

Enhanced Diffusivity in Perturbed Senile Reinforced Random Walk Models

Thu Dinh and Jack Xin *

Abstract

We consider diffusivity of random walks with transition probabilities depending on the number of consecutive traversals of the last traversed edge, the so called senile reinforced random walk (SeRW). In one dimension, the walk is known to be sub-diffusive with identity reinforcement function. We perturb the model by introducing a small probability δ of escaping the last traversed edge at each step. The perturbed SeRW model is diffusive for any $\delta > 0$, with enhanced diffusivity ($\gg O(\delta^2)$) in the small δ regime. We further study stochastically perturbed SeRW models by having the last edge escape probability of the form $\delta \xi_n$ with ξ_n 's being independent random variables. Enhanced diffusivity in such models are logarithmically close to the so called residual diffusivity (positive in the zero δ limit), with diffusivity between $O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \delta|}\right)$ and $O\left(\frac{1}{\log |\log \delta|}\right)$. Finally, we generalize our results to higher dimensions where the unperturbed model is already diffusive. The enhanced diffusivity can be as much as $O(\log^{-2} \delta)$.

Key Words: Reinforced random walk, symmetric perturbation, enhanced diffusivity, asymptotic analysis.

AMS Subject Classification: 60G50, 60H30, 58J37.

*The work was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1522383. The authors are with the Department of Mathematics, University of California, Irvine, CA, 92697, USA. E-mail: thud2@uci.edu; jxin@math.uci.edu.

1 Introduction

Enhanced diffusivity arises in large scale fluid transport through chaotic and turbulent flows, and has been studied for nearly a century, see [18, 10, 9, 3, 6, 14, 15, 12] among others. It refers to the much larger macroscopic effective diffusivity (D^E) than the microscopic molecular diffusivity (D_0) as the latter approaches zero. An example of smooth chaotic flow is the time periodic Hamiltonian flow ($X = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$):

$$\mathbf{v}(X, t) = (\cos(y), \cos(x)) + \theta \cos(t) (\sin(y), \sin(x)), \quad \theta \in (0, 1]. \quad (1)$$

The first term of (1) is a steady flow consisting of periodic arrays of counter-rotating vortices, and the second term is a time periodic perturbation that injects an increasing amount of disorder into the flow trajectories as θ becomes larger. At $\theta = 1$, the flow is fully mixing, and empirically sub-diffusive [20]. The flow (1) is one of the simplest models of chaotic advection in Rayleigh-Bénard experiment [4]. The motion of a diffusing particle in the flow (1) satisfies the stochastic differential equation (SDE):

$$dX_t = \mathbf{v}(X_t, t) dt + \sqrt{2D_0} dW_t, \quad X(0) = (x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (2)$$

where W_t is the standard 2-dimensional Wiener process. The mean square displacement in the unit direction e at large times is given by [2]:

$$\lim_{t \uparrow +\infty} E(|(X(t) - X(0)) \cdot e|^2)/t = D^E, \quad (3)$$

where $D^E = D^E(D_0, e, \theta) > D_0$ is the effective diffusivity. Numerical simulations [3, 15, 12] based on the associated Fokker-Planck equations (or cell problems of homogenization [2]) suggest that at $e = (1, 0)$, $\theta = 1$, $D^E = O(1)$ as $D_0 \downarrow 0$, the *residual diffusivity* emerges. In fact, $D^E = O(1)$ for $e = (0, 1)$ and a range of values in $\theta \in (0, 1)$ as well [12]. Recently, computation of (2)-(3) by structure preserving schemes [19] reveals residual diffusivity also for a time stochastic version of (1). At $\theta = 0$, enhanced D^E scales as $O(\sqrt{D_0}) \gg D_0$ as $D_0 \downarrow 0$, see [5, 7, 16] for various proofs and generalizations.

Motivated by enhanced diffusion in advecting fluids, we are interested in the enhanced diffusion phenomenon in discrete stochastic dynamics such as random walk models with some memory or tendency to return. The memory effects on a walker induce a slowdown of transport (movement) similar to spinning vortices in fluid flows. We shall add a small probability of symmetric random walk and examine the large time behavior of the second moment, in similar spirit to (3). The first work along this line of inquiry is [13] where the baseline model is the so called elephant random walk model with stops (ERWS) [17, 11]. The ERWS is non-Markovian and exhibits sub-diffusive, diffusive and super-diffusive regimes. The ERWS plays the role of flow (1). A transition from sub-diffusive to enhanced diffusive regime emerges with diffusivity strictly above that of the baseline model (hence residual diffusivity appears) as the added probability of symmetric random walk tends to zero [13].

In this paper, we study enhanced diffusivity by perturbing the so called nearest-neighbor reinforced senile random walk model (SeRW, [8]) on \mathbb{Z}^d . The model involves a reinforcement function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [-1, \infty)$. The walk $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ starts at the origin and initially steps to one of the $2d$ nearest neighbors with equal probability. Subsequent steps are defined by the number of times the current undirected edge has been traversed consecutively: If $\{S_{n-1}, S_n\}$ has been traversed m consecutive times in the immediate past, then the probability of traversing that edge in the next step is $\frac{1+f(m)}{2d+f(m)}$, with the rest of the possible $2d - 1$ choices being equally likely. As soon as a new edge is traversed, the reinforcement ends on the previous edge and restarts on the new edge. For identity reinforcement function f , the walk is sub-diffusive in $d = 1$, and diffusive in higher dimension [8]. Our work is to analyze the asymptotics of the enhanced diffusivity when adding a variety of symmetric random walks at small probability.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we review the baseline SeRW model and the key results of [8]. In section 3, we introduce the perturbed SeRW models, in which the walk becomes diffusive. In section 4, we state and discuss our main results on the diffusivity of the random

walk in the perturbed models and the corresponding asymptotics for both $d = 1$ and $d \geq 2$. The enhancements come logarithmically close to residual diffusivity. In section 5, we present proofs of the main results. Concluding remarks are in section 6.

