

KAPRANOV'S CONSTRUCTION OF HOMOTOPY LEIBNIZ ALGEBRAS

ZHUO CHEN, ZHANGJU LIU, AND MAOSONG XIANG

ABSTRACT. Motivated by Kapranov's discovery of an L_∞ algebra structure on the tangent complex of a Kähler manifold and Chen-Stiénon-Xu's construction of a $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ algebra associated with a Lie pair, we find a general method to construct $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ algebras — from a DG derivation $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega$ of a commutative differential graded algebra \mathcal{A} valued in a DG \mathcal{A} -module Ω . We prove that for any δ -connection ∇ on \mathcal{B} , the \mathcal{A} -dual of Ω , there associates a $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ \mathcal{A} -algebra $(\mathcal{B}, \{\mathcal{R}_k^\nabla\}_{k \geq 1})$. Moreover, this construction is canonical, i.e., the isomorphism class of $(\mathcal{B}, \{\mathcal{R}_k^\nabla\}_{k \geq 1})$ only depends on the homotopy class of δ .

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Atiyah classes of CDGAs and their twists	4
2.1. Atiyah classes of CDGAs	4
2.2. DG derivations and twisted Atiyah classes	5
2.3. Atiyah classes of DG Lie algebroids and Lie pairs	7
2.4. Functoriality	10
3. Homotopy Leibniz algebras and proofs of main results	11
3.1. $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ algebras	11
3.2. Kapranov $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ algebras and modules	13
3.3. Functoriality	21
3.4. Applications	22
4. Some remarks	23
References	24

1. INTRODUCTION

Kapranov $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ algebras. Homotopy Leibniz algebras, also known as strongly homotopy Loday algebras, Loday infinity algebras or Leibniz_∞ algebras, are homotopy version of Leibniz algebras (see [1]). They are natural generalizations of Stasheff's L_∞ algebras [17] in which the

Research partially supported by NSFC grant 11471179.

anti-symmetry assumption on multibrackets is dropped. In this note, we use the equivalent notion of $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ algebras (see Definition 3.1) and study a particular method to construct $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ algebras, which we call Kapranov $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ algebras.

This method first appeared in [12]: Given a Kähler manifold X , Kapranov discovered an L_∞ algebra structure on $\Omega_X^{0,\bullet-1}(T_X)$ via the Atiyah class α_X , which plays an important role in his formalism of Rozansky-Witten theory. Kapranov's construction can be summarized as follows: Let ∇ be the Chern connection on the holomorphic tangent bundle T_X . The curvature $R_\nabla \in \Omega_X^{0,1}(\text{Hom}(S^2(T_X), T_X))$ is a Dolbeault representative of the Atiyah class α_X . Then on $\Omega_X^{0,\bullet-1}(T_X)$, the L_∞ brackets $\{\lambda_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ are defined by:

- $\lambda_1 = \bar{\partial}$.
- $\lambda_2 = R_\nabla$.
- $\lambda_{k+1} = \nabla^{1,0}(\lambda_k) \in \Omega_X^{0,1}(\text{Hom}(S^{k+1}(T_X), T_X))$, for $k = 2, 3, 4, \dots$

Kapranov's construction of L_∞ algebras is generalized in Chen, Stiénon and Xu's work [8] where the setting is a Lie algebroid pair (Lie pair, for short) (L, A) . It is shown that the graded vector space $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee \otimes L/A)$ admits a $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ algebra structure ([8, Theorem 3.13]) via the Atiyah class of the Lie pair (L, A) . The Atiyah class of Lie pairs encompasses the original Atiyah class [2] of holomorphic vector bundles and the Molino class [20] of foliations as special cases.

The construction of $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ structure maps is analogue to that of Kapranov's original one on Kähler manifolds — First, we choose a splitting $j : L/A \rightarrow L$ of vector bundle L so that $L \cong A \oplus L/A$. Second, choose an L -connection ∇ on L/A extending the A -module structure. Then the $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ brackets $\{\lambda_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ on $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee \otimes L/A)$ are determined as follows:

- $\lambda_1 = d_{\text{CE}}$ is the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential of the Bott representation of A on L/A .
- Define a bundle map $R_2 : L/A \otimes L/A \rightarrow A^\vee \otimes L/A$ via the Atiyah cocycle $\alpha_{L/A}^\nabla$ (see Section 2.3.2):

$$R_2(b_1, b_2) = \alpha_{L/A}^\nabla(-, b_1)b_2, \quad \forall b_1, b_2 \in \Gamma(L/A).$$

The second structure map λ_2 is specified by

$$\lambda_2(\xi_1 \otimes b_1, \xi_2 \otimes b_2) = (-1)^{|\xi_1|+|\xi_2|} \xi_1 \wedge \xi_2 \wedge R_2(b_1, b_2),$$

for all $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee)$ and $b_1, b_2 \in \Gamma(L/A)$.

- Define a sequence of bundle maps $R_k : (L/A)^{\otimes k} \rightarrow A^\vee \otimes L/A, k \geq 3$ recursively by $R_{n+1} = \nabla R_n$, i.e.,

$$R_{n+1}(b_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_n) = R_n(\nabla_{j(b_0)}(b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_n)) - \nabla_{j(b_0)} R_n(b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_n).$$

The k -th structure map is specified by

$$\lambda_k(\xi_1 \otimes b_1, \dots, \xi_k \otimes b_k) = (-1)^{|\xi_1|+\dots+|\xi_k|} \xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_k \wedge R_k(b_1, \dots, b_k),$$

for all $\xi_i \in \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee), b_i \in \Gamma(L/A), 1 \leq i \leq k$.

