7.18)

As expected, we get the holographic entanglement entropy for a single interval in a CFT defined on the cylinder (7.17).

7.2 Flat limit of AdS_3

7.2.1 Transformation to Bondi gauge and the flat limit

It is more convenient to take the flat limit in the Bondi gauge. Following [37], we find that, under the following coordinate transformations

$$U = \frac{\tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{2r}{T_V - T_U}\right) - \tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{2r}{T_V + T_U}\right)}{2T_U} + \frac{\ell\phi + u}{2\ell^2},$$

$$V = \frac{\tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{2r}{T_V - T_U}\right) + \tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{2r}{T_V + T_U}\right)}{2T_V} + \frac{\ell\phi - u}{2\ell^2},$$

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2}\left(4r^2 - T_V^2 - T_U^2\right),$$
(7.19)

the BTZ black string (7.12) becomes

$$ds^{2} = \left(8GM - \frac{r^{2}}{\ell^{2}}\right)du^{2} - 2dudr + 8GJdud\phi + r^{2}d\phi^{2}, \qquad (7.20)$$

with M and J defined by

$$T_{U} = 2\left(\sqrt{G\ell\left(\ell M - \sqrt{\ell^{2}M^{2} - J^{2}}\right)} + \sqrt{G\ell\left(\ell M + \sqrt{\ell^{2}M^{2} - J^{2}}\right)}\right),$$

$$T_{V} = 2\left(\sqrt{G\ell\left(\ell M + \sqrt{\ell^{2}M^{2} - J^{2}}\right)} - \sqrt{G\ell\left(\ell M - \sqrt{\ell^{2}M^{2} - J^{2}}\right)}\right).$$
 (7.21)

In terms of the new coordinates (u, ϕ) , the regulated interval (7.6) now becomes

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{reg}}: \qquad (-l_u/2 + \epsilon_u, -l_\phi/2 + \epsilon_\phi) \to (l_u/2 - \epsilon_u, l_\phi/2 - \epsilon_\phi), \qquad (7.22)$$

which is regulated by ϵ_u and ϵ_{ϕ} . According to (7.19), we find the relationships between the parameters

$$l_U = \frac{\ell l_\phi + l_u}{2\ell^2}, \quad l_V = \frac{\ell l_\phi - l_u}{2\ell^2}, \quad (7.23)$$

$$\epsilon_U = \frac{\epsilon_\phi}{2\ell} + \frac{\epsilon_u}{2\ell^2}, \qquad \epsilon_V = \frac{\epsilon_\phi}{2\ell} - \frac{\epsilon_u}{2\ell^2}.$$
(7.24)

We see that under the transformations (7.19) and (7.21), the physical quantities in AdS₃ are functions of the physical quantities in Bondi gauge and the AdS radius ℓ . The right way to take the flat limit is to take $\ell \to \infty$ while keeping all the physical quantities in Bondi gauge fixed.

7.3 Holographic entanglement entropy in flat limit

Poincaré coordinate system

The Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of the inner horizon $\rho = -T_{\tilde{U}}T_{\tilde{V}}$ of the Rindler AdS₃ (7.3) is given by

$$S_{\text{inner}} = \frac{\ell}{4G} \left| T_{\tilde{U}} \Delta \tilde{U} - T_{\tilde{V}} \Delta \tilde{V} \right| = \frac{\ell}{4G} \left| \log \frac{l_U}{l_V} - \log \frac{\epsilon_U}{\epsilon_V} \right|$$
$$= \frac{\ell}{4G} \left| \log \frac{\ell l_\phi + l_u}{\ell l_\phi - l_u} + \log \frac{\epsilon_V}{\epsilon_U} \right|, \qquad (7.25)$$

where l_U and l_V depicts the interval on the boundary of the Poincaré AdS₃. Using (7.23) and (7.24), we find the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of the inner horizon under flat limit

$$S_{\text{HEE}} = S_C = S_{\text{inner}}|_{\text{flat limit}} = \frac{c_{\text{M}}}{6} \left| \frac{l_u}{l_\phi} - \frac{\epsilon_u}{\epsilon_\phi} \right| + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\ell}\right) , \qquad (7.26)$$

where $c_{\rm M} = \frac{3}{G}$. This agrees with our previous result (4.39) with $c_L = 0$.

\mathbf{FSC}

FSC can be considered as a flat limit of a BTZ solution. Note that under the flat limit, the outer horizon is pushed to infinity. Thus the thermal circle in the bulk is actually associated to the inner horizon¹⁰. In other words, we have

thermal circle:
$$(U, V) \sim (U + \frac{\beta_U i}{\ell}, V + \frac{\beta_V i}{\ell}),$$
 (7.27)

where β_U and β_V are finite quantities defined by $T_U = \frac{\pi \ell}{\beta_U}$, $T_V = \frac{\pi \ell}{\beta_V}$. Then the thermal circle in the (u, ϕ) coordinates becomes

thermal circle:
$$(u, \phi) \sim (u + \beta_u i, \phi - \beta_\phi i)$$
, (7.28)

with $\beta_u = \ell(\beta_U - \beta_V)$, $\beta_{\phi} = -(\beta_U + \beta_V)$. Here we choose β_u and β_{ϕ} as the physical quantities in Bondi gauge and keep them fixed when taking flat limit. Note that, the order of β_U and β_V in terms of ℓ are chosen such that we can get finite β_u and β_{ϕ} .

The Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of the inner horizon of the Rindler AdS_3 is given by

$$S_{\text{inner}} = \frac{\ell}{4G} \left| \log \frac{\beta_U \sinh(\pi \ell l_U / \beta_U)}{\ell \epsilon_U} - \log \frac{\beta_V \sinh(\pi \ell l_V / \beta_V)}{\ell \epsilon_V} \right|.$$
(7.29)

Then we use (7.23) (7.24) and take the flat limit. We find

$$S_{\text{HEE}} = \frac{c_{\text{M}}}{6} \left(\frac{\pi}{\beta_{\phi}} \left(l_u + \frac{l_{\phi}\beta_u}{\beta_{\phi}} \right) \coth \frac{l_{\phi}\pi}{\beta_{\phi}} - \frac{\beta_u}{\beta_{\phi}} - \frac{\epsilon_u}{\epsilon_{\phi}} \right), \tag{7.30}$$

which agrees with (4.43) when $c_L = 0$.

¹⁰The thermal circle associated to the outer horizon is given by $(U, V) \sim (U + \frac{\beta_U i}{\ell}, V - \frac{\beta_V i}{\ell})$.

Global Minkowski

Similarly when we consider imaginary temperatures $T_U \rightarrow -\frac{i\pi\ell}{L_U}$, $T_V \rightarrow -\frac{i\pi\ell}{L_V}$, the thermal circle (7.27) changes to a spatial circle

spatial circle:
$$(U, V) \sim (U - \frac{L_U}{\ell}, V - \frac{L_V}{\ell}).$$
 (7.31)

Compared with the finite temperatures case, this equals to replace (β_U, β_V) with (iL_U, iL_V) and replace (β_u, β_ϕ) with (iL_u, iL_ϕ) . Accordingly the spatial circle in the (u, ϕ) coordinates is given by

spatial circle: $(u, \phi) \sim (u - L_u, \phi + L_\phi)$. (7.32)

The Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of the inner horizon of AdS_3 (7.3) then becomes

$$S_{\text{inner}} = \frac{\ell}{4G} \left| \log \frac{L_U \sin \left(\pi l_U / L_U \right)}{\epsilon_U} - \log \frac{L_V \sin \left(\pi l_V / L_V \right)}{\epsilon_V} \right| , \qquad (7.33)$$

which, after taking the flat limit, reduces to

$$S_{\text{HEE}} = \frac{1}{2G} \left| \frac{\pi}{L_{\phi}} \left(l_u + \frac{l_{\phi}L_u}{L_{\phi}} \right) \cot \frac{l_{\phi}\pi}{L_{\phi}} - \frac{L_u}{L_{\phi}} - \frac{\epsilon_u}{\epsilon_{\phi}} \right|.$$
(7.34)

As expected, when we set $L_{\phi} = 2\pi$ and $L_u = 0$ the flat space is just global Minkowski. Then we have

$$S_{\text{HEE}} = \frac{c_{\text{M}}}{6} \left| \frac{l_u}{2} \cot \frac{l_\phi}{2} - \frac{\epsilon_u}{\epsilon_\phi} \right| , \qquad (7.35)$$

which agrees with our previous result (5.33) when $c_{\rm L} = 0$.