2 Nearest Neighbor SeRW Model

A *nearest-neighbor senile reinforced random walk* in \mathbb{Z}^d is a sequence $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ of \mathbb{Z}^d -valued random variables on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}_f)$, with corresponding filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(S_0, \dots, S_n)\}_{n \geq 0}$, defined by:

- The walk begins at the origin of \mathbb{Z}^d , i.e. $S_0 = 0$, \mathbb{P}_f -almost surely.
- $\mathbb{P}_f(S_1 = x) = D(x)$, where $D(x) = (2d)^{-1} \mathbb{1}_{|x|=1}$
- For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $e_n = \{S_{n-1}, S_n\}$ is an \mathcal{F}_n -measurable *undirected* edge and

$$m_n = \max\{k \geq 1 : e_{n-l+1} = e_n \text{ for all } 1 \leq l \leq k\}$$

is an \mathbb{N} -valued, \mathcal{F}_n -measurable random variable.

- For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $|x| = 1$:

$$\mathbb{P}_f(S_{n+1} = S_n + x | \mathcal{F}_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{1+f(m_n)}{2d+f(m_n)}, & \text{if } \{S_n, S_n + x\} = e_n, \\ \frac{1}{2d+f(m_n)}, & \text{if } \{S_n, S_n + x\} \neq e_n, \end{cases}$$

We shall consider the case $f(m_n) = m_n$, and suppress the f dependence in the probability \mathbb{P}_f notation. We shall refer to the analysis of SeRW model by Holmes and Sakai [8] and their main results without proofs.

Let $\tau = \sup\{n \geq 1 : S_m = 0 \text{ or } S_1 \forall m \leq n\}$ denote the number of times that the walk traverses the first edge before leaving that edge for the first time. Note that τ is not a stopping time (however $\tau + 1 = \inf\{n \geq 2 : S_n \neq S_{n-2}\}$ is a stopping time). Let N_x denote the number of times the walk S_n visits x . If $\mathbb{P}(N_x = \infty) = 1$ for all x , we say the walk is recurrent (I). If $\mathbb{P}(N_x = \infty) = 0$ for all x , we say the walk is transient (I). If $\mathbb{E}[N_x] = \infty$ for every x , we say the walk is recurrent (II), and if $\mathbb{E}[N_x] < \infty$ for all x , we say the walk is transient (II). Note that for the standard random walk,

the two characterizations of recurrence/transience are equivalent, and the walk is recurrent in $d \leq 2$, and transient otherwise. For the senile reinforced random walks, the two notions need not be the same.

Theorem 1. (Holmes and Sakai [8]) For f satisfying $\mathbb{P}_f(\tau = \infty) = 0$, but excluding the degenerate case where $d = 1$ and $f(1) = -1$, we have:

(1) $SeRW_f$ is recurrent (I)/transient (I) if and only if $SeRW_0$ is recurrent (I)/transient (I).

(2) When $\mathbb{E}_f[\tau] < \infty$, $SeRW_f$ is recurrent (II)/transient (II) if and only if $SeRW_0$ is recurrent (II)/transient (II).

(3) When $\mathbb{E}_f[\tau] = \infty$, $SeRW_f$ is recurrent (II).

A consequence of this proposition is the following corollary:

Corollary 1.1. The nearest-neighbor senile reinforced random walk with linear reinforcement of the form $f(m) = C m$ is recurrent (I), (II) when $d = 1, 2$ and transient (I) when $d > 2$. It is transient (II) for $d > 2$ if and only if $C < 2d - 1$.

The diffusion constant is defined as $\nu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[|S_n|^2]$ (=1 for the standard random walk) whenever this limit exists. The main result of [8] is:

Theorem 2. (Holmes and Sakai [8]) Suppose that there exists $\epsilon > 0$ and $\mathbb{E}[\tau^{1+\epsilon}] < \infty$. Then the walk is diffusive and the diffusion constant is given by

$$\nu = \frac{\mathbb{P}(\tau \text{ odd})}{1 - \frac{1}{d}\mathbb{P}(\tau \text{ odd})} \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}[\tau]}. \quad (4)$$

The proof of Theorem 2 is based on the formula for the Green's function, and a Tauberian theorem, whose application requires the $(1 + \epsilon)$ th moment of τ to be finite. Except for the degenerate case, it was shown in [8] that the result holds for all f by a time-change argument. When $\mathbb{E}[\tau] = \infty$, the right-hand side of (4) is zero, which suggest that the walk is sub-diffusive.

When $f(m) = m$, special hypergeometric functions are applicable and various well-known properties of these functions enable a proof of:

Proposition 2.1. (Holmes and Sakai [8]) *The diffusion constant ν of the nearest-neighbor senile random walk with reinforcement $f(l) = l$ satisfies $0 < \nu < 1$ when $d > 1$. For the one-dimensional nearest-neighbor model,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{n} \mathbb{E}[|S_n|^2] = \frac{1 - \log 2}{2 \log 2 - 1}.$$

Hence at $d = 1$, the walk is sub-diffusive, slower than diffusion by a logarithmic factor $(\log n)^{-1/2}$.

3 Perturbed SeRW Models

3.1 Deterministic Perturbation (Model I)

The one-dimensional model with $f(m) = m$ is sub-diffusive. This is partly due to the walk having a strong tendency to return to the last traversed edge. We add a small perturbation δ to the conditional probability of S_{n+1} as:

$$\mathbb{P}(S_{n+1} = S_n + x | \mathcal{F}_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{1+m_n}{2+m_n} - \delta, & \text{if } \{S_n, S_n + x\} = e_n, \\ \frac{1}{2+m_n} + \delta, & \text{if } \{S_n, S_n + x\} \neq e_n. \end{cases}$$

In other words, at each step we add a small probability δ of escaping the last traversed edge, where $\delta > 0$ is deterministic. As $m_n \rightarrow \infty$, $\frac{1}{2+m_n} \rightarrow 0$. So if an edge has already been traversed consecutively too many times, the probability of escaping will be dominantly determined by δ . This means that the perturbed model will gradually converge to a simplified model where the probability of returning to the last traversed edge is $1 - \delta$.