The construction of such Kapranov $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ algebras needs a priori some extra choices (a splitting j of L and an L -connection ∇ on L/A). The authors loc. cit. posed a question in [8, Remark 3.19] — how does the $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ algebra structure on $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee \otimes L/A)$ depend on the choice of splitting data and connections?

The main goal of this note is to give the answer — $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ algebra structures on $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee \otimes L/A)$ associated with different choices of j and ∇ are all isomorphic in the category of $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ algebras (over Ω_A^\bullet). In other words, Kapranov $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ algebras arising from Lie pairs are canonical (see Theorem (C) below, and Theorem 3.38).

We adopt an algebraic approach to achieve this goal. The algebraic notion we need is a DG derivation of a CDGA (commutative differential graded algebra) \mathcal{A} valued in a DG \mathcal{A} -module Ω (see Definition 2.4). As an immediate example from complex geometry, consider a complex manifold X . The Dolbeault DG algebra $\mathcal{A} = (\Omega_X^{0,\bullet}, \bar{\partial})$ is a CDGA. Let $\Omega = (\Omega_X^{0,\bullet}((T^{1,0}X)^\vee), \bar{\partial})$ be the DG \mathcal{A} -module generated by the section space of holomorphic cotangent bundle $(T^{1,0}X)^\vee$. Then $\bar{\partial} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Omega$ is a DG derivation of \mathcal{A} .

A DG derivation of a CDGA captures the key ingredient, the Atiyah class, in the construction of Kapranov Leibniz $_\infty$ [1] algebras in the Lie pair case (see Section 2.3.2 for details). Many known versions of Atiyah classes can be reinterpreted using these data as well. In this note, we explain the Atiyah class [19] of DG vector bundles with respect to a DG Lie algebroid via the associated DG derivation in Section 2.3.1.

We explain how Kapranov's original method and Chen-Stiénon-Xu's construction can be further generalized in the setting of a DG derivation $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega$. Consider the dual DG \mathcal{A} -module $\mathcal{B} = \Omega^\vee$ of Ω . First, one chooses a δ -connection ∇ on \mathcal{B} , i.e., a map $\nabla : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{B}$ that extends the δ -map (see Definition 2.9). Then one can define a sequence of degree 1 maps $\{\mathcal{R}_k^\nabla : \otimes^k \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\}_{k \geq 1}$ as follows:

- $\mathcal{R}_1^\nabla = \partial_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the differential on \mathcal{B} .
- $\mathcal{R}_2^\nabla = \text{At}_{\mathcal{B}}^\nabla : \mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is the twisted Atiyah cocycle (see Definition 2.14).
- \mathcal{R}_k^∇ for $k \geq 3$ are defined recursively by $\mathcal{R}_k^\nabla = \nabla \mathcal{R}_{k-1}^\nabla$ (see Equation (3.9)).

Our first result is the following

Theorem (A). (see Theorem 3.11) *When endowed with the structure maps $\{\mathcal{R}_k^\nabla\}_{k \geq 1}$, the DG \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{B} becomes a Leibniz $_\infty$ [1] \mathcal{A} -algebra.*

Here by saying that \mathcal{B} is a Leibniz $_\infty$ [1] \mathcal{A} -algebra, we mean that its higher structure maps $\{\mathcal{R}_k^\nabla\}_{k \geq 2}$ are all \mathcal{A} -multilinear. We call $(\mathcal{B}, \{\mathcal{R}_k^\nabla\}_{k \geq 1})$ the Kapranov Leibniz $_\infty$ [1] \mathcal{A} -algebra (w.r.t. the DG derivation $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega$ and the δ -connection ∇).

Main result—the canonical theorem. The construction of the Kapranov Leibniz $_\infty$ [1] \mathcal{A} -algebra $(\mathcal{B}, \{\mathcal{R}_k^\nabla\}_{k \geq 1})$ needs, a priori, a DG derivation $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega$ and a δ -connection ∇ . Our main result is the following theorem which addresses the nontrivial dependence of Kapranov's construction on the choice of δ and ∇ :

Theorem (B). (see Theorems 3.21 and 3.28) *The isomorphism class of the Kapranov Leibniz $_\infty$ [1] \mathcal{A} -algebra $(\mathcal{B}, \{\mathcal{R}_k^\nabla\}_{k \geq 1})$ is canonical, by which we mean that it does not depend on the choice of ∇ , and only depends on the homotopy class of δ :*

- 1) *If $\tilde{\nabla}$ is another δ -connection on \mathcal{B} , then the associated Leibniz $_\infty$ [1] \mathcal{A} -algebra $(\mathcal{B}, \{\mathcal{R}_k^{\tilde{\nabla}}\}_{k \geq 1})$ is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{B}, \{\mathcal{R}_k^\nabla\}_{k \geq 1})$ in the category of Leibniz $_\infty$ [1] \mathcal{A} -algebras.*
- 2) *If two DG derivations δ' and δ are homotopic (see Definition 2.4), then the Kapranov Leibniz $_\infty$ [1] \mathcal{A} -algebras $(\mathcal{B}, \{\mathcal{R}_k^{\nabla'}\}_{k \geq 1})$ and $(\mathcal{B}, \{\mathcal{R}_k^\nabla\}_{k \geq 1})$ are isomorphic in the category of Leibniz $_\infty$ [1] \mathcal{A} -algebras, where ∇ and ∇' are, respectively, δ and δ' -connections on \mathcal{B} .*

Here we emphasise that the Kapranov Leibniz $_\infty$ [1] algebra $(\mathcal{B}, \{\mathcal{R}_k^\nabla\}_{k \geq 1})$ should be treated as an object in the category of Leibniz $_\infty$ [1] \mathcal{A} -algebras. In fact, if we treat $(\mathcal{B}, \{\mathcal{R}_k^\nabla\}_{k \geq 1})$ merely as a Leibniz $_\infty$ [1] algebra over \mathbb{K} , it is always isomorphic to a trivial one (see Remark 3.25).