8 Topologically massive gravity

8.1 Topologically massive gravity in flat space

In the previous sections, we considered the Einstein gravity and calculated the holographic entanglement entropy. The results are in agreement with field theory calculations as well as other methods in literatures. However, the asymptotic symmetry algebra of Einstein gravity has only one non-vanishing central charge $c_{\rm M}$. In order to go beyond and incorporate the $c_{\rm L}$ effects, we can consider the topologically massive gravity (TMG) [93, 94].

The action of TMG in AdS includes Einstein-Hilbert term, cosmological constant term and Chern-Simons term

$$S_{\rm TMG} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^3x \,\sqrt{-g} \left[R + \frac{2}{\ell^2} + \frac{1}{2\mu} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \Big(\Gamma^{\rho}_{\alpha\sigma} \partial_{\beta} \Gamma^{\sigma}_{\gamma\rho} + \frac{2}{3} \Gamma^{\rho}_{\alpha\sigma} \Gamma^{\sigma}_{\beta\eta} \Gamma^{\eta}_{\gamma\rho} \Big) \right]. \tag{8.1}$$

Einstein gravity is recovered in the limit $\mu \to \infty$. The dual CFT is described by Virasoro algebra with left and right central charges [95]

$$c_{\rm TMG}^+ = \frac{3\ell}{2G}(1+\frac{1}{\mu\ell}), \quad c_{\rm TMG}^- = \frac{3\ell}{2G}(1-\frac{1}{\mu\ell}).$$
 (8.2)

In the flat limit ¹¹ $\ell \to \infty$, the cosmological constant disappears and we come to the TMG in flat spacetime. Asymptotic symmetry group analysis at null infinity yields the BMS₃ algebra (3.6) with central charge [35]

$$c_{\rm L} = \frac{3}{\mu G}, \qquad c_{\rm M} = \frac{3}{G}.$$
 (8.3)

Alternatively, these central charges can be obtained from AdS by taking Wigner-Inonu contraction: $c_{\rm L} = c_{\rm TMG}^+ - c_{\rm TMG}^-$, $c_{\rm M} = (c_{\rm TMG}^+ + c_{\rm TMG}^-)/\ell$.

The TMG also admits BTZ black hole solutions as in the Einstein gravity case

$$ds^{2} = -\frac{(r^{2} - r_{+}^{2})(r^{2} - r_{-}^{2})}{\ell^{2}r^{2}}dt^{2} + \frac{\ell^{2}r^{2}}{(r^{2} - r_{+}^{2})(r^{2} - r_{-}^{2})}dr^{2} + r^{2}\left(d\varphi - \frac{r_{+}r_{-}}{\ell r^{2}}dt\right)^{2}, \quad (8.4)$$

with

$$\hat{M} = \frac{r_{+}^{2} + r_{-}^{2}}{\ell^{2}}, \quad \hat{J} = \frac{2r_{+}r_{-}}{\ell}.$$
(8.5)

But due to the presence of CS term, the physical conserved charges associated with BTZ in TMG get shifted [95, 97]

$$\mathcal{M} = \hat{M} + \frac{\hat{J}}{\mu\ell^2}, \qquad \mathcal{J} = \hat{J} + \frac{\hat{M}}{\mu}.$$
(8.6)

Fixing the physical charges \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{J} and taking the flat limit $\ell \to \infty$, we have

$$\hat{M} \to M = \mathcal{M}, \qquad \hat{J} \to J = \mathcal{M} - \frac{\mathcal{J}}{\mu}, \qquad (8.7)$$

$$r_+ \to \ell \sqrt{\mathcal{M}} = \ell \sqrt{M}, \qquad r_- \to \frac{\mathcal{J} + \mathcal{M}/\mu}{2\sqrt{\hat{M}}} = \frac{J}{2\sqrt{M}} \equiv r_c, \qquad (8.8)$$

and the BTZ metric reduces to the FSC metric after transforming to the Bondi gauge.

The line element on the inner and outer horizon are

$$ds_{\text{outer}}^2 = (r_+ d\varphi + \frac{r_- dt}{\ell})^2 \xrightarrow{\ell \to \infty} (\sqrt{M} \ell d\varphi + r_c dt/\ell)^2, \qquad (8.9)$$

$$ds_{\text{inner}}^2 = (r_- d\varphi + \frac{r_+ dt}{\ell})^2 \xrightarrow{\ell \to \infty} (r_c d\varphi + \sqrt{M} dt)^2.$$
(8.10)

8.2 Thermal entropy formula from flat limit

The thermal entropy associated with the inner and outer horizons of the BTZ black hole can be obtained as [98-101]

$$S_{\text{inner}} = \frac{\ell_{\text{inner horizon}}}{4G} + \frac{\ell_{\text{outer horizon}}}{4G\mu\ell}, \qquad S_{\text{outer}} = \frac{\ell_{\text{outer horizon}}}{4G} + \frac{\ell_{\text{inner horizon}}}{4G\mu\ell}. \tag{8.11}$$

¹¹ Here we are simply choosing the flat limit as $\ell \to \infty$. A different double scaling limit yields the so-called flat-space chiral gravity [35] which is argued to be unitary and ghost-free. For TMG in AdS, it generally admits ghost fluctuations except at some critical point [96]. Hence, the simple large ℓ limit of TMG is expected to admit non-unitary fluctuations as well. But here we assume that this is not relevant for our entropy calculation in both field theory and gravity sides.

where the length of horizon comes from integration.

In the flat limit, the thermal entropy of the FSC comes from the inner horizon of BTZ black hole, so the entropy should be

$$S_{\text{FSC TMG}} = S_{\text{inner}}|_{\ell \to \infty} = \frac{\sqrt{M}\Delta t + r_c \Delta \varphi}{4G} + \frac{\sqrt{M}\ell \Delta \varphi}{4G\mu\ell}$$
(8.12)

$$=\frac{\sqrt{M}\Delta u + r_c \Delta \phi}{4G} + \frac{\sqrt{M}\Delta \phi}{4G\mu} \equiv S_{\rm BH} + S_{\rm CS}, \qquad (8.13)$$

where in the second line, we have transformed to Bondi gauge. The CS correction to thermal entropy is

$$S_{\rm CS} = \frac{\sqrt{M}\Delta\phi}{4G\mu} \,. \tag{8.14}$$

8.3 Thermal entropy formula from direct calculation

In the previous subsection, we derived the contribution of Chern-Simons term to the entropy by taking the flat limit of BTZ black hole. This approach is physically more intuitive. However, considering the possible subtlety of flat limit, a more direct calculation without involving BTZ is also very desirable. This can be done by performing a symplectic analysis. As elaborated in [101], the shift of thermal entropy due to the Chern-Simons term in 3D can be calculated from the following formula:

$$S_{\rm CS} = \frac{1}{4G\mu} \int_{\Sigma} \Gamma_N, \quad \text{with} \quad \Gamma_N = -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu}_{\ \sigma} \Gamma^{\sigma}_{\mu\rho} dx^{\rho} \,, \tag{8.15}$$

where the bifurcation horizon Σ is a co-dimension 2 surface at radius $r = r_c \equiv J/2\sqrt{M}$, generated by the Killing vector

$$\zeta = \partial_u - \frac{2M}{J} \partial_\phi \,. \tag{8.16}$$

The binormal vector $\epsilon^{\mu\nu}$ is defined as

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu} = n^{\mu}\zeta^{\nu} - n^{\nu}\zeta^{\mu}, \qquad (8.17)$$

where n^{μ} is null vector normal to Σ , given by

$$n = \partial_r \,. \tag{8.18}$$

Then, we can easily find that $\epsilon^{ur} = -\epsilon^{ru} = -1, \epsilon^{r\phi} = -\epsilon^{\phi r} = -\frac{2M}{J}$ and

$$\epsilon^{\mu}{}_{\sigma}\Gamma^{\sigma}_{\mu u} = \epsilon^{\mu}{}_{\sigma}\Gamma^{\sigma}_{\mu r} = 0, \quad \epsilon^{\mu}{}_{\sigma}\Gamma^{\sigma}_{\mu \phi} = -2\sqrt{M}.$$
(8.19)

Therefore,

$$S_{\rm CS} = \frac{1}{4G\mu} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{-1}{2} (-2\sqrt{M}) d\phi = \frac{\sqrt{M\Delta\phi}}{4G\mu}, \qquad (8.20)$$

where the $\Delta \phi$ is the extension of Σ along ϕ direction. This agrees with the previous results (8.14) obtained by taking flat limit.