3.2 Stochastic Perturbation

3.2.1 Sequence of i.i.d. perturbations (Model II)

Let $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of independent identically distributed (i.i.d.) non-negative random variable and we consider:

$$\mathbb{P}(S_{n+1} = S_n + x | \mathcal{F}_n) = \begin{cases} \max\{\frac{1+n}{2+n} - \delta \xi_n, 0\}, & \text{if } \{S_n, S_n + x\} = e_n, \\ \min\{\frac{1}{2+n} + \delta \xi_n, 1\}, & \text{if } \{S_n, S_n + x\} \neq e_n. \end{cases}$$

At each step, the random variable ξ_n takes a value, then the reinforcement is based on this value. We only assume that ξ_n is continuous with probability density function $f = f_{\xi_n}$.

Notice that if ξ_n takes any value greater than $\frac{1+n}{2+n}$, the walk will escape the last traversed edge on the $n + 1^{th}$ turn. So in this model, the tail of the distribution function f provides a stronger chance of breaking out of the last traversed step, leading to more enhanced diffusion.

3.2.2 Sequence of independent perturbations (Model III)

To further enhance diffusivity, we shall consider the situation that $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are no longer i.i.d., but rather have n -dependent distributions.

$$\mathbb{P}(S_{n+1} = S_n + x | \mathcal{F}_n) = \begin{cases} \max\{\frac{1+n}{2+n} - \delta\xi_n, 0\}, & \text{if } \{S_n, S_n + x\} = e_n, \\ \min\{\frac{1}{2+n} + \delta\xi_n, 1\}, & \text{if } \{S_n, S_n + x\} \neq e_n, \end{cases}$$

For example, ξ_n 's can have the same type of distribution and expectation, but with variance n^2 . This modification will reinforce the probability of the walk breaking out of the last traversed edge. We only assume that $\mathbb{E}[\xi_n] < \infty$, for all n .

4 Main Results

The diffusivity from the perturbation (the simple symmetric random walk), similar to "molecular diffusivity" D_0 of (2), is $\nu_\delta = \delta^2$. We will show that, in all of our three models, the enhanced diffusivity is much greater than $O(\delta^2)$. Our main results are stated in the following theorems.

Theorem 3. *The deterministic perturbed model (I) is diffusive for any $\delta > 0$, and the diffusion constant is given by*

$$\nu = \frac{\mathbb{P}(\tau \text{ odd})}{\mathbb{P}(\tau \text{ even})\mathbb{E}[\tau]}. \quad (5)$$

Moreover,

$$\nu(\delta) = O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \delta|}\right) \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0^+. \quad (6)$$

The formula (5) for ν is a direct result of Theorem 2. It is dramatic that the walk becomes diffusive for any value of $\delta > 0$. Proposition 2.1 says the walk is sub-diffusive by an order of $\log n$. The added perturbation reduces the probability of revisiting the last traversed edge. However small, the perturbation is enough to create diffusivity.

To prove Theorem 3, we first verify that the model is diffusive by checking the condition of Theorem 2, then we will find a lower bound for $\mathbb{E}[\tau]$ and show that the bound goes to ∞ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. A straightforward computation shows $1 \leq \frac{\mathbb{P}(\tau \text{ odd})}{\mathbb{P}(\tau \text{ even})} \leq 2$. This concludes the proof. In the last section, we discuss the rate at which ν goes to zero as δ tends to zero.

Theorem 4. *The stochastic perturbed model (II) is diffusive for any $\delta > 0$, and the diffusion constant is given by the same formula as in Theorem 3. Moreover,*

(i) *If $\mathbb{E}[\xi_n] < \infty$, then $\nu(\delta) = O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \delta|}\right)$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$.*

(ii) *If $\mathbb{E}[\xi_n] = \infty$, one can construct ξ_n so that $\nu(\delta) = O\left(\frac{1}{\log|\log \delta|}\right)$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$.*

Similar to the deterministic case, the stochastic perturbed model is still not strong enough to sustain residual diffusivity. We can, however, reduce the rate at which ν converges to 0. If ξ_n has infinite expected value (fat tail), then ξ_n is more likely to attain very large values, and the walk is less likely to get stuck. The maximal enhancement on $\nu(\delta)$ is $O\left(\frac{1}{\log|\log \delta|}\right)$.

Theorem 5. *The stochastic perturbed model (III) is diffusive for any $\delta > 0$. The diffusion constant is given by the same formula as in Theorem 3 with $\nu(\delta) = O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \delta|}\right)$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$.*

The proofs of the three theorems above are based on Theorem 2 to show diffusivity and the calculation of the diffusion constant ν . Our approach is elementary and relies heavily on the computation of the quantity $\mathbb{P}(\tau \geq n)$. The absence of residual diffusivity and the rate of convergence are obtained via asymptotic analysis in the small δ regime.

Theorem 6. *When the baseline diffusive SeRW model on \mathbb{Z}^d ($d \geq 2$) is perturbed into models (I, II, III), we have the following:*

(i) Under model I, the walk has a linearly enhanced diffusivity:

$$\nu_\delta = \nu_0 + O(\delta),$$

where ν_0 is the diffusivity of the unperturbed model.

(ii) Under models II and III, if $\mathbb{E}[\xi_n] < \infty$, for all n , the walk has the same linear enhanced diffusivity as in model I.

(iii) Under models II and III, if $\mathbb{E}[\xi_n] = \infty$, for all n , one can construct ξ_n to achieve the following enhanced diffusivity rates:

- (a) $\nu_\delta = \nu_0 + O(\delta |\log \delta|)$,
- (b) $\nu_\delta = \nu_0 + O(\delta^j)$, for some $j \in (0, 1)$,
- (c) $\nu_\delta = \nu_0 + O(\log^{-2} \delta)$.