This canonical theorem, when applied to DG derivations arising from Lie pairs, gives rise to the answer of our motivating question:

Theorem (C). (see Theorem 3.38) *Let (L, A) be a Lie pair. The Kapranov Leibniz $_{\infty}[1]$ algebra structure on the graded vector space $\Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet}A^{\vee} \otimes L/A)$ is unique up to isomorphisms in the category of Leibniz $_{\infty}[1]$ Ω_A^{\bullet} -algebras.*

Proofs of Theorems (A) \sim (C) occupy Section 3. Before that, Section 2 consists of our conventions, notations, and introduction of the notion of twisted Atiyah classes. We will see that twisted Atiyah classes encompass Atiyah classes of Lie pairs and DG Lie algebroids as special cases.

2. ATIYAH CLASSES OF CDGAs AND THEIR TWISTS

Atiyah classes were first introduced by Atiyah [2] to characterize the obstruction to the existence of holomorphic connections on a holomorphic vector bundle. The notion of Atiyah classes have been developed in the past decades for diverse purposes (see [5–8, 10, 15, 16, 19]). In this section, we recall Atiyah classes of commutative differential graded algebras defined by Costello [10] and introduce a twisted version of such Atiyah classes.

Throughout this paper, \mathbb{K} denotes a base field of characteristic zero and graded means \mathbb{Z} -graded. A commutative differential graded algebra (CDGA for short) over \mathbb{K} is a pair $(\mathcal{A}, d_{\mathcal{A}})$, where \mathcal{A} is a commutative graded \mathbb{K} -algebra, and $d_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a degree 1, square zero derivation of \mathcal{A} . We also write \mathcal{A} for a CDGA without making its differential explicitly.

By a DG \mathcal{A} -module, we mean a representation of the CDGA \mathcal{A} on a cochain complex of \mathbb{K} -vector spaces. Equivalently, it is a graded \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{E} , together with a degree 1 square zero endomorphism $\partial_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{E}}$, of the graded \mathbb{K} -vector space \mathcal{E} , called the differential, such that

$$\partial_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{E}}(ae) = (d_{\mathcal{A}}a)e + (-1)^{|a|}a\partial_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{E}}(e),$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}, e \in \mathcal{E}$. To work with various different DG \mathcal{A} -modules, the differential $\partial_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{E}}$ of any DG \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{E} will be denoted by the same notation $\partial_{\mathcal{A}}$, unless otherwise specified. A DG \mathcal{A} -module $(\mathcal{E}, \partial_{\mathcal{A}})$ will also be simply denoted by \mathcal{E} .

The DG \mathcal{A} -module of Kähler differentials is, by definition,

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{A}|\mathbb{K}}^1 = \text{span}\{d_{dR}a : a \in \mathcal{A}\} / \{d_{dR}(ab) - (d_{dR}a)b - (-1)^{|a|}ad_{dR}b : a, b \in \mathcal{A}\},$$

and the differential $\partial_{\mathcal{A}}$ on $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}|\mathbb{K}}^1$ is uniquely determined by the relation: $\partial_{\mathcal{A}}(d_{dR}a) = d_{dR}(d_{\mathcal{A}}a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, such that the algebraic de Rham operator $d_{dR} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{A}|\mathbb{K}}^1$ is a (degree 0) cochain map. We assume that $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}|\mathbb{K}}^1$ is projective as an \mathcal{A} -module in the sequel.

A degree r morphism of DG \mathcal{A} -modules, denoted by $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\text{DG}\mathcal{A}}^r(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$, is a degree r \mathcal{A} -module morphism $\alpha : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$, which is also compatible with the differentials:

$$[\alpha, \partial_{\mathcal{A}}] = \alpha \circ \partial_{\mathcal{A}} - (-1)^r \partial_{\mathcal{A}} \circ \alpha = 0.$$

2.1. Atiyah classes of CDGAs.

Definition 2.1 (Costello [10]). (1) *A connection on an \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{E} is a (degree 0) map of graded \mathbb{K} -vector spaces*

$$\blacktriangledown : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{A}|\mathbb{K}}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{E},$$

satisfying the Leibniz rule

$$\blacktriangledown(ae) = (d_{dR}a) \otimes e + a\blacktriangledown(e), \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}, e \in \mathcal{E}.$$

(2) Assume that \mathcal{E} is a DG \mathcal{A} -module. Given a connection ∇ on \mathcal{E} ,

$$\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\nabla} := [\nabla, \partial_{\mathcal{A}}] = \nabla \circ \partial_{\mathcal{A}} - \partial_{\mathcal{A}} \circ \nabla \in \Omega_{\mathcal{A}|\mathbb{K}}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E})$$

is a closed element of degree 1 which measures the failure of ∇ to be a cochain map. Its cohomology class $\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}} \in H^1(\mathcal{A}, \Omega_{\mathcal{A}|\mathbb{K}}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E}))$ is independent of the choice of connections, and is called the Atiyah class of the DG \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{E} .

The existence of connections on \mathcal{E} is guaranteed if \mathcal{E} is a projective \mathcal{A} -module. Hence we make the following

Convention 2.2. In this note, all \mathcal{A} -modules are assumed to be projective.