8.4 CS contribution to holographic entanglement entropy

In previous sections, we have derived the holographic entanglement entropy in Einstein gravity by considering Bekenstein-Hawking entropy in the Rindler spacetime. As expected, it reproduces the results in dual field theory with $c_{\rm L} = 0$. Now by considering the TMG, we get a non-vanishing central charge $c_{\rm L}$ in the dual field theory. The effects of $c_{\rm L}$ on holographic entanglement entropy can be similarly calculated by employing the CS correction to the thermal entropy $S_{\rm CS}$.

Using Rindler method, the contribution of CS term to entanglement entropy boils down to the quantity $\Delta \tilde{\phi}$ in Rindler spacetime FSC. Since we have calculated $\Delta \tilde{\phi}$ in different cases (see (5.15), (5.26), (5.32)), it is straightforward to get entanglement entropy corrections due to the CS term

Poincaré:
$$S_{\rm CS} = \frac{1}{2\mu G} \log \frac{l_{\phi}}{\epsilon_{\phi}},$$
 (8.21)

FSC:
$$S_{\rm CS} = \frac{1}{2\mu G} \log \frac{2\sinh\frac{\sqrt{M}l_{\phi}}{2}}{\sqrt{M}\epsilon_{\phi}},$$
 (8.22)

Global Minkowski:
$$S_{\rm CS} = \frac{1}{2\mu G} \log \frac{2\sin\frac{\epsilon_{\phi}}{2}}{\epsilon_{\phi}}$$
. (8.23)

Together with the Bekenstein-Hawking part considered previously, it is easy to check that the total S_{HEE} exactly agrees with field theory results.

9 Rényi entropy

In this section, we will use Rindler method to derive the Rényi entropy. Under the Rindler transformation, the density matrix is transformed unitarily. Thus, the entanglement entropy and Rényi entropy in the original space are the same as the thermal entropy and thermal Reyni entropy in the Rindler space respectively. Therefore, we only need to consider the thermal Rényi entropy in the Rindler space and make a connection between thermal Rényi entropy and thermal entropy. Then, the relation between Rényi entropy and entanglement entropy in the original space follows directly.

Field Theory Side

The thermal Rényi entropy on arbitrary torus is defined as

$$S_{\bar{b}|b}^{(n)}(\bar{a}|a) = \frac{1}{1-n} \log\left(\frac{Z_{\bar{b}|b}(n\bar{a}|na)}{Z_{\bar{b}|b}(\bar{a}|a)^n}\right).$$
(9.1)

By performing a BMS coordinate transformation, the Rényi entropy on arbitrary torus is the same as the Rényi entropy on the canonical torus

$$S_{\bar{b}|b}^{(n)}(\bar{a}|a) = S_{0|1}^{(n)}(\hat{\beta}_u|\hat{\beta}_{\phi}) = \frac{1}{1-n} \log\left(\frac{Z_{0|1}(n\hat{\beta}_u, n\hat{\beta}_{\phi})}{Z_{0|1}(\hat{\beta}_u, \hat{\beta}_{\phi})^n}\right),\tag{9.2}$$

where the partition on the canonical torus, as justified in appendix A, can be approximated by

$$Z_{0|1}(\hat{\beta}_u|\hat{\beta}_\phi) \approx \exp\left(-c_{\rm M}\frac{\pi^2 \hat{\beta}_u}{6\hat{\beta}_\phi^2} - c_{\rm L}\frac{\pi^2}{6\hat{\beta}_\phi}\right).$$
(9.3)

Thus,

$$S_{\bar{b}|b}^{(n)}(\bar{a}|a) = -\frac{\pi^2}{6}(1+\frac{1}{n})\Big(\frac{c_{\rm L}}{\hat{\beta}_{\phi}} + \frac{c_{\rm M}\hat{\beta}_u}{\beta_{\phi}^2}\Big) = \frac{1}{2}(1+\frac{1}{n})S_{\bar{b}|b}^{(1)}(\bar{a}|a) \equiv \frac{1}{2}(1+\frac{1}{n})S_{\bar{b}|b}(\bar{a}|a). \quad (9.4)$$

Therefore, for all the cases we considered before, one can obtain the following relation between Rényi entropy and entanglement entropy by using the Rindler method

$$S_{\rm BMSFT}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{2}(1+\frac{1}{n})S_{\rm EE}.$$
(9.5)

Obviously, the Rényi entropy is alway proportional to entanglement entropy by a fixed coefficient and reduces to the entanglement entropy in the limit $n \to 1$. In addition, the coefficient in BMSFT in the same as that in CFT. This is expected from the fact that BMSFT is a specific limit of CFT.

Gravity Side

Consider the periodic identified FSC $\tilde{\phi} \sim \tilde{\phi} + 2\pi^{-12}$, the relevant thermal dynamic quantities associated with the Cauchy horizon, including thermal entropy, Hawking temperature and angular velocity, are given by¹³

$$S_C = \frac{J\pi}{\sqrt{2GM}} + \frac{\sqrt{2GM}\pi}{G\mu}, \quad T_C = \frac{2M\sqrt{2GM}}{\pi J}, \quad \Omega_C = \frac{2M}{J}, \quad (9.6)$$

where the second term in S_C has been derived in section 8. The physical conserved charges are

$$\mathcal{M} = M, \quad \mathcal{J} = J + M/\mu \,. \tag{9.7}$$

Then, it is easy to show that the system satisfies the first law [47]

$$d\mathcal{M} = -T_C dS_C + \Omega_C d\mathcal{J} \,. \tag{9.8}$$

Note that the first law is not the conventional one due to the minus sign before T_C . The partition function is thus defined as

$$\ln Z_C(\beta_C, \Phi_C) \equiv -S_C - \beta_C \mathcal{M} + \Phi_C \mathcal{J}, \qquad (9.9)$$

where $\beta_C = T_C^{-1}, \Phi_C = \beta_C \Omega_C.$

¹²For general periodic identification, one can discuss similarly by calculating the conserved charges more carefully. Or one can consider a further linear coordinate transformation to arrive at the torus $\hat{\phi} \sim \hat{\phi} + 2\pi$.

¹³Here we restore the G-dependence of all the quantities, especially M, J in the previous sections should be $M, J \rightarrow 8GM, 8GJ$.

One can show that

$$\ln Z_C(\beta_C, \Phi_C) = -\frac{\pi^2}{2G} \left(\frac{\beta_C}{\Phi_C^2} + \frac{1}{\mu \Phi_C} \right).$$
(9.10)

The Rényi entropy is defined as

$$-S^{(n)} = \frac{1}{1-n} \log \operatorname{Tr} \rho^n, \qquad (9.11)$$

where we put an additional minus sign to take account of the unconventional first law, otherwise the entropy obtained below is negative. The normalized density matrix is

$$\rho = \frac{\exp\left[-\beta_C \mathcal{M} + \Phi_C \mathcal{J}\right]}{\operatorname{Tr} \exp\left[-\beta_C \mathcal{M} + \Phi_C \mathcal{J}\right]}.$$
(9.12)

Then, we can obtain the thermal Rényi entropy

$$-S_{\text{bulk}}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{1-n} \log \frac{Z_C(n\beta_C, n\Phi_C)}{Z_C(\beta_C, \Phi_C)^n} = (1+\frac{1}{n}) \ln Z_C(\beta_C, \Phi_C).$$
(9.13)

This yields the following relations

$$S_{\text{bulk}}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \frac{1}{n}) S_{\text{bulk}}^{(1)} \equiv \frac{1}{2} (1 + \frac{1}{n}) S_{\text{thermal}} , \qquad (9.14)$$

where the thermal entropies include the Bekenstein-Hawking term and the Chern-Simons term contribution.

After performing a Rindler transformation, (9.14) is translated to the relations between holographic Rényi entropy(HRE) and holographic entanglement entropy(HEE)

$$S_{\text{HRE}}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \frac{1}{n}) S_{\text{HEE}} , \qquad (9.15)$$

Comparing the results of gravity and field theory, we can find that the Rényi entropy agrees on both sides

$$S_{\rm HRE}^{(n)} = S_{\rm BMSFT}^{(n)}$$
 (9.16)

Acknowledgement

We thank for helpful discussions with A. Castro, C. Chang, G. Compère, B. Czech, M. Guica, T. Hartman, R. Miao, R. Myers, M. Rangamani, and J. Xu. This work was supported in part by start-up funding from Tsinghua University. W.S. is also supported by the National Thousand-Young-Talents Program of China. H.J. is supported by grants HKUST4/CRF/13G and ECS 26300316 issued by the Research Grants Council (RGC) of Hong Kong. H.J. would like to thank the Yau Mathematical Sciences Center in Tsinghua University for kind hospitality.