5 Proofs of Main Results

5.1 Theorem 3: Existence of positive diffusion constant

First we verify the perturbed model is diffusive. It is easy to see that

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau = 1) = \frac{1}{3} + \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}(\tau = n) = \left[\prod_{k=2}^n \left(\frac{k}{k+1} - \delta \right) \right] \left(\frac{1}{n+2} + \delta \right)$$

for $n \geq 2$. We will show there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\mathbb{E}[\tau^{1+\epsilon}] < \infty$ and apply Theorem 2. The following is an upper bound for $\mathbb{P}(\tau = n)$ when $n \geq 2$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(\tau = n) &= \left[\prod_{k=2}^n \left(\frac{k}{k+1} - \delta \right) \right] \left(\frac{1}{n+2} + \delta \right) \\
&= \left(\frac{2}{3} - \delta \right) \left(\frac{3}{4} - \delta \right) \dots \left(\frac{n}{n+1} - \delta \right) \left(\frac{1}{n+2} + \delta \right) \\
&= \frac{2(1 - \frac{3\delta}{2})3(1 - \frac{4\delta}{3}) \dots n(1 - \frac{(n+1)\delta}{n})}{3 \cdot 4 \dots (n+1)} \left(\frac{1}{n+2} + \delta \right) \\
&\leq \frac{2}{n+1} e^{-\frac{3\delta}{2}} e^{-\frac{4\delta}{3}} \dots e^{-\frac{(n+1)\delta}{n}} \left(\frac{1}{n+2} + \delta \right) \\
&= \frac{2}{n+1} \exp \left\{ - \sum_{k=2}^n \delta \left(1 + \frac{1}{k} \right) \right\} \left(\frac{1}{n+2} + \delta \right) \\
&\leq \frac{2}{n+1} \exp \{ \delta(-n+1 - \log n + 1) \} \left(\frac{1}{n+2} + \delta \right) \\
&= \frac{2e^{2\delta}(1 + (n+2)\delta)}{(n+1)(n+2)e^{\delta n n^\delta}}
\end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality follows since $1 - x \leq e^{-x}$ for all x , and the second inequality since $\log n \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k}$. Letting $\epsilon = \delta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[\tau^{1+\delta}] &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{1+\delta} \mathbb{P}(\tau = n) \\
&= \frac{1}{3} + \delta + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{2e^{2\delta} n(1 + (n+2)\delta)}{e^{\delta n} (n+1)(n+2)} < \infty
\end{aligned}$$

Thus by Theorem 2, the walk is diffusive.

In the second part of this proof, we will show $\nu \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$. By Theorem 2, the diffusion constant simplifies to

$$\nu = \frac{\mathbb{P}(\tau \text{ odd})}{\mathbb{P}(\tau \text{ even})\mathbb{E}[\tau]}.$$

It suffices to show $\mathbb{E}[\tau] \rightarrow \infty$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$. To that end, it is more convenient to use the formula $\mathbb{E}[\tau] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\tau \geq n)$. We have

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau \geq 1) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}(\tau \geq n) = \prod_{k=2}^n \left(\frac{k}{k+1} - \delta \right)$$

for $n \geq 2$. The following computation gives a lower bound for $\mathbb{P}(\tau \geq n)$ when $n \geq 2$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(\tau \geq n) &= \prod_{k=2}^n \left(\frac{k}{k+1} - \delta \right) \\
&= \frac{2(1 - \frac{3\delta}{2})3(1 - \frac{4\delta}{3})\dots n(1 - \frac{(n+1)\delta}{n})}{3 \cdot 4 \dots (n+1)} \\
&\geq \frac{2}{n+1} e^{-2(\frac{3\delta}{2})} e^{-2(\frac{4\delta}{3})} \dots e^{-2(\frac{(n+1)\delta}{n})} \\
&= \frac{2}{n+1} \exp \left\{ -2 \sum_{k=2}^n \delta \left(1 + \frac{1}{k} \right) \right\} \\
&\geq \frac{2}{n+1} \exp \{ -2\delta(n - 2 + \log n + \gamma) \} \\
&= \frac{2e^{4\delta}}{(n+1)e^{2\delta\gamma}e^{2\delta n}n^{2\delta}} \\
&\geq \frac{2e^{4\delta}}{2ne^{2\delta\gamma}e^{2\delta n}n^{2\delta}}
\end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality follows since $1 - x \geq e^{-2x}$ holds for small $x \geq 0$, and the second equality since $\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \leq \log n + \gamma$, where γ is the Euler constant.

It remains to show $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2e^{4\delta}}{(n+1)e^{2\delta\gamma}e^{2\delta n}n^{2\delta}} \rightarrow \infty$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$. Since the terms in the summation are positive and decreasing, we can use the integral test for convergence. After multiplying by a constant, it suffices to compute

$$\int_1^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2\delta x}}{x^{1+2\delta}} dx.$$

Letting $t = -2\delta$, we have

$$\int_1^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2\delta x}}{x^{1+2\delta}} dx = \int_{2\delta}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{\left(\frac{t}{2\delta}\right)^{1+\delta}} \frac{dt}{2\delta} = (2\delta)^\delta \int_{2\delta}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t^{1+2\delta}} dt = (2\delta)^\delta \Gamma(-2\delta, 2\delta)$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the Incomplete Upper Gamma function [1]. It is straightforward to verify that $(2\delta)^\delta \rightarrow 1$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$. By [1], $\Gamma(-2\delta, 2\delta) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$. Thus, we have shown that a lower bound for $\mathbb{E}[\tau]$ diverges as δ tends to 0. By Theorem 2, ν converges to 0. Therefore the perturbed model is not strong enough to sustain a residual diffusivity.

5.2 Rate of convergence

Since a residual diffusion is not achievable, it is natural to ask how fast ν is decreasing as δ tends to 0. In this section, we will verify that in the perturbed model, the diffusivity converges to 0 at a rate of $\frac{1}{|\log \delta|}$.