Example 2.3 (Mehta-Sténon-Xu [19]). Let $(\mathcal{M}, Q_{\mathcal{M}})$ be a smooth DG manifold, where $\mathcal{M} = (M, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})$ is a smooth \mathbb{Z} -graded manifold, and $Q_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a homological vector field on \mathcal{M} . Then $\mathcal{A} = (C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M}), Q_{\mathcal{M}})$ is a CDGA. For each DG vector bundle $(\mathcal{E}, Q_{\mathcal{E}})$ over $(\mathcal{M}, Q_{\mathcal{M}})$, its space of sections $\mathcal{E} = (\Gamma(\mathcal{E}), Q_{\mathcal{E}})$ is a DG \mathcal{A} -module. The Atiyah class $\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}$ of \mathcal{E} coincides, up to a minus sign, with the Atiyah class $\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}$ of the DG vector bundle \mathcal{E} with respect to the tangent Lie algebroid of \mathcal{M} defined by Mehta-Sténon and Xu. This is a particular instance of Atiyah classes of DG vector bundles with respect to a general DG Lie algebroid (see [19] or Section 2.3).

2.2. DG derivations and twisted Atiyah classes. A key notion in this note is DG derivations defined below.

Definition 2.4. Let Ω be a DG \mathcal{A} -module.

- An Ω -valued DG derivation of \mathcal{A} is an Ω -valued derivation $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Omega$ (of degree 0), i.e.,

$$\delta(ab) = \delta(a)b + a\delta(b), \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{A},$$

which commutes with the differentials:

$$\delta \circ d_{\mathcal{A}} = \partial_{\mathcal{A}} \circ \delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Omega. \quad (2.5)$$

Such a DG derivation is simply denoted by $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega$.

- Let δ and δ' be Ω -valued DG derivations of \mathcal{A} . They are said to be homotopic, written as $\delta \sim \delta'$, if there exists a degree (-1) Ω -valued derivation h of \mathcal{A} such that

$$\delta' - \delta = [\partial_{\mathcal{A}}, h] = \partial_{\mathcal{A}} \circ h + h \circ d_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

An immediate example of DG derivations from geometry is the operator $\Omega_X^{0,\bullet} \xrightarrow{\partial} \Omega_X^{0,\bullet}((T^{1,0}X)^{\vee})$ of a complex manifold X , which has already been explained in Section 1.

Another fundamental example is the $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}|\mathbb{K}}^1$ -valued DG derivation $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{d_{dR}} \Omega_{\mathcal{A}|\mathbb{K}}^1$, which is universal in the following sense: For any generic DG derivation $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega$, there exists a unique DG \mathcal{A} -module morphism $\bar{\delta} : \Omega_{\mathcal{A}|\mathbb{K}}^1 \rightarrow \Omega$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \Omega \\ d_{dR} \downarrow & \nearrow \exists! \bar{\delta} & \\ \Omega_{\mathcal{A}|\mathbb{K}}^1 & & \end{array}$$

Thus,

$$\bar{\delta} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \text{id}_{\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E})} : \Omega_{\mathcal{A}|\mathbb{K}}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E}) \quad (2.6)$$

is a DG \mathcal{A} -module morphism as well.

Definition 2.7 (Twisted Atiyah class). Let \mathcal{E} be a DG \mathcal{A} -module, $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega$ a DG derivation. The DG \mathcal{A} -module morphism $\bar{\delta} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \text{id}_{\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E})}$ in (2.6) sends the Atiyah class $\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}} \in H^1(\mathcal{A}, \Omega_{\mathcal{A}|\mathbb{K}}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E}))$ of \mathcal{E} to a cohomology class

$$\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\delta} \in H^1(\mathcal{A}, \Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E})),$$

which is called the δ -twisted Atiyah class of \mathcal{E} .

It follows immediately that twisted Atiyah classes are homotopy invariant:

Proposition 2.8. If $\delta \sim \delta'$, then for any DG \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{E} ,

$$\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\delta} = \text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\delta'} \in H^1(\mathcal{A}, \Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E})).$$

Below we give a different characterization of the twisted Atiyah class $\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\delta}$. We need another key notion in this note — δ -connections, which can be thought of as operations extending δ .

Definition 2.9. Let $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega$ be a DG derivation, \mathcal{E} an \mathcal{A} -module. A δ -connection on \mathcal{E} is a degree 0, \mathbb{K} -linear map of graded \mathbb{K} -vector spaces

$$\nabla : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{E}$$

satisfying the following Leibniz rule:

$$\nabla(ae) = \delta(a) \otimes e + a\nabla(e), \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}, e \in \mathcal{E}. \quad (2.10)$$

Remark 2.11. A connection \blacktriangledown as in Definition 2.1 induces a δ -connection ∇ as in Definition 2.9 via the following triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\blacktriangledown} & \Omega_{\mathcal{A}|\mathbb{K}}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{E} \\ & \searrow \nabla & \downarrow \bar{\delta} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \text{id}_{\mathcal{E}} \\ & & \Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{E}. \end{array} \quad (2.12)$$

It follows that δ -connections always exist on projective \mathcal{A} -modules. However, δ -connections do not necessarily arise in this manner.

Proposition 2.13. Let $\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{E}, \partial_{\mathcal{A}})$ be a DG \mathcal{A} -module.

1) For any δ -connection ∇ on \mathcal{E} . The degree 1 element

$$\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\nabla} := [\nabla, \partial_{\mathcal{A}}] = \nabla \circ \partial_{\mathcal{A}} - \partial_{\mathcal{A}} \circ \nabla \in \Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E})$$

is a cocycle.

2) The cohomology class $[\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\nabla}] \in H^1(\mathcal{A}, \Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E}))$ coincides with the δ -twisted Atiyah class $\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\delta}$ of \mathcal{E} .

Proof. The first statement that $\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\nabla}$ belongs to $\Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E})$ and is a cocycle can be verified by straightforward computations.