A "Cardy" formula in BMSFT revisited

Consider a BMSFT living on an arbitrary torus with the following identification

$$(\tilde{u}, \tilde{\phi}) \sim (\tilde{u} + i\bar{a}, \tilde{\phi} - ia) \sim (\tilde{u} + 2\pi\bar{b}, \tilde{\phi} - 2\pi b).$$
 (A.1)

The partition function of BMSFT on such torus is defined as

$$Z_{\bar{b}|b}(\bar{a}|a) \equiv \operatorname{Tr}_{\bar{b}|b}\left(e^{-\bar{a}\mathcal{M}_{0}^{\bar{b}|b}}e^{a\mathcal{L}_{0}^{\bar{b}|b}}\right),\tag{A.2}$$

where in the *a*-circle is viewed as the thermal circle, and $\mathcal{M}_0^{\bar{b}|b}$, $\mathcal{L}_0^{\bar{b}|b}$ are the charges generating the translation along u and ϕ directions, defined on the spatial *b*-circle.

The spectrum of a field theory is usually discussed on certain canonical circle which is a 2π spatial circle along ϕ . Under the BMS transformation

$$\hat{\phi} = \frac{\tilde{\phi}}{b}, \qquad \hat{u} = \frac{\tilde{u}}{b} + \frac{\bar{b}}{b^2}\tilde{\phi}, \qquad (A.3)$$

the new torus has a canonical spatial circle,

$$(\hat{u},\hat{\phi}) \sim (\hat{u}+i\hat{\beta}_u,\hat{\phi}-i\hat{\beta}_\phi) \sim (\hat{u},\hat{\phi}-2\pi), \qquad (A.4)$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{\phi} = \frac{a}{b}, \quad \hat{\beta}_u = \frac{\bar{a}b - ab}{b^2}.$$
(A.5)

Note that the Schwarzian derivative for the BMS transformation (A.3) vanishes, thus, the new charges from (3.8) will not acquire any anomalous terms, and the partition function is invariant under this transformation

$$\hat{Z}_{\bar{b}|b}(\bar{a}|a) = Z_{0|1}(\hat{\beta}_{u}|\hat{\beta}_{\phi}),
= \operatorname{Tr}_{0|1}\left(e^{-\hat{\beta}_{u}\mathcal{M}_{0}^{\mathrm{Cyl}}}e^{\hat{\beta}_{\phi}\mathcal{L}_{0}^{\mathrm{Cyl}}}\right),
= \operatorname{Tr}_{0|1}\left(e^{-\hat{\beta}_{u}(\mathcal{M}_{0}-\frac{c_{\mathrm{M}}}{24})}e^{\hat{\beta}_{\phi}(\mathcal{L}_{0}-\frac{c_{\mathrm{L}}}{24})}\right),$$
(A.6)

where the $\mathcal{M}_0^{\text{Cyl}}$, $\mathcal{L}_0^{\text{Cyl}}$ are the charges of ∂_u and ∂_ϕ on the cylinder with the canonical spatial cycle, and on the third line we have used (3.12) to express the partition function in terms of the charges on the plane.

Next we perform the following BMS transformation (or S-transformation) that exchanges the spatial and thermal circle of the torus,

$$\hat{\phi}' = 2\pi i \frac{\hat{\phi}}{\hat{\beta}_{\phi}}, \qquad \hat{u}' = \frac{2\pi i}{\hat{\beta}_{\phi}} (\hat{u} + \frac{\hat{\beta}_u}{\hat{\beta}_{\phi}} \hat{\phi}). \tag{A.7}$$

The torus under this transformation become

$$(\hat{u}', \hat{\phi}') \sim (\hat{u}', \hat{\phi}' + 2\pi) \sim (\hat{u}' + i\hat{\beta}'_u, \hat{\phi}' - i\hat{\beta}'_{\phi}),$$
 (A.8)

with

$$\hat{\beta}'_{u} = -4\pi^{2} \frac{\hat{\beta}_{u}}{\hat{\beta}_{\phi}^{2}}, \qquad \hat{\beta}'_{\phi} = \frac{4\pi^{2}}{\hat{\beta}_{\phi}}.$$
 (A.9)

Again, the Schwarzian derivative for the BMS transformation (A.7) vanishes, thus, no anomalous terms appear and we have

$$Z_{0|1}(\hat{\beta}_u|\hat{\beta}_\phi) = Z_{0|1}(-4\pi^2 \frac{\hat{\beta}_u}{\hat{\beta}_\phi^2}|\frac{4\pi^2}{\hat{\beta}_\phi}).$$
(A.10)

This can be regarded as the modular invariance of BMSFT. This modular invariance agrees with the modular invariance inherited from CFT_2 under flat limit [46, 47].

For a CFT, the Cardy formula is valid in certain parameter region [85, 86] where the partition function can be approximated by the ground state contribution after the Stransformation. Similarly, in the "Cardy region" of GCFT, vacuum contribution is expected to dominate the partition function, so that

$$Z_{0|1}(\hat{\beta}_{u}|\hat{\beta}_{\phi}) = Z_{0|1}(-4\pi^{2}\frac{\hat{\beta}_{u}}{\hat{\beta}_{\phi}^{2}}|\frac{4\pi^{2}}{\hat{\beta}_{\phi}}) = \operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{4\pi^{2}\frac{\hat{\beta}_{u}}{\hat{\beta}_{\phi}^{2}}(\mathcal{M}_{0}-\frac{c_{M}}{24})}e^{\frac{4\pi^{2}}{\hat{\beta}_{\phi}}(\mathcal{L}_{0}-\frac{c_{L}}{24})}\right),$$
$$\approx \exp\left(-c_{M}\frac{\pi^{2}\hat{\beta}_{u}}{6\hat{\beta}_{\phi}^{2}}-c_{L}\frac{\pi^{2}}{6\hat{\beta}_{\phi}}\right),$$
(A.11)

where the vacuum charges on the plane have been taken to be zero. In this paper, we will not attempt to give a necessary condition for (A.11), due to some unusual properties of BMSFT including the abnormal first law of thermodynamics [46, 47] and the generic non-unitarity of the highest weight representation [88]. A sufficient condition for the last line of (A.11) is that the charges $\mathcal{L}_0, \mathcal{M}_0$ are bounded from below, and

$$\hat{\beta}_{\phi} \to 0^{-}, \qquad \hat{\beta}_{u}/\hat{\beta}_{\phi}^{2} \to \mathbb{R}^{-}.$$
 (A.12)

Then the thermal entropy is approximately

$$S_{\bar{b}|b}(\bar{a}|a) = S_{0|1}(\hat{\beta}_{u}|\hat{\beta}_{\phi}) = (1 - \hat{\beta}_{u}\partial_{\hat{\beta}_{u}} - \hat{\beta}_{\phi}\partial_{\hat{\beta}_{\phi}})\log Z_{0|1}(\hat{\beta}_{u}|\ \hat{\beta}_{\phi}), \qquad (A.13)$$

$$= -\frac{\pi^2}{3} \left(\frac{c_{\rm L}}{\hat{\beta}_{\phi}} + \frac{c_{\rm M} \beta_u}{\hat{\beta}_{\phi}^2} \right),\tag{A.14}$$

$$= -\frac{\pi^2}{3} \left(c_{\rm L} \frac{b}{a} + c_{\rm M} \frac{(\bar{a}b - a\bar{b})}{a^2} \right).$$
(A.15)

B Killing vectors

We focus on the local isometries of the solutions (3.17), which can be obtained from the Killing equations $\mathcal{L}_{\xi}g_{\mu\nu} = 0$. The general solutions have the following form

$$\xi^{u} = u\partial_{\phi}Y(\phi) + T(\phi), \qquad (B.1)$$

$$\xi^{\phi} = Y(\phi) - \frac{u}{r} \partial_{\phi} \partial_{\phi} Y(\phi) - \frac{1}{r} \partial_{\phi} T(\phi) , \qquad (B.2)$$

$$\xi^r = -\frac{J}{2r}\partial_\phi \xi^u - r\partial_\phi \xi^\phi \,, \tag{B.3}$$

where Y and T satisfy the following equations

$$M\partial_{\phi}Y - \partial_{\phi}^{3}Y = 0, \qquad (B.4)$$

$$J\partial_{\phi}Y + M\partial_{\phi}T - \partial_{\phi}^{3}T = 0.$$
(B.5)

A general Killing vector can be obtained and written in the following form

$$\xi = \sum_{i=-1}^{1} b_i L_i + d_i M_i \,, \tag{B.6}$$

where b_i, c_i are arbitrary constants and L_i, M_i are the normalized to satisfy the sub-algebra of the GCA

$$[L_i, L_j] = (i - j)L_{i+j},$$
 (B.7a)

$$[L_i, M_j] = (i - j)M_{i+j}, \qquad i, j = \{-1, 0, 1\},$$
(B.7b)

$$[M_i, M_j] = 0, (B.7c)$$

The explicit form of the generators depend on the background, which will be displayed below.