Let $k = 2\delta$ and consider the integral above as a function of k , i.e.,

$$f(k) = \int_1^\infty \frac{e^{-kx}}{x^{1+k}} dx \quad (7)$$

Then

$$f'(k) = - \int_1^\infty \frac{e^{-kx}}{x^{1+k}} (x + \log x) dx$$

Since $x \gg \log x$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, $f'(k)$ is dominantly determined by the term with x , namely

$$f'(k) \sim - \int_1^\infty \frac{e^{-kx}}{x^k} dx$$

let $u = x^{1-k}$, so $du = (1-k)x^{-k} dx$, we have

$$f'(k) \sim - \frac{1}{1-k} \int_1^\infty e^{-ku^{\frac{1}{1-k}}} du = - \frac{1}{1-k} \int_1^\infty e^{-(k^{1-k}u)^{\frac{1}{1-k}}} du$$

let $v = k^{1-k}u$, the integral becomes

$$f'(k) \sim - \frac{k^{-1+k}}{1-k} \int_{k^{1-k}}^\infty e^{-v^{\frac{1}{1-k}}} dv$$

as $k \rightarrow 0^+$,

$$\int_{k^{1-k}}^\infty e^{-v^{\frac{1}{1-k}}} dv \rightarrow \int_0^\infty e^{-v} dv = 1$$

thus $f'(k) \sim -\frac{k^{-1+k}}{1-k}$ as $k \rightarrow 0^+$. Finally,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{-f(k)}{\log(\delta)} = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{-f(k)}{\log k - \log 2} \stackrel{\text{L'H}}{=} \lim_{k \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{-f'(k)}{1/k} = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{k^{-1+k}k}{1-k} = 1$$

An identical computation shows $\lim_{k \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(\delta)}{-\log \delta} = 1$. Since

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2e^{4\delta}}{(n+1)e^{2\delta\gamma}e^{2\delta n}n^{2\delta}} \leq \mathbb{E}[\tau] \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2e^{2\delta}}{(n+1)e^{\delta\gamma}e^{\delta n}n^{\delta}},$$

after multiplying by a constant, we have $\mathbb{E}[\tau] \sim C_1 |\log \delta|$. Applying the formula of Theorem 2, we have $\nu_\delta = O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \delta|}\right)$.

5.3 Theorem 4: Existence of positive diffusion constant

The formula for the diffusion constant ν follows directly from Theorem 2. The proof of Theorem 2 is based on the formula for the Green's function, and a standard Tauberian theorem. It utilized the following functions and quantities:

$$G_z(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \mathbb{P}(S_n = x), \quad \text{for } z \in [0, 1]$$

$$\begin{cases} a_z = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} z^n \mathbb{P}(\tau \geq n) \mathbb{1}_{\{n \text{ even}\}} \\ b_z = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} z^n \mathbb{P}(\tau \geq n) \mathbb{1}_{\{n \text{ odd}\}} \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} p_z = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n \mathbb{P}(\tau = n) \mathbb{1}_{\{n \text{ even}\}} \\ q_z = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n \mathbb{P}(\tau = n) \mathbb{1}_{\{n \text{ odd}\}} \end{cases}$$

and other variables built up from $a_z, b_z, p_z,$ and q_z . We will show below that, even though the model is stochastic, $\mathbb{P}(\tau \geq n)$ is still deterministic. Thus the proof of Theorem 2 still applies and gives the formula for ν .

Given that an edge has been traversed n^{th} times, let P_n denote the total probability of breaking out of this edge on the $(n+1)^{\text{th}}$ turn, and let Q_n denote the probability of traversing this edge again on the $(n+1)^{\text{th}}$ turn. Then loosely speaking, P_n is the sum of all the terms of the form $\frac{n+1}{n+2} - \delta\xi$, given that $\xi_n = \xi \leq \frac{n+1}{\delta(n+2)}$. Formally,

$$P_n = \int_0^{\frac{n+1}{\delta(n+2)}} \left(\frac{n+1}{n+2} - \delta x \right) f(x) dx$$

and

$$Q_n = \left(\int_0^{\frac{n+1}{\delta(n+2)}} \left(\frac{1}{n+2} - \delta x \right) f(x) dx \right) + \mathbb{P} \left(\xi_n > \frac{n+1}{\delta(n+2)} \right)$$

Similar to the previous result, for $n \geq 2$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau = n) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} P_i \right) Q_n \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}(\tau \geq n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} P_i.$$

An upper bound for $\mathbb{P}(\tau \geq n)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\tau \geq n) &= \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\int_0^{\frac{i+1}{\delta(i+2)}} \left(\frac{i+1}{i+2} - \delta x \right) f(x) dx \right) \\ &\leq \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{i+1}{i+2} - \delta \int_0^{\frac{i+1}{\delta(i+2)}} x f(x) dx \right) \\ &\leq \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{i+1}{i+2} - \delta \int_0^{\frac{2}{3\delta}} x f(x) dx \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mu := \delta \int_0^{\frac{2}{3\delta}} x f(x) dx$. Then μ is a constant for each fixed δ . Thus $\mathbb{P}(\tau \geq n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{i+1}{i+2} - \mu \right)$, which has the same form as in the deterministic case. By a similar computation, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\mathbb{E}[\tau^{1+\epsilon}] < \infty$, and the walk is diffusive.

Recall Theorem 2, the diffusion constant is

$$\nu = \frac{\mathbb{P}(\tau \text{ odd})}{\mathbb{P}(\tau \text{ even})} \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}[\tau]}$$

In order to sustain residual diffusivity, we need $\mathbb{E}[\tau] \not\rightarrow \infty$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$. Using the formula $\mathbb{E}[\tau] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\tau \geq n)$, we get

$$\mathbb{E}[\tau] = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\int_0^{\frac{i+1}{\delta(i+2)}} \left(\frac{i+1}{i+2} - \delta x \right) f(x) dx \right). \quad (8)$$

Suppose $\mathbb{E}[\xi_n] < \infty$. Then by Fatou's lemma,

$$\begin{aligned}
\liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \mathbb{E}[\tau] &= \liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \left(1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_0^{\frac{i+1}{\delta(i+2)}} \left(\frac{i+1}{i+2} - \delta x \right) f(x) dx \right] \right) \\
&\geq 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left[\left(\frac{i+1}{i+2} \right) \int_0^{\frac{i+1}{\delta(i+2)}} f(x) dx - \delta \int_0^{\frac{i+1}{\delta(i+2)}} x f(x) dx \right] \\
&= 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{i+1}{i+2} - \delta \mathbb{E}[\xi_n] \right) \right] \\
&= 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{i+1}{i+2} \right) \\
&= 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{2}{n+1} = \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Since a lower bound for $\mathbb{E}[\tau]$ diverges to ∞ , the corresponding upper bound for ν converges to 0. Thus $\nu \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$. Moreover, since $\mathbb{E}[\xi_n]$ is a finite constant, the computation from Section 5.2 shows $\nu(\delta) = O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \delta|}\right)$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$.