We prove second statement. Observe that the difference of two δ -connections is a degree zero element in $\Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E})$. It follows that the cohomology class $[\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\nabla}]$ is independent of the choice of δ -connections. So, we can use the particular δ -connection ∇ as in the commutative triangle (2.12), induced by a connection \blacktriangledown on \mathcal{E} .

Since the map

$$\bar{\delta} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \text{id}_{\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E})} : \Omega_{\mathcal{A}|\mathbb{K}}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E})$$

is a DG \mathcal{A} -module morphism, it follows that

$$\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\nabla} = [\nabla, \partial_{\mathcal{A}}] = [(\bar{\delta} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \text{id}_{\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E})}) \circ \blacktriangledown, \partial_{\mathcal{A}}] = (\bar{\delta} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \text{id}_{\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E})})[\blacktriangledown, \partial_{\mathcal{A}}] = (\bar{\delta} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \text{id}_{\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E})})(\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\blacktriangledown}).$$

Passing to the cohomology, we have

$$[\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\nabla}] = (\bar{\delta} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \text{id}_{\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E})})(\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}) = \text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\delta},$$

as desired. \square

Definition 2.14. We call $\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\nabla}$ the δ -twisted Atiyah cocycle of \mathcal{E} with respect to the δ -connection ∇ on \mathcal{E} .

Let us denote $\mathcal{B} = \Omega^{\vee}$, the \mathcal{A} -dual of Ω . Note that \mathcal{B} is also a DG \mathcal{A} -module. Given a δ -connection $\nabla : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{E}$ of an \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{E} as in Definition 2.9, the associated covariant derivation along $b \in \mathcal{B}$ is

$$\nabla_b : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}, \quad \nabla_b(e) := \iota_b \nabla(e), \quad \forall e \in \mathcal{E}.$$

If \mathcal{E} is a DG \mathcal{A} -module, then the associated δ -twisted Atiyah cocycle $\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\nabla}$ as in Proposition 2.13 could be viewed as a degree 1 element in $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$ by setting

$$\begin{aligned} \text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\nabla}(b, e) &= (-1)^{|b|} \iota_b \text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\nabla}(e) = (-1)^{|b|} \iota_b (\nabla(\partial_{\mathcal{A}}(e)) - \partial_{\mathcal{A}}(\nabla(e))) \\ &= -\partial_{\mathcal{A}}(\nabla_b e) + \nabla_{\partial_{\mathcal{A}}(b)} e + (-1)^{|b|} \nabla_b \partial_{\mathcal{A}}(e) \\ &= \nabla_{\partial_{\mathcal{A}}(b)} e - [\partial_{\mathcal{A}}, \nabla_b](e), \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

for all $b \in \mathcal{B}$ and $e \in \mathcal{E}$. Moreover, as $\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\nabla}$ is a 1-cocycle, it is a morphism of DG \mathcal{A} -modules, i.e., $\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\nabla} \in \text{Hom}_{\text{DG}\mathcal{A}}^1(\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$.

The following proposition follows directly from Proposition 2.13 and Equation (2.15):

Proposition 2.16. Let $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega$ be a DG derivation, and \mathcal{E} a DG \mathcal{A} -module. Then the δ -twisted Atiyah class $\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\delta}$ vanishes if and only if there exists a δ -connection ∇ on \mathcal{E} such that the associated twisted Atiyah cocycle $\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\nabla}$ vanishes, i.e., the map $\nabla : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{E}$ is compatible with the differentials. In this case, for all $\partial_{\mathcal{A}}$ -closed elements $b \in \mathcal{B}$ and $e \in \mathcal{E}$, $\nabla_b e$ is also $\partial_{\mathcal{A}}$ -closed.

2.3. Atiyah classes of DG Lie algebroids and Lie pairs. In this part, we recall Atiyah classes of DG vector bundles with respect to a DG Lie algebroid defined in [19] and Atiyah classes of Lie pairs defined in [8] (see [9] for the equivalence of the two types of Atiyah classes for integrable distributions). Our goal is to show that both of them can be constructed as twisted Atiyah classes of CDGAs.

2.3.1. Differential graded Lie algebroids. A DG Lie algebroid can be thought of as a Lie algebroid object in the category of smooth DG manifolds. Here is the precise definition.

Definition 2.17. A DG Lie algebroid over a DG manifold $(\mathcal{M}, Q_{\mathcal{M}})$ is a quadruple

$$(\mathcal{L}, Q_{\mathcal{L}}, \rho_{\mathcal{L}}, [-, -]_{\mathcal{L}}),$$

where

- 1) $(\mathcal{L}, Q_{\mathcal{L}})$ is a DG vector bundle over $(\mathcal{M}, Q_{\mathcal{M}})$;
- 2) $(\mathcal{L}, \rho_{\mathcal{L}}, [-, -]_{\mathcal{L}})$ is a graded Lie algebroid over \mathcal{M} ;
- 3) The anchor map $\rho_{\mathcal{L}} : (\mathcal{L}, Q_{\mathcal{L}}) \rightarrow (T\mathcal{M}, L_{Q_{\mathcal{M}}})$ is a morphism of DG vector bundles;
- 4) $Q_{\mathcal{L}} : \Gamma(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{L})$ is a derivation with respect to the bracket $[-, -]_{\mathcal{L}}$, i.e.,

$$Q_{\mathcal{L}}([X, Y]_{\mathcal{L}}) = [Q_{\mathcal{L}}(X), Y]_{\mathcal{L}} + (-1)^{|X|} [X, Q_{\mathcal{L}}(Y)]_{\mathcal{L}}, \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{L}).$$