B.1 FSC

The most general solutions can be found

$$Y(\phi) = \sum_{j=-1}^{1} \frac{b_j}{\sqrt{M}} e^{-j\sqrt{M}\phi},$$
 (B.8)

$$T(\phi) = \sum_{j=-1}^{1} \left(b_j \frac{J}{2M^{\frac{3}{2}}} (\phi \partial_{\phi} - 1) e^{-j\sqrt{M}\phi} - \frac{d_j}{\sqrt{M}} e^{-j\sqrt{M}\phi} \right).$$
(B.9)

More explicitly, the Killing vectors are ($\xi = \xi^u \partial_u + \xi^r \partial_r + \xi^\phi \partial_\phi \equiv (\xi^u,\xi^r,\xi^\phi)$)

$$L_1 = -e^{-\sqrt{M}\phi} \left(\frac{(\sqrt{M}r_c\phi + Mu + r_c)}{M}, \frac{(r+r_c)(\sqrt{M}r_c\phi + Mu - r)}{r}, \frac{(\sqrt{M}r_c\phi + Mu - r)}{\sqrt{M}r} \right), (B.10a)$$

$$L_0 = \left(-\frac{r_c}{M}, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}}\right), \tag{B.10b}$$

$$L_{-1} = e^{\sqrt{M}\phi} \left(\frac{(\sqrt{M}r_c\phi + Mu - r_c)}{M}, \frac{(r - r_c)(\sqrt{M}r_c\phi + Mu - r)}{r}, -\frac{(\sqrt{M}r_c\phi + Mu - r)}{\sqrt{M}r} \right), \quad (B.10c)$$

$$M_1 = -e^{-\sqrt{M}\phi} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}}, \frac{\sqrt{M}(r+r_c)}{r}, \frac{1}{r}\right), \tag{B.10d}$$

$$M_0 = \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}}, 0, 0\right),\tag{B.10e}$$

$$M_{-1} = e^{\sqrt{M}\phi} \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}}, -\frac{\sqrt{M}(r-r_c)}{r}, \frac{1}{r} \right).$$
(B.10f)

B.2 Poincaré patch

In the Poincaré patch, M = J = 0, the Killing vectors are

$$L_{1} = (-2u\phi, 2r\phi, \frac{2u}{r} - \phi^{2}), \qquad L_{0} = (-u, r, -\phi), \qquad L_{-1} = (0, 0, -1),$$

$$M_{1} = (\phi^{2}, 2, -\frac{2\phi}{r}), \qquad M_{0} = (\phi, 0, -\frac{1}{r}), \qquad M_{-1} = (1, 0, 0).$$
(B.11)

One can even show that the above Killing vectors can also be obtained by taking the flat limit of the $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ algebra of Poincaré AdS: expressing the AdS Killing vectors in terms of BMS coordinate and then taking Wigner-Inonu contraction.

B.3 Global Minkowski

This is the global Minkowski spacetime and the isometry group is the Poincaré group which is composed of translation, spatial-rotation and boost.

$$L_{1} = -e^{-i\phi} \left(u, -u - r, i(\frac{u}{r} + 1) \right),$$
 (B.12a)

$$L_0 = \left(0, 0, -i\right), \tag{B.12b}$$

$$L_{-1} = e^{i\phi} \left(u, -u - r, -i(\frac{u}{r} + 1) \right),$$
(B.12c)

$$M_1 = e^{-i\phi} \left(i, -i, -\frac{1}{r} \right), \tag{B.12d}$$

$$M_0 = \left(i, 0, 0\right), \tag{B.12e}$$

$$M_{-1} = e^{i\phi} \left(i, -i, \frac{1}{r} \right).$$
 (B.12f)

C Rindler transformations for BMSFT

We follow the procedure in section 4.1 to derive the Rindler transformation for BMSFT with a thermal or spatial circle.

C.1 Thermal BMSFT

For finite temperature BMSFT dual to FSC, the symmetry generators that preserves vacuum can be obtained from the bulk Killing vectors (B.10) by keeping the u, ϕ components and then taking limit $r \to \infty$. By matching with the general form of generators (4.9), we can get the following two functions

$$Y = \frac{\beta_{\phi}}{2\pi} \Big(b_0 + b_1 e^{-\frac{2\pi\phi}{\beta_{\phi}}} + b_{-1} e^{\frac{2\pi\phi}{\beta_{\phi}}} \Big),$$
(C.1)
$$T = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \Big(e^{\frac{2\pi\phi}{\beta_{\phi}}} (b_{-1}\beta_u + d_{-1}\beta_{\phi} - \frac{2\pi b_{-1}\phi\beta_u}{\beta_{\phi}}) + b_0\beta_u + d_0\beta_{\phi} + e^{-\frac{2\pi\phi}{\beta_{\phi}}} (b_1\beta_u + d_1\beta_{\phi} + \frac{2\pi b_1\phi\beta_u}{\beta_{\phi}}) \Big).$$
(C.2)

Solving (4.13), we get

$$f = \frac{2}{\sqrt{4b_{-1}b_1 - b_0^2}} \arctan\left(\frac{(b_{-1} - b_0 + b_1) \tanh\left(\frac{\pi\phi}{\beta_\phi}\right) + b_{-1} - b_1}{\sqrt{4b_{-1}b_1 - b_0^2}}\right) + c_1.$$
(C.3)

We set $c_1 = 0$, since c_1 shift the origin of $\tilde{\phi}$. Also we set $b_1 = b_{-1}$ such that the center point of the interval is at $\phi = 0$. Furthermore, we define

$$b_0 = \frac{\pi}{\tilde{\beta}_{\phi}} \Big(\tanh(\frac{\pi l_{\phi}}{2\beta_{\phi}}) + \coth(\frac{\pi l_{\phi}}{2\beta_{\phi}}) \Big), \quad b_1 = -\frac{\pi}{\tilde{\beta}_{\phi}} \operatorname{csch}(\frac{\pi l_{\phi}}{\beta_{\phi}}), \quad (C.4)$$

then, the solution (C.3) can be written as

$$f = \frac{\tilde{\beta}_{\phi}}{\pi} \operatorname{arctanh} \left(\frac{\tanh(\frac{\pi\phi}{\beta_{\phi}})}{\tanh(\frac{\pi l_{\phi}}{2\beta_{\phi}})} \right), \qquad (C.5)$$

Solving (4.14) with Y given in (C.2), we can get the solution of g. Similarly we fix the translation symmetry of \tilde{u} and set the center points of the interval to be at u = 0. Furthermore we define the new parameters $\tilde{\beta}_u, \tilde{\beta}_\phi$ and express d_1, d_0, d_{-1} as

$$d_{1} = d_{-1} = -\frac{\pi \operatorname{csch}(\pi l_{\phi}/\beta_{\phi}) \left[-\tilde{\beta}_{u}\beta_{\phi}^{2} + \pi (l_{\phi}\beta_{u} + l_{u}\beta_{\phi})\tilde{\beta}_{\phi} \operatorname{coth}(\pi l_{\phi}/\beta_{\phi}) \right]}{\beta_{\phi}^{2}\tilde{\beta}_{\phi}^{2}}, \quad (C.6a)$$

$$d_0 = \frac{\pi \operatorname{csch}^2(\pi l_\phi/\beta_\phi) \left[-\tilde{\beta}_u \beta_\phi^2 \operatorname{sinh}(2\pi l_\phi/\beta_\phi) + 2\pi (l_\phi \beta_u + l_u \beta_\phi) \tilde{\beta}_\phi \right]}{\beta_\phi^2 \tilde{\beta}_\phi^2}, \quad (C.6b)$$

then the solution becomes

$$g = \frac{\tilde{\beta}_{\phi}}{2\beta_{\phi}^2} \frac{2\beta_u \sinh(\pi l_{\phi}/\beta_{\phi}) - (l_{\phi}\beta_u + l_u\beta_{\phi})\sinh(2\pi\phi/\beta_{\phi})}{\cosh(\pi l_{\phi}/\beta_{\phi}) - \cosh(2\pi\phi/\beta_{\phi})} - \frac{\tilde{\beta}_u}{\tilde{\beta}_{\phi}}f.$$
 (C.7)