5.4 Random variables with infinite expectation

5.4.1 Necessary asymptotic behavior of the pdf of ξ_n

Suppose ξ_n is a random variable with support in $[0, \infty)$ and $\mathbb{E}[\xi_n] = +\infty$. Let $f = f_{\xi_n}$ be the probability density function (pdf) of ξ_n , we have

$$\int_0^{\infty} f(x) dx = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^{\infty} x f(x) dx = \infty.$$

We will study the asymptotic behavior of such f . Since $\int_0^{\infty} f(x) dx = 1$, we require $f(x) \leq O(x^{-n})$, for some $n > 1$.

On the other hand, $\int_0^{\infty} x f(x) dx = \infty$ implies $x f(x) \geq O(x^{-1})$. Thus, the necessary asymptotic behavior for f is

$$O\left(\frac{1}{x^2}\right) \leq f(x) < O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right).$$

Example 5.1. A random variable ξ_n with $f(x) = O\left(\frac{1}{x^2}\right)$.

Let ξ_n be non-negative Cauchy random variables with $x_0 = 0$ and pdf

$$f_{\xi_n}(x) = \frac{2}{\pi\gamma \left[1 + \left(\frac{x}{\gamma}\right)^2\right]} = \frac{2\gamma}{\pi(x^2 + \gamma^2)}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\tau \geq n) &= \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left[\left(\frac{i+1}{i+2}\right) \int_0^{\frac{i+1}{\delta(i+2)}} \frac{2\gamma}{\pi(x^2 + \gamma^2)} dx - \delta \int_0^{\frac{i+1}{\delta(i+2)}} \frac{2\gamma x}{\pi(x^2 + \gamma^2)} dx \right] \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left[\left(\frac{i+1}{i+2}\right) \int_0^{\frac{i+1}{\delta(i+2)}} \frac{2\gamma}{\pi(x^2 + \gamma^2)} dx - \delta \left(\frac{\gamma \log(x^2 + \gamma^2)}{\pi} \right) \Big|_{x=0}^{x=\frac{i+1}{\delta(i+2)}} \right] \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left[\left(\frac{i+1}{i+2}\right) \int_0^{\frac{i+1}{\delta(i+2)}} \frac{2\gamma}{\pi(x^2 + \gamma^2)} dx - O\left(\delta \log \frac{1}{\delta}\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

and by Fatou's lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \mathbb{E}[\tau] &\geq 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \mathbb{P}(\tau \geq n) \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left[\left(\frac{i+1}{i+2}\right) \int_0^{\frac{i+1}{\delta(i+2)}} \frac{2\gamma}{\pi(x^2 + \gamma^2)} dx - O\left(\delta \log \frac{1}{\delta}\right) \right] \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{2}{n+1} = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the above result, since a lower bound for $\mathbb{E}[\tau]$ diverges to ∞ , we have $\nu \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$. Thus, even though the non-negative Cauchy distribution has a "fat" tail, the growth rate of $\int_0^{\frac{i+1}{\delta(i+2)}} x f(x) dx$ is still not fast enough to produce residual diffusivity.

5.4.2 Non-existence of residual diffusivity, rate of convergence

The case where $f(x) = O(x^{-2})$ was covered in example 5.1. In general, if

$$O\left(\frac{1}{x^2}\right) < f(x) < O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$$

then

$$O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) < xf(x) < O(1)$$

which implies

$$\delta O\left(\log \frac{1}{\delta}\right) < \delta \int_0^{\frac{i+1}{\delta(i+2)}} xf(x) < \delta O\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right).$$

Taking the limit as $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$, we have $\delta \int_0^{\frac{i+1}{\delta(i+2)}} xf(x) \rightarrow 0$, which implies

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau \geq n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\int_0^{\frac{i+1}{\delta(i+2)}} \left(\frac{i+1}{i+2} - \delta x \right) f(x) dx \right) \rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \text{ as } \delta \rightarrow 0^+.$$

Therefore $\mathbb{E}[\tau] \rightarrow \infty$ and, subsequently, $\nu \rightarrow 0$.

For the asymptotic behavior of $\nu(\delta)$, we study 3 cases:

Case 1, $f(x) = O(x^{-2})$:

By example 5.1, as $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau \geq n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{i+1}{i+2} - C\delta \log\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right) \right)$$

A similar computation to the last part of section 5.1 shows that, after multiplying by a constant, to compute $\mathbb{E}[\tau]$, it suffices to compute

$$g(\delta \log(1/\delta)) = \int_1^\infty \frac{e^{-\delta \log(1/\delta)}}{x^{1+\delta \log(1/\delta)}}.$$

And by the computation of section 5.2, which shows $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(k)}{\log k} = 1$, we have

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(\delta \log(1/\delta))}{\log(\delta \log(1/\delta))} = 1.$$

This implies

$$\mathbb{E}[\tau] \sim C_1 \log(|\delta \log \delta|)$$

and therefore

$$\nu \sim \frac{C_2}{\log(|\delta \log \delta|)} \sim \frac{C_3}{\log \delta}.$$

Case 2, $f(x) = O(x^{-(1+j)})$, for $0 < j < 1$:

A similar calculation to example 5.1 shows, as $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau \geq n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{i+1}{i+2} - C\delta^j \right)$$

and a calculation similar to Case 1 shows

$$\mathbb{E}[\tau] \sim C_1 |\log(\delta^j)| = C_2 |\log(\delta)|.$$

So in this case,

$$\nu \sim \frac{C_3}{|\log(\delta)|}$$

which is the same result as the deterministic case.