Given a DG Lie algebroid $(\mathcal{L}, Q_{\mathcal{L}}, \rho_{\mathcal{L}}, [-, -]_{\mathcal{L}})$ and a DG vector bundle $(\mathcal{E}, Q_{\mathcal{E}})$ over $(\mathcal{M}, Q_{\mathcal{M}})$, Mehta, Stiénon and Xu constructed the Atiyah class $\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}$ of \mathcal{E} with respect to \mathcal{L} as follows:

Choose a Lie algebroid \mathcal{L} -connection $\nabla^\mathcal{E}$ on the vector bundle \mathcal{E} , i.e., a degree 0 \mathbb{K} -bilinear map

$$\nabla^\mathcal{E} : \Gamma(\mathcal{L}) \times \Gamma(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$$

subject to the relations

$$\nabla_{fX}^\mathcal{E} e = f \nabla_X^\mathcal{E} e, \quad \nabla_X^\mathcal{E} (fe) = (\rho_\mathcal{L}(X)f)e + (-1)^{|f||X|} f \nabla_X^\mathcal{E} e,$$

for all $f \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$, $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{L})$ and $e \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$. There associates a degree 1 cocycle $\text{At}_\mathcal{E}^{\nabla^\mathcal{E}} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{L}^\vee \otimes \text{End}(\mathcal{E}))$ defined by

$$\text{At}_\mathcal{E}^{\nabla^\mathcal{E}}(X, e) = Q_\mathcal{E}(\nabla_X^\mathcal{E} e) - \nabla_{Q_\mathcal{L}(X)}^\mathcal{E} e - (-1)^{|X|} \nabla_X^\mathcal{E} (Q_\mathcal{E} e), \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{L}), e \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}).$$

Its cohomology class $\text{At}_\mathcal{E} \in H^1(\Gamma(\mathcal{L}^\vee \otimes \text{End}(\mathcal{E})))$, which is independent of the choice of \mathcal{L} -connections, is called the Atiyah class of the DG vector bundle \mathcal{E} with respect to the DG Lie algebroid \mathcal{L} [19].

Meanwhile, there associates a $(\Gamma(\mathcal{L}^\vee), Q_{\mathcal{L}^\vee})$ -valued DG derivation of the CDGA $(C^\infty(\mathcal{M}), Q_{\mathcal{M}})$ defined by

$$\delta_\mathcal{L} : C^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{d_{dR}} \Omega^1(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\rho_{\mathcal{L}^\vee}} \Gamma(\mathcal{L}^\vee), \quad (2.18)$$

where $Q_{\mathcal{L}^\vee}$ is induced from the differential $Q_\mathcal{L}$ on \mathcal{L} . The fact that $\delta_\mathcal{L}$ commutes with the two differentials $Q_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $Q_{\mathcal{L}^\vee}$ follows from (3) of Definition 2.17. The section space of the DG vector bundle \mathcal{E} gives rise to a DG $(C^\infty(\mathcal{M}), Q_{\mathcal{M}})$ -module $\mathcal{E} := (\Gamma(\mathcal{E}), Q_\mathcal{E})$.

Proposition 2.19. *The Atiyah class $\text{At}_\mathcal{E}$ of the DG vector bundle \mathcal{E} with respect to the DG Lie algebroid \mathcal{L} coincides, up to a minus sign, with the twisted Atiyah class $\text{At}_\mathcal{E}^{\delta_\mathcal{L}}$ of the DG $(C^\infty(\mathcal{M}), Q_{\mathcal{M}})$ -module $\mathcal{E} = (\Gamma(\mathcal{E}), Q_\mathcal{E})$, where the DG derivation $\delta_\mathcal{L}$ is given as in Equation (2.18).*

Proof. Note that a $\delta_\mathcal{L}$ -connection $\nabla^{\delta_\mathcal{L}}$ on $\mathcal{E} = \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ is equivalently to a Lie algebroid \mathcal{L} -connection $\nabla^\mathcal{L}$ on the graded vector bundle \mathcal{E} . One may direct check that the associated Atiyah cocycles are related by

$$\text{At}_\mathcal{E}^{\nabla^{\delta_\mathcal{L}}} = -\text{At}_\mathcal{E}^{\nabla^\mathcal{E}}.$$

This proves the claim, by Proposition 2.13. \square

2.3.2. Lie pairs. By a Lie pair (L, A) , we mean two Lie algebroids L and A over the same smooth manifold M such that $A \subset L$ is a Lie subalgebroid. The quotient bundle $B = L/A$ carries a natural flat (Lie algebroid) A -connection, called the Bott A -module structure.

Let us recall the Atiyah class of the Lie pair (L, A) defined in [8]. First of all, there is a short exact sequence of vector bundles over M ,

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} L \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_B} B \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.20)$$

Choose a splitting of Sequence (2.20), i.e., a vector bundle injection $j : B \rightarrow L$, which determines a bundle projection $\text{pr}_A : L \rightarrow A$, such that

$$\text{pr}_A \circ i = \text{id}_A, \quad \text{pr}_B \circ j = \text{id}_B, \quad i \circ \text{pr}_A + j \circ \text{pr}_B = \text{id}_L.$$

Using this splitting, one could identify L with $A \oplus B$.

Secondly, for each A -module (E, ∂_A^E) , where E is a vector bundle over M and ∂_A^E is a flat A -connection on E , choose an L -connection ∇^L on E extending the given flat A -connection. Then there associates a 1-cocycle $\alpha_E^{\nabla^L} \in \Gamma(A^\vee \otimes B^\vee \otimes \text{End}(E))$, called the Atiyah cocycle, of the Lie algebroid A valued in the A -module $B^\vee \otimes \text{End}(E)$:

$$\alpha_E^{\nabla^L}(a, b)e := \nabla_a \nabla_{j(b)}^L e - \nabla_{j(b)}^L \nabla_a e - \nabla_{[a, j(b)]}^L e,$$

for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$, $b \in \Gamma(B)$ and $e \in \Gamma(E)$. Here ∇_a comes from the A -module structure of E . The cohomology class

$$\alpha_E = [\alpha_E^{\nabla^L}] \in H_{\text{CE}}^1(A, B^\vee \otimes \text{End}(E))$$

does not depend on the choice of j and ∇^L , and is called the Atiyah class of the A -module E with respect to the Lie pair (L, A) .