Finally, we obtain the Rindler transformation for BMSFT at finite temperature

$$\tilde{\phi} = \frac{\tilde{\beta}_{\phi}}{\pi} \operatorname{arctanh}\left(\frac{\tanh(\frac{\pi\phi}{\beta_{\phi}})}{\tanh(\frac{\pi l_{\phi}}{2\beta_{\phi}})}\right),\tag{C.8a}$$

$$\tilde{u} + \frac{\tilde{\beta}_{u}}{\tilde{\beta}_{\phi}} \tilde{\phi} = \frac{\tilde{\beta}_{\phi}}{\beta_{\phi}} \frac{\sinh(\pi l_{\phi}/\beta_{\phi})}{\cosh(\pi l_{\phi}/\beta_{\phi}) - \cosh(2\pi\phi/\beta_{\phi})} \times \left(u + \frac{\beta_{u}}{\beta_{\phi}}\phi - \frac{l_{\phi}\beta_{u} + l_{u}\beta_{\phi}}{2\beta_{\phi}}\operatorname{csch}(\pi l_{\phi}/\beta_{\phi})\sinh(2\pi\phi/\beta_{\phi})\right). \quad (C.8b)$$

With the Rindler transformation coefficients (C.6) and (C.4) known, one can also write down the modular flow and its bulk extension using (4.7), (4.8), (4.28) and the Killing vectors (B.10).

C.2 BMSFT on the cylinder

The BMSFT with a spatial circle $\phi \sim \phi + 2\pi$, can be obtained from thermal BMSFT through analytical continuation by setting $\beta_{\phi} = -i2\pi$, $\beta_u = 0$,

$$\tilde{\phi} = \frac{\tilde{\beta}_{\phi}}{\pi} \operatorname{arctanh} \frac{\tan(\frac{\phi}{2})}{\tan(\frac{l_{\phi}}{4})}, \qquad (C.9a)$$

$$\tilde{u} + \frac{\tilde{\beta}_u}{\tilde{\beta}_\phi} \tilde{\phi} = \frac{\tilde{\beta}_\phi \sin(l_\phi/2)}{2\pi(\cos\phi - \cos(l_\phi/2))} \left(u - \frac{1}{2} l_u \csc(\frac{l_\phi}{2}) \sin\phi \right).$$
(C.9b)

The modular flow is given by

$$k_{t} = \pi \csc\left(\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}\right) \left(-l_{u} \csc\left(\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}\right) + l_{u} \cos(\phi) \cot\left(\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}\right) + 2u \sin(\phi)\right) \partial_{u} + 2\pi \left(\cos\left(\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}\right) - \cos(\phi)\right) \csc\left(\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}\right) \partial_{\phi}, \qquad (C.10)$$

which vanishes at the boundary end points $\left(-\frac{l_u}{2}, -\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{l_u}{2}, \frac{l_{\phi}}{2}\right)$. Substitute (B.12) into (4.7) and (4.8), the bulk extension of the modular flow in global Minkowski is given by

$$k_t^{\text{bulk}} = \pi \csc\left(\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}\right) \left(-l_u \csc\left(\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}\right) + l_u \cos(\phi) \cot\left(\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}\right) + 2u\sin(\phi)\right) \partial_u + \frac{\pi \left(\cot\left(\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}\right) \left(l_u \sin(\phi) \csc\left(\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}\right) + 2r\right) - 2(r+u)\cos(\phi)\csc\left(\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}\right)\right)}{r} \partial_\phi - \pi \csc\left(\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}\right) \left(l_u \cos(\phi)\cot\left(\frac{l_{\phi}}{2}\right) + 2(r+u)\sin(\phi)\right) \partial_r.$$
(C.11)

D Quotient method

In the main text, we use the bulk extension of boundary Rindler coordinate transformation to get the bulk Rindler coordinate transformation. This method is effective thanks to the the holographic dictionary and the relationship between bulk and boundary Killing vectors. But in fact the bulk coordinate transformation can also be found even in the case that the boundary field is unclear, by using the quotient method. It provides a more independent and also more general approach in discovering the bulk transformation.

We want to find a coordinate transformation which maps a finite region of the old space-time (u, r, ϕ) to the whole region of the new space-time $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{r}, \tilde{\phi})$. The coordinate transformation can be found by doing quotient on the old space time. Technically, this can be realised by considering the isometries or Killing vectors of space-time. The basis of Killing vectors for different classical solutions are given in Appendix B.

We would like to find two Killing vectors of the old space-time which act as the coordinates of new space-time

$$\partial_{\tilde{u}} = \boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{L} + \boldsymbol{c} \cdot \boldsymbol{M}, \quad \partial_{\tilde{\phi}} = \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{L} + \boldsymbol{d} \cdot \boldsymbol{M} ,$$
 (D.1)

where we use the notation $\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{L} = \sum_{i=-1}^{1} a_i L_i$.

Obviously, the two Killing vectors commute

$$[\partial_{\tilde{u}}, \partial_{\tilde{\phi}}] = 0 , \qquad (D.2)$$

and satisfy the BMS gauge conditions

$$\partial_{\tilde{u}} \cdot \partial_{\tilde{u}} = \tilde{M}, \quad \partial_{\tilde{u}} \cdot \partial_{\tilde{\phi}} = \tilde{J}, \quad \partial_{\tilde{\phi}} \cdot \partial_{\tilde{\phi}} = \tilde{r}^2 ,$$
 (D.3)

where the constants \tilde{M} and \tilde{J} are the mass and angular momentum of the new spacetime, while \tilde{r} is the radial co-ordinate of new space-time. These conditions impose some nontrivial constraints on the two Killing vectors.

First, for $\partial_{\tilde{u}}$ to be a vector with constant length, we require $\boldsymbol{a} = 0$ and $\boldsymbol{c} \neq 0$. Second, since $\partial_{\tilde{u}}$ and $J_{\tilde{\phi}}$ commute, namely $[\partial_{\tilde{u}}, \partial_{\tilde{\phi}}] = [\boldsymbol{c} \cdot \boldsymbol{M}, \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{L} + \boldsymbol{d} \cdot \boldsymbol{M}] = [\boldsymbol{c} \cdot \boldsymbol{M}, \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{L}] = 0$, one can get the requirement $\boldsymbol{c} = \lambda \boldsymbol{b}$ and $\lambda \neq 0$ (otherwise $\partial_{\tilde{\phi}} \cdot \partial_{\tilde{\phi}} = \text{constant}$).

So, we have

$$\partial_{\tilde{u}} = \lambda \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{M}, \quad \partial_{\tilde{\phi}} = \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{L} + \boldsymbol{d} \cdot \boldsymbol{M}, \quad \lambda \neq 0 .$$
 (D.4)

We should also determine $\partial_{\tilde{r}} = \partial_{\tilde{r}}$ which is not a Killing vector but can be determined from the Bondi gauge conditions:

$$\partial_{\tilde{r}} \cdot \partial_{\tilde{r}} = \partial_{\tilde{r}} \cdot \partial_{\tilde{\phi}} = 0, \quad \partial_{\tilde{u}} \cdot \partial_{\tilde{r}} = -1.$$
 (D.5)

Combing these vectors $(\partial_{\tilde{u}}, \partial_{\tilde{r}}, \partial_{\tilde{\phi}})$ together to form a matrix which is essentially the Jacobian matrix between old and new coordinate system, we can obtain the the coordinate transformation by solving the differential equations.

And in particular, the coordinate transformation obtained through the quotient method here coincides with the transformation obtained through bulk extension in section 5.1. For example, consider the quotient on Poincaré coordinate. After some trial and error, one can find that the two relevant Killing vectors satisfying (D.4)

$$\partial_{\tilde{u}} = -f_1 M_1 + f_{-1} M_{-1} \tag{D.6}$$

$$\partial_{\tilde{\phi}} = f_1 L_1 - f_{-1} L_{-1} + f_1 \Big(s_0 - r_0 \Big) M_1 + f_{-1} \Big(s_0 + r_0 \Big) M_{-1} , \qquad (D.7)$$

where the explicit basis Killing vectors are given in (B.11). Then $\partial_{\tilde{r}}$ can be determined from the Bondi gauge conditions (D.5). With the following reparameterization

$$f_{-1} = \frac{l_{\phi}\sqrt{\tilde{M}}}{4}, \quad f_1 = \frac{\sqrt{\tilde{M}}}{l_{\phi}}, \quad s_0 = \frac{l_u}{l_{\phi}}, \quad r_0 = \frac{\tilde{J}}{2\tilde{M}}, \quad (D.8)$$

the coefficients here are exactly the same as those obtained in field theory side (4.19) and (4.22). Solving the differential equations dictated by the Jacobian matrix $(\partial_{\tilde{u}}, \partial_{\tilde{r}}, \partial_{\tilde{\phi}})$ also reproduces the bulk Rindler coordinate transformation (5.5).