Case 3, $f(x) < O(x^{-(1+j)})$, for any $0 < j < 1$ and $f(x) > O(x^{-2})$:

One such example is $f(x) = O\left(\frac{1}{x(\log x)^2}\right)$. Then

$$\int_0^{\frac{i+1}{\delta^{(i+2)}}} x f(x) dx = \int_0^{\frac{i+1}{\delta^{(i+2)}}} \frac{C}{\log^2 x} dx$$

which is a well known logarithm integral with asymptotic behavior:

$$\int \frac{1}{\log^2 x} dx = li(x) - \frac{x}{\log x} = O\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x}\right)$$

therefore

$$\delta \int_0^{\frac{i+1}{\delta^{(i+2)}}} x f(x) dx = \delta O\left(\frac{1}{\delta \log^2\left(\frac{C_1}{\delta}\right)}\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{\log^2(\delta)}\right)$$

as $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$. This implies

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau \geq n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{i+1}{i+2} - \frac{C_2}{\log^2 \delta} \right)$$

and a similar calculation to Case 1 shows

$$\mathbb{E}[\tau] \sim C_3 \log(\log^2 \delta) = C_4 \log |\log \delta|.$$

Thus, we have constructed a random variable ξ_n such that ν converges to zero at a rate of

$$\nu \sim \frac{C}{\log |\log \delta|}.$$

5.5 Proof of Theorem 5

Theorem 5 is a consequence of Theorem 4. The fact that the model is diffusive for any $\delta > 0$ follows directly. For the rate at which ν tends to 0, let f_n be the p.d.f. of ξ_n and recall that

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau \geq n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\int_0^{\frac{i+1}{\delta(i+2)}} \left(\frac{i+1}{i+2} - \delta x \right) f_n(x) dx \right).$$

Since $\mathbb{E}[\xi_n] < \infty$ for all n , one can find a random variable Y with $\mathbb{E}[Y] = \infty$ with p.d.f. f_Y such that, for sufficiently small δ ,

$$\delta \int_0^{\frac{i+1}{\delta(i+2)}} x f_n(x) dx \leq \delta \int_0^{\frac{i+1}{\delta(i+2)}} y f_Y(y) dy$$

so as $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau \geq n) \geq \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{i+1}{i+2} - \delta \int_0^{\frac{i+1}{\delta(i+2)}} y f_Y(y) dy \right).$$

Notice the expression on the RHS matches the case of infinite expectation of the Theorem 4. Therefore $\mathbb{E}[\tau]$ grows at least as fast as the previous case, and hence so is the decay rate of ν_δ . One can choose Y so that $f_Y(y) = O(y^{-2})$ (Similar to Case 1 of section 5.4.2), so that $\nu_Y(\delta) \sim O(|\log \delta|)$. Then ν_δ decays at a rate of at most $O(|\log \delta|)$ (by section 5.3), and at least $O(\log \delta)$, from the previous case. It follows that $\nu_\delta = O(\log \delta)$.

5.6 Theorem 6: Results in higher dimensions

5.6.1 Perturbation under model I:

For $d \geq 2$, the model becomes

$$\mathbb{P}(S_{n+1} = S_n + x | \mathcal{F}_n) = \begin{cases} \max\{\frac{1+n}{2d+n} - \delta, 0\}, & \text{if } \{S_n, S_n + x\} = e_n, \\ \min\{\frac{1}{2d+n} + \delta, 1\}, & \text{if } \{S_n, S_n + x\} \neq e_n. \end{cases}$$

A similar computation to that of the one-dimensional case shows, for $n \geq 2d$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(\tau \geq n) &= \prod_{k=2}^n \left(\frac{1+k}{2d+k} - \delta \right) \\
&= \frac{(2d)!}{(n+2)(n+3)\dots(n+2d)} \left(1 - \frac{2d+1}{2}\delta \right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{2d+n}{n+1}\delta \right) \\
&\rightarrow \frac{(2d)!}{(n+2)(n+3)\dots(n+2d)} \exp \left\{ -\delta \sum_{k=2}^n \left(1 + \frac{2d-1}{k} \right) \right\} \\
&\sim \frac{(2d)!}{(n+2)(n+3)\dots(n+2d)} \exp \{ -\delta(n-1 + (2d-1) \log n - (2d-1)) \} \\
&= \frac{(2d)!}{(n+2)(n+3)\dots(n+2d)} \frac{e^{-\delta n} e^{2\delta d}}{n^{\delta(2d-1)}}
\end{aligned}$$

which has the same form as in the one-dimensional case. For $d \geq 2$, the unperturbed walk is diffusive, as $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\tau \geq n) < \infty$. Let τ_δ denote the model perturbed by δ . By Dominated Convergence Theorem

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \mathbb{E}[\tau_\delta] = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\tau \geq n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \mathbb{P}(\tau \geq n) = \mathbb{E}[\tau_0].$$

Thus $\nu_\delta \rightarrow \nu$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$. For the enhanced diffusivity, by the integral test, it suffices to consider the integral

$$\int_1^{\infty} \frac{e^{-kx}}{x^{(2d-1)(1+k)}} dx =: f(k)$$

we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial k} f(k) = \int_1^{\infty} \frac{e^{-kx}}{x^{(2d-1)k+2d-2}} (x + (2d-1) \log x) dx.$$

Since $d \geq 2$, the integral converges for any non-negative value of k . By the Dominated Convergence Theorem,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\partial}{\partial k} f(k) = \int_1^{\infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{e^{-kx}}{x^{(2d-1)k+2d-2}} (x + (2d-1) \log x) dx < \infty,$$

which implies that $\mathbb{E}[\tau_\delta]$ grows at a linear rate near $\delta = 0$, and therefore

$$\nu_\delta = \nu_0 + O(\delta).$$

5.6.2 Perturbation under models II and III:

Let us consider the model

$$\mathbb{P}(S_{n+1} = S_n + x | \mathcal{F}_n) = \begin{cases} \max\{\frac{1+n}{2d+n} - \delta\xi_n, 0\}, & \text{if } \{S_n, S_n + x\} = e_n, \\ \min\{\frac{1}{2d+n} + \delta\xi_n, 1\}, & \text{if } \{S_n, S_n + x\} \neq e_n. \end{cases}$$

If $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of random variables such that $\mathbb{E}[\xi_n] < \infty$, for all n , one can use an analogous argument to that of section 5.3 to show $\nu_\delta \rightarrow \nu_0$ at the same rate as model I. When $\mathbb{E}[\xi_n] = \infty$, let $f = f_{\xi_n}$. The proof of all three cases are identical. We present the proof of the second case below:

Case 2: $f(x) = O(x^{-(1+j)})$, for $0 < j < 1$:

Using a similar computation to section 5.4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\tau \geq n) &= \prod_{k=2}^n \left(\int_0^{\frac{1+k}{\delta(2d+k)}} \left(\frac{1+k}{2d+k} - \delta x \right) f(x) dx \right) \\ &\rightarrow \prod_{k=2}^n \left(\frac{1+k}{2d+k} - C_1 \delta^j \right) \\ &\rightarrow \frac{C_2}{(n+2)(n+3)\dots(n+2d)} \frac{e^{-\delta^j n} e^{2\delta^j d}}{n^{\delta^j(2d-1)}} \end{aligned}$$

and the Dominated Convergence Theorem guarantees convergence of ν_δ . For the enhanced diffusivity, it suffices to consider the integral

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{e^{-k^j x}}{x^{(2d-1)(1+k^j)}} dx =: f(k^j)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial k^j} f(k^j) = \int_1^\infty \frac{e^{-k^j x}}{x^{(2d-1)(1+k^j)}} (x + (2d-1) \log x) dx < \infty$$

the integral converges for any non-negative value of k . This implies $\mathbb{E}[\tau_\delta]$ grows at a rate of δ^j near $\delta = 0$. Therefore

$$\nu_\delta = \nu_0 + O(\delta^j).$$

Using an analogous argument, one gets the result for Cases 1 and 3, where the construction for Case 3 is the same as in section 5.4.2.

6 Conclusions

The SeRW model in one dimension with identity reinforcement function was found to be diffusive when perturbed with a small probability δ of breaking out of the last traversed edge, no matter how small δ is. The enhanced diffusivity is logarithmically close to residual diffusivity as δ tends to zero. We studied a few variations of the perturbed models, where the perturbation $\delta \xi_n$ is stochastic, and the distribution of ξ_n may or may not depend on n . These models intend to create a "fat tail" as n increases so it is more likely for the walk to break out of the last traversed edge. For most cases, the enhanced diffusivity is $\nu_\delta = O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \delta|}\right)$. The highest enhanced diffusivity is $\nu_\delta = O\left(\frac{1}{\log |\log \delta|}\right)$. This was achieved when ξ_n has a very fat tail, $f_{\xi_n}(x) = O\left(\frac{1}{x(\log x)^2}\right)$, which is much fatter than that of the Cauchy distribution. In higher dimensions, the baseline SeRW with identity reinforcement function is already diffusive and the enhanced diffusivity reaches a rate as high as $O(\log^{-2} \delta)$.

In future work, we plan to explore other random walk models with memory mechanism and study enhanced diffusivities.

7 Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Prof. P. Diaconis for a helpful conversation on reinforced random walk and his interest in [13].

References

- [1] M. Abramowitz, I. Stegun, "Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables", Martino Publishing, 2014.
- [2] A. Bensoussan, J.-L. Lions, G. Papanicolaou, "Asymptotic analysis for periodic structures", AMS Chelsea Publishing, 2011.
- [3] L. Biferale, A. Cristini, M. Vergassola, A. Vulpiani, *Eddy diffusivities in scalar transport*, Physics Fluids, 7(11), 1995, pp. 2725–2734.

- [4] R. Camassa, S. Wiggins, *Chaotic advection in a Rayleigh-Bénard flow*, Physical Review A, 43(2), 1990, pp. 774–797.
- [5] A. Fannjiang, G. Papanicolaou, *Convection enhanced diffusion for periodic flows*, SIAM J. Applied Math, 54(2), 1994, pp. 333–408.
- [6] A. Fannjiang, G. Papanicolaou, *Diffusion in Turbulence*, Probability Theory and Related Fields, 105 (1996), pp. 279–334.
- [7] S. Heinze, *Diffusion-advection in cellular flows with large Peclet numbers*, Archive Rational Mech. Analysis, 168(4), 2003, pp. 329–342.
- [8] M. Holmes, A. Sakai, *Senile Reinforced Random Walks*, Stochastic Processes and Their Applications, Science Direct, 20 Feb. 2007, www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0304414907000245.
- [9] H. Kesten, G. Papanicolaou, *A Limit Theorem for Turbulent Diffusion*, Comm. Math. Phys., 65(1979), pp. 97–128.
- [10] R. Kraichnan, *Diffusion in a Random Velocity Field*, Phys. Fluids, 13(1970), pp. 22–31.
- [11] N. Kumar, U. Harbola, K. Lindenberg, *Memory-induced anomalous dynamics: Emergence of diffusion, subdiffusion, and superdiffusion from a single random walk model*, Physical Review E 82, 021101, 2010.
- [12] J. Lyu, J. Xin, Y. Yu, *Computing residual diffusivity by adaptive basis learning via spectral method*, Numerical Mathematics: Theory, Methods & Applications, 10(2), pp. 351–372, 2017.
- [13] J. Lyu, J. Xin, Y. Yu, *Residual Diffusivity in Elephant Random Walk Models with Stops*, arXiv:1705.02711, 2017.
- [14] A. Majda, P. Kramer, *Simplified Models for Turbulent Diffusion: Theory, Numerical Modelling, and Physical Phenomena*, Physics reports, 314(1999), pp. 237–574.

- [15] N. Murphy, E. Cherkaev, J. Zhu, J. Xin, K. Golden, *Spectral Analysis and Computation of Effective Diffusivities in Space-Time Periodic Incompressible Flows*, Annals of Mathematical Sciences and Applications, 2(1), pp. 3–66, 2017.
- [16] A. Novikov, G. Papanicolaou, L. Ryzhik, *Boundary layers for cellular flows at high Peclet numbers*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 58, pp. 867–922, 2005.
- [17] G. Schütz, S. Trimper, *Elephants can always remember: exact long-range memory effects in a non-Markovian random walk*, Phys. Rev. E, 70 (2004), 045101.
- [18] G. Taylor, *Diffusion by continuous movements*, Proc. London Math. Soc., 2:196–211, 1921.
- [19] Z. Wang, J. Xin, Z. Zhang, *Computing effective diffusivity of chaotic and stochastic flows using structure preserving schemes*, arxiv 1711.09392, 2017; SIAM J. Numerical Analysis, to appear.
- [20] P. Zu, L. Chen, J. Xin, *A Computational Study of Residual KPP Front Speeds in Time-Periodic Cellular Flows in the Small Diffusion Limit*, Physica D, Vol. 311-312, pp. 37–44, 2015.