From the Lie pair (L, A) , we get a CDGA $\Omega_A^\bullet = (\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee), d_A)$, and a DG Ω_A^\bullet -module $\Omega_A^\bullet(B^\vee) := (\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee \otimes B^\vee), \partial_A)$, where ∂_A is the A -module structure dual to the A -module structure on B . Here the degree convention is that $\Gamma(B^\vee)$ concentrates in degree zero.

Fixing a splitting j of Sequence (2.20), we construct an $\Omega_A^\bullet(B^\vee)$ -valued DG derivation δ_j of Ω_A^\bullet , i.e., a map

$$\delta_j : \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee \otimes B^\vee). \quad (2.21)$$

As a degree zero derivation of Ω_A^\bullet , δ_j is fully determined by its action on generators of the algebra $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee)$, i.e. elements in $C^\infty(M)$ and $\Gamma(A^\vee)$ — Define

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_j : C^\infty(M) &\xrightarrow{d_L} \Gamma(L^\vee) \xrightarrow{j^\vee} \Gamma(B^\vee), \\ \delta_j : \Gamma(A^\vee) &\xrightarrow{\text{pr}_A^\vee} \Gamma(L^\vee) \xrightarrow{d_L} \Gamma(\wedge^2 L^\vee) \rightarrow \Gamma(L^\vee \otimes L^\vee) \xrightarrow{i^\vee \otimes j^\vee} \Gamma(A^\vee \otimes B^\vee), \end{aligned}$$

where $d_L : \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet L^\vee) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet+1} L^\vee)$ is the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential of the Lie algebroid L . A straightforward verification shows that δ_j is compatible with the differentials and thus is an $\Omega_A^\bullet(B^\vee)$ -valued DG derivation of Ω_A^\bullet .

Note that δ_j depends on a choice of a splitting j of Sequence (2.20). However, we have

Proposition 2.22. *The $\Omega_A^\bullet(B^\vee)$ -valued DG derivations δ_j of Ω_A^\bullet associated with different splittings j of Sequence (2.20), are all identical up to homotopy.*

Proof. Given two splittings j and j' of Sequence (2.20), their difference is a bundle map $j' - j : B \rightarrow A$. Define a degree (-1) derivation $h : \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet-1} A^\vee \otimes B^\vee)$ by setting

$$h|_{C^\infty(M)} = 0, \quad h|_{\Gamma(A^\vee)} = (j' - j)^\vee.$$

It follows from direct verifications that

$$\delta_{j'} - \delta_j = [\partial_A, h] : \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee \otimes B^\vee).$$

This proves $\delta_j \sim \delta_{j'}$. □

Let (E, ∂_A^E) be an A -module. There induces a DG Ω_A^\bullet -module $\mathcal{E} := (\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee \otimes E), \partial_A^E)$.

Proposition 2.23. *The Atiyah class α_E of the A -module E with respect to the Lie pair (L, A) coincides with the twisted Atiyah class $\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\delta_j}$ of the DG Ω_A^\bullet -module \mathcal{E} , where the DG derivation δ_j is given as in Equation (2.21).*

Proof. First of all, the spaces where the two Atiyah classes live are isomorphic, i.e.,

$$(\alpha_E \in) H_{\text{CE}}^1(A, B^\vee \otimes \text{End}(E)) \cong H^1(\Omega_A^\bullet, \Omega_A^\bullet(B^\vee) \otimes_{\Omega_A^\bullet} \text{End}_{\Omega_A^\bullet}(\mathcal{E})) (\ni \text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\delta_j}).$$

According to Proposition 2.13, to find the twisted Atiyah class $\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\delta_j}$, one may use a δ_j -connection ∇^{δ_j} on \mathcal{E} , which is determined by its restriction on $\Gamma(E)$:

$$\nabla^{\delta_j}|_E : \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(B^\vee) \otimes \Gamma(E).$$

This is equivalent to an L -connection ∇^L on E extending the given flat A -connection by setting

$$\nabla_{a+b}^L = \nabla_a + \nabla_b^{\delta_j}|_E, \quad \forall a + b \in L \cong A \oplus B. \quad (2.24)$$

By straightforward computations, one can show that the two associated Atiyah cocycles are the same, i.e., $\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\nabla^{\delta_j}} = \alpha_{\mathcal{E}}^{\nabla^L}$. This completes the proof. \square

2.4. Functoriality. In this section, we study some functorial properties of twisted Atiyah classes. Let $\text{H}(\text{DG } \mathcal{A})$ denote the homology category of differential graded \mathcal{A} -modules: Objects in $\text{H}(\text{DG } \mathcal{A})$ are DG \mathcal{A} -modules, and morphisms in $\text{H}(\text{DG } \mathcal{A})$ are DG \mathcal{A} -module morphisms modulo homotopy [13].