References

 G. 't Hooft, Dimensional reduction in quantum gravity, in Salamfest 1993:0284-296, pp. 0284-296, 1993. gr-qc/9310026.

- [2] L. Susskind, The World as a hologram, J. Math. Phys. 36 (1995) 6377-6396, [hep-th/9409089].
- J. M. Maldacena, The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 38 (1999) 1113-1133, [hep-th/9711200].
- [4] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, Gauge theory correlators from noncritical string theory, Phys. Lett. B428 (1998) 105–114, [hep-th/9802109].
- [5] E. Witten, Anti-de Sitter space and holography, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 253-291, [hep-th/9802150].
- [6] A. Strominger, The dS / CFT correspondence, JHEP 10 (2001) 034, [hep-th/0106113].
- [7] D. Anninos, T. Hartman and A. Strominger, Higher Spin Realization of the dS/CFT Correspondence, Class. Quant. Grav. 34 (2017) 015009, [1108.5735].
- [8] D. T. Son, Toward an AdS/cold atoms correspondence: A Geometric realization of the Schrodinger symmetry, Phys. Rev. D78 (2008) 046003, [0804.3972].
- K. Balasubramanian and J. McGreevy, Gravity duals for non-relativistic CFTs, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 (2008) 061601, [0804.4053].
- [10] S. Kachru, X. Liu and M. Mulligan, Gravity duals of Lifshitz-like fixed points, Phys. Rev. D78 (2008) 106005, [0808.1725].
- [11] M. Taylor, Lifshitz holography, Class. Quant. Grav. 33 (2016) 033001, [1512.03554].
- [12] M. Guica, T. Hartman, W. Song and A. Strominger, *The Kerr/CFT Correspondence*, *Phys. Rev.* D80 (2009) 124008, [0809.4266].
- [13] I. Bredberg, T. Hartman, W. Song and A. Strominger, Black Hole Superradiance From Kerr/CFT, JHEP 04 (2010) 019, [0907.3477].
- [14] A. Castro, A. Maloney and A. Strominger, Hidden Conformal Symmetry of the Kerr Black Hole, Phys. Rev. D82 (2010) 024008, [1004.0996].
- [15] I. Bredberg, C. Keeler, V. Lysov and A. Strominger, Cargese Lectures on the Kerr/CFT Correspondence, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 216 (2011) 194–210, [1103.2355].
- [16] G. Compère, The Kerr/CFT correspondence and its extensions: a comprehensive review, Living Rev. Rel. 15 (2012) 11, [1203.3561].
- [17] D. Anninos, W. Li, M. Padi, W. Song and A. Strominger, Warped AdS(3) Black Holes, JHEP 03 (2009) 130, [0807.3040].
- [18] S. Detournay, T. Hartman and D. M. Hofman, Warped Conformal Field Theory, Phys. Rev. D86 (2012) 124018, [1210.0539].
- [19] W. Song and J. Xu, Correlation Functions of Warped CFT, 1706.07621.
- [20] H. Bondi, M. G. J. van der Burg and A. W. K. Metzner, Gravitational waves in general relativity. 7. Waves from axisymmetric isolated systems, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A269 (1962) 21–52.
- [21] R. K. Sachs, Gravitational waves in general relativity. 8. Waves in asymptotically flat space-times, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A270 (1962) 103–126.
- [22] A. Strominger, On BMS Invariance of Gravitational Scattering, JHEP 07 (2014) 152, [1312.2229].

- [23] S. W. Hawking, M. J. Perry and A. Strominger, Soft Hair on Black Holes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116 (2016) 231301, [1601.00921].
- [24] G. Barnich and C. Troessaert, Aspects of the BMS/CFT correspondence, JHEP 05 (2010) 062, [1001.1541].
- [25] A. Bagchi, Correspondence between Asymptotically Flat Spacetimes and Nonrelativistic Conformal Field Theories, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 (2010) 171601, [1006.3354].
- [26] A. Bagchi and R. Fareghbal, BMS/GCA Redux: Towards Flatspace Holography from Non-Relativistic Symmetries, JHEP 10 (2012) 092, [1203.5795].
- [27] A. Bagchi, R. Basu, D. Grumiller and M. Riegler, Entanglement entropy in Galilean conformal field theories and flat holography, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114 (2015) 111602, [1410.4089].
- [28] T. He, V. Lysov, P. Mitra and A. Strominger, BMS supertranslations and Weinberg's soft graviton theorem, JHEP 05 (2015) 151, [1401.7026].
- [29] F. Cachazo and A. Strominger, Evidence for a New Soft Graviton Theorem, 1404.4091.
- [30] B. Zeldovich and A. Polnarev, Radiation of gravitational waves by a cluster of superdense stars, Ya. Sov. Astron. Lett. 18 (1974) 17.
- [31] A. Strominger and A. Zhiboedov, Gravitational Memory, BMS Supertranslations and Soft Theorems, JHEP 01 (2016) 086, [1411.5745].
- [32] A. Strominger, Lectures on the Infrared Structure of Gravity and Gauge Theory, 1703.05448.
- [33] A. Ashtekar, J. Bicak and B. G. Schmidt, Asymptotic structure of symmetry reduced general relativity, Phys. Rev. D55 (1997) 669–686, [gr-qc/9608042].
- [34] G. Barnich and G. Compere, Classical central extension for asymptotic symmetries at null infinity in three spacetime dimensions, Class. Quant. Grav. 24 (2007) F15–F23, [gr-qc/0610130].
- [35] A. Bagchi, S. Detournay and D. Grumiller, *Flat-Space Chiral Gravity*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 109 (2012) 151301, [1208.1658].
- [36] S. Detournay, D. Grumiller, F. Schöller and J. Simón, Variational principle and one-point functions in three-dimensional flat space Einstein gravity, Phys. Rev. D89 (2014) 084061, [1402.3687].
- [37] G. Barnich, A. Gomberoff and H. A. Gonzalez, The Flat limit of three dimensional asymptotically anti-de Sitter spacetimes, Phys. Rev. D86 (2012) 024020, [1204.3288].
- [38] G. Barnich, A. Gomberoff and H. A. González, Three-dimensional Bondi-Metzner-Sachs invariant two-dimensional field theories as the flat limit of Liouville theory, Phys. Rev. D87 (2013) 124032, [1210.0731].
- [39] G. Barnich and B. Oblak, Notes on the BMS group in three dimensions: I. Induced representations, JHEP 06 (2014) 129, [1403.5803].
- [40] G. Barnich and B. Oblak, Notes on the BMS group in three dimensions: II. Coadjoint representation, JHEP 03 (2015) 033, [1502.00010].
- [41] A. Campoleoni, H. A. Gonzalez, B. Oblak and M. Riegler, BMS Modules in Three Dimensions, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A31 (2016) 1650068, [1603.03812].

- [42] B. Oblak, BMS Particles in Three Dimensions, 1610.08526.
- [43] S. Carlip, The Dynamics of Supertranslations and Superrotations in 2+1 Dimensions, 1608.05088.
- [44] A. Bagchi, M. Gary and Zodinmawia, The BMS Bootstrap, 1612.01730.
- [45] C. Batlle, V. Campello and J. Gomis, *Canonical Realization of BMS*₃, 1703.01833.
- [46] A. Bagchi, S. Detournay, R. Fareghbal and J. Simón, Holography of 3D Flat Cosmological Horizons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 141302, [1208.4372].
- [47] G. Barnich, Entropy of three-dimensional asymptotically flat cosmological solutions, JHEP 10 (2012) 095, [1208.4371].
- [48] A. Bagchi, R. Basu, A. Kakkar and A. Mehra, Flat Holography: Aspects of the dual field theory, 1609.06203.
- [49] S. Prohazka, J. Salzer and F. Schöller, Linking Past and Future Null Infinity in Three Dimensions, Phys. Rev. D95 (2017) 086011, [1701.06573].
- [50] G. Compère and A. Fiorucci, Asymptotically flat spacetimes with BMS₃ symmetry, 1705.06217.
- [51] R. Fareghbal and A. Naseh, Flat-Space Energy-Momentum Tensor from BMS/GCA Correspondence, JHEP 03 (2014) 005, [1312.2109].
- [52] C. Krishnan, A. Raju and S. Roy, A Grassmann path from AdS_3 to flat space, JHEP 03 (2014) 036, [1312.2941].
- [53] R. Fareghbal and A. Naseh, Rindler/Contracted-CFT Correspondence, JHEP 06 (2014) 134, [1404.3937].
- [54] R. Fareghbal and A. Naseh, Aspects of Flat/CCFT Correspondence, Class. Quant. Grav. 32 (2015) 135013, [1408.6932].
- [55] A. Bagchi, D. Grumiller and W. Merbis, Stress tensor correlators in three-dimensional gravity, Phys. Rev. D93 (2016) 061502, [1507.05620].
- [56] R. Fareghbal, A. Naseh and S. Rouhani, Aspects of Ultra-Relativistic Field Theories via Flat-space Holography, Phys. Lett. B771 (2017) 189–193, [1511.01774].
- [57] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, Holographic derivation of entanglement entropy from AdS/CFT, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 (2006) 181602, [hep-th/0603001].
- [58] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, Aspects of Holographic Entanglement Entropy, JHEP 08 (2006) 045, [hep-th/0605073].
- [59] V. E. Hubeny, M. Rangamani and T. Takayanagi, A Covariant holographic entanglement entropy proposal, JHEP 07 (2007) 062, [0705.0016].
- [60] H. Casini, M. Huerta and R. C. Myers, Towards a derivation of holographic entanglement entropy, JHEP 05 (2011) 036, [1102.0440].
- [61] T. Hartman, Entanglement Entropy at Large Central Charge, 1303.6955.
- [62] T. Faulkner, The Entanglement Renyi Entropies of Disjoint Intervals in AdS/CFT, 1303.7221.
- [63] A. Lewkowycz and J. Maldacena, Generalized gravitational entropy, JHEP 08 (2013) 090, [1304.4926].

- [64] X. Dong, A. Lewkowycz and M. Rangamani, Deriving covariant holographic entanglement, JHEP 11 (2016) 028, [1607.07506].
- [65] W. Li and T. Takayanagi, Holography and Entanglement in Flat Spacetime, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 (2011) 141301, [1010.3700].
- [66] D. Anninos, J. Samani and E. Shaghoulian, Warped Entanglement Entropy, JHEP 02 (2014) 118, [1309.2579].
- [67] L. Basanisi and S. Chakrabortty, Holographic Entanglement Entropy in NMG, JHEP 09 (2016) 144, [1606.01920].
- [68] S. A. Gentle and C. Keeler, On the reconstruction of Lifshitz spacetimes, JHEP 03 (2016) 195, [1512.04538].
- [69] Y. Sun and L. Zhao, Holographic entanglement entropies for Schwarzschild and Reisner-Nordström black holes in asymptotically Minkowski spacetimes, Phys. Rev. D95 (2017) 086014, [1611.06442].
- [70] F. Sanches and S. J. Weinberg, Holographic entanglement entropy conjecture for general spacetimes, Phys. Rev. D94 (2016) 084034, [1603.05250].
- [71] I. Bakhmatov, N. S. Deger, J. Gutowski, E. Ó. Colgáin and H. Yavartanoo, *Calibrated Entanglement Entropy*, 1705.08319.
- [72] W. Song, Q. Wen and J. Xu, Generalized Gravitational Entropy for Warped Anti-de Sitter Space, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117 (2016) 011602, [1601.02634].
- [73] W. Song, Q. Wen and J. Xu, Modifications to Holographic Entanglement Entropy in Warped CFT, JHEP 02 (2017) 067, [1610.00727].
- [74] A. Castro, D. M. Hofman and N. Iqbal, Entanglement Entropy in Warped Conformal Field Theories, JHEP 02 (2016) 033, [1511.00707].
- [75] R. Basu and M. Riegler, Wilson Lines and Holographic Entanglement Entropy in Galilean Conformal Field Theories, Phys. Rev. D93 (2016) 045003, [1511.08662].
- [76] M. Ammon, A. Castro and N. Iqbal, Wilson Lines and Entanglement Entropy in Higher Spin Gravity, JHEP 10 (2013) 110, [1306.4338].
- [77] J. de Boer and J. I. Jottar, Entanglement Entropy and Higher Spin Holography in AdS₃, JHEP 04 (2014) 089, [1306.4347].
- [78] A. Castro, S. Detournay, N. Iqbal and E. Perlmutter, Holographic entanglement entropy and gravitational anomalies, JHEP 07 (2014) 114, [1405.2792].
- [79] P. Calabrese and J. L. Cardy, Entanglement entropy and quantum field theory, J. Stat. Mech. 0406 (2004) P06002, [hep-th/0405152].
- [80] J. de Boer and S. N. Solodukhin, A Holographic reduction of Minkowski space-time, Nucl. Phys. B665 (2003) 545-593, [hep-th/0303006].
- [81] A. Ashtekar and R. O. Hansen, A unified treatment of null and spatial infinity in general relativity. I - Universal structure, asymptotic symmetries, and conserved quantities at spatial infinity, J. Math. Phys. 19 (1978) 1542–1566.
- [82] R. Beig and B. G. Schmidt, Einstein's equations near spatial infinity, Communications in Mathematical Physics 87 (Mar., 1982) 65–80.

- [83] S. de Haro, K. Skenderis and S. N. Solodukhin, Gravity in warped compactifications and the holographic stress tensor, Class. Quant. Grav. 18 (2001) 3171–3180, [hep-th/0011230].
- [84] X. Dong, The Gravity Dual of Renyi Entropy, Nature Commun. 7 (2016) 12472, [1601.06788].
- [85] J. L. Cardy, Operator Content of Two-Dimensional Conformally Invariant Theories, Nucl. Phys. B270 (1986) 186–204.
- [86] T. Hartman, C. A. Keller and B. Stoica, Universal Spectrum of 2d Conformal Field Theory in the Large c Limit, JHEP 09 (2014) 118, [1405.5137].
- [87] H. Jiang, W. Song and Q. Wen, To appear, .
- [88] A. Bagchi, R. Gopakumar, I. Mandal and A. Miwa, GCA in 2d, JHEP 08 (2010) 004, [0912.1090].
- [89] G. T. Horowitz and A. R. Steif, Singular string solutions with nonsingular initial data, Phys. Lett. B258 (1991) 91–96.
- [90] L. Cornalba and M. S. Costa, A New cosmological scenario in string theory, Phys. Rev. D66 (2002) 066001, [hep-th/0203031].
- [91] L. Cornalba and M. S. Costa, Time dependent orbifolds and string cosmology, Fortsch. Phys. 52 (2004) 145–199, [hep-th/0310099].
- [92] S. M. Hosseini and Á. Véliz-Osorio, Gravitational anomalies, entanglement entropy, and flat-space holography, Phys. Rev. D93 (2016) 046005, [1507.06625].
- [93] S. Deser, R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, Topologically Massive Gauge Theories, Annals Phys. 140 (1982) 372–411.
- [94] S. Deser, R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, Three-Dimensional Massive Gauge Theories, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48 (1982) 975–978.
- [95] P. Kraus and F. Larsen, Holographic gravitational anomalies, JHEP 01 (2006) 022, [hep-th/0508218].
- [96] W. Li, W. Song and A. Strominger, Chiral Gravity in Three Dimensions, JHEP 04 (2008) 082, [0801.4566].
- [97] K. A. Moussa, G. Clement and C. Leygnac, The Black holes of topologically massive gravity, Class. Quant. Grav. 20 (2003) L277–L283, [gr-qc/0303042].
- [98] S. N. Solodukhin, Holography with gravitational Chern-Simons, Phys. Rev. D74 (2006) 024015, [hep-th/0509148].
- [99] B. Sahoo and A. Sen, BTZ black hole with Chern-Simons and higher derivative terms, JHEP 07 (2006) 008, [hep-th/0601228].
- [100] M.-I. Park, BTZ black hole with gravitational Chern-Simons: Thermodynamics and statistical entropy, Phys. Rev. D77 (2008) 026011, [hep-th/0608165].
- [101] Y. Tachikawa, Black hole entropy in the presence of Chern-Simons terms, Class. Quant. Grav. 24 (2007) 737-744, [hep-th/0611141].