Let $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega$ be a DG derivation. For each object \mathcal{E} in $\text{H}(\text{DG } \mathcal{A})$, by Definition 2.7, the twisted Atiyah class

$$\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\delta} \in H^1(\mathcal{A}, \Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E})) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{H}(\text{DG } \mathcal{A})}^1(\mathcal{E}, \Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{E})$$

is a degree 1 morphism in the category $\text{H}(\text{DG } \mathcal{A})$. This identification defines a functorial transformation. In fact, when the DG derivation δ is fixed, the δ -twisted Atiyah class is a functorial transformation on $\text{H}(\text{DG } \mathcal{A})$ from the identity functor id to the tensor functor $\Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} -$:

Proposition 2.25. *Let \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} be DG \mathcal{A} -modules, $\lambda \in \text{Hom}_{\text{H}(\text{DG } \mathcal{A})}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$. The following diagram commutes in the category $\text{H}(\text{DG } \mathcal{A})$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\delta}} & \Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{E} \\ (-1)^{|\lambda|} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id}_{\Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \lambda} \\ \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{\text{At}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\delta}} & \Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F}. \end{array} \quad (2.26)$$

Proof. Let us first show the non-twisted case. I.e., the following diagram commutes in $\text{H}(\text{DG } \mathcal{A})$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}} & \Omega_{\mathcal{A}|\mathbb{K}}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{E} \\ (-1)^{|\lambda|} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id}_{\Omega_{\mathcal{A}|\mathbb{K}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \lambda} \\ \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{\text{At}_{\mathcal{F}}} & \Omega_{\mathcal{A}|\mathbb{K}}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F}. \end{array} \quad (2.27)$$

In fact, this can be directly verified. We choose connections $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}$, respectively, on \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} . For simplicity, they are both denoted by ∇ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{id}_{\Omega} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \lambda) \circ \text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\nabla} - (-1)^{|\lambda|} \text{At}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\nabla} \circ \lambda \\ &= (\text{id}_{\Omega} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \lambda) \circ (\nabla \circ \partial_{\mathcal{A}} - \partial_{\mathcal{A}} \circ \nabla) - (-1)^{|\lambda|} (\nabla \circ \partial_{\mathcal{A}} - \partial_{\mathcal{A}} \circ \nabla) \circ \lambda \\ &= ((\text{id}_{\Omega} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \lambda) \circ \nabla - \nabla \circ \lambda) \circ \partial_{\mathcal{A}} - (-1)^{|\lambda|} \partial_{\mathcal{A}} \circ ((\text{id}_{\Omega} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \lambda) \circ \nabla - \nabla \circ \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

The term $(\text{id}_{\Omega} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \lambda) \circ \nabla - \nabla \circ \lambda : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{A}|\mathbb{K}}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F}$ is actually \mathcal{A} -linear, by direct verifications. Thus the two maps $(\text{id}_{\Omega} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \lambda) \circ \text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\nabla}$ and $(-1)^{|\lambda|} \text{At}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\nabla} \circ \lambda$ are only differed by a homotopy term, and hence the desired commutative Diagram (2.27). Combining with the DG \mathcal{A} -module morphism $\bar{\delta} : \Omega_{\mathcal{A}|\mathbb{K}}^1 \rightarrow \Omega$ which is induced from the DG derivation $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega$, we accomplish a commutative diagram in $\text{H}(\text{DG } \mathcal{A})$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}} & \Omega_{\mathcal{A}|\mathbb{K}}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\delta} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \text{id}} & \Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{E} \\ (-1)^{|\lambda|} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id}_{\Omega_{\mathcal{A}|\mathbb{K}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \lambda} & & \downarrow \text{id}_{\Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \lambda} \\ \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{\text{At}_{\mathcal{F}}} & \Omega_{\mathcal{A}|\mathbb{K}}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\delta} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \text{id}} & \Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F}. \end{array}$$

\square

Now we study how Atiyah classes vary when twisted by different DG derivations. So we need the category of DG derivations, denoted by $\text{DGder}_{\mathcal{A}}$, whose objects are DG derivations $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega$ as in Definition 2.4, and whose morphisms are defined as follows:

Definition 2.28. A morphism in the category $\text{DGder}_{\mathcal{A}}$, say ϕ , from DG derivations $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega$ to $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\delta'} \Omega'$, is a morphism $\phi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega'$ of DG \mathcal{A} -modules such that

$$\delta' = \phi \circ \delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Omega'.$$

Now let us fix a DG \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{E} . We have the constant functor $(- \mapsto \mathcal{E})$ and the tensor functor $- \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{E}$, both from the category $\text{DGder}_{\mathcal{A}}$ of DG derivations to the homology category $\text{H}(\text{DG } \mathcal{A})$ of DG \mathcal{A} -modules. The Atiyah class is a functorial transformation from $(- \mapsto \mathcal{E})$ to $- \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{E}$:

Proposition 2.29. Given a morphism $\phi : (\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega) \rightarrow (\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\delta}} \tilde{\Omega})$ of DG derivations and a DG \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{E} , let $\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\delta}$ and $\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\tilde{\delta}}$ be, respectively, the Atiyah classes of \mathcal{E} twisted by $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega$ and $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\delta}} \tilde{\Omega}$. Then the following diagram commutes in $\text{H}(\text{DG } \mathcal{A})$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\delta}} & \Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{E} \\ \text{id}_{\mathcal{E}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \text{id}_{\mathcal{E}} \\ \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\tilde{\delta}}} & \tilde{\Omega} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{E}. \end{array}$$

The proof is easy and thus omitted. Combining the two propositions above, we have

Theorem 2.30. With the same assumptions as in Propositions 2.25 and 2.29, the following diagram in $\text{H}(\text{DG } \mathcal{A})$ commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\delta}} & \Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{E} \\ (-1)^{|\lambda|} \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \lambda \\ \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{\text{At}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\tilde{\delta}}} & \tilde{\Omega} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F}. \end{array}$$

3. HOMOTOPY LEIBNIZ ALGEBRAS AND PROOFS OF MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we explore the algebraic structures, which we call Kapranov Leibniz $_{\infty}$ [1] algebras and modules, induced by a DG derivation $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega$. Our main goal is to show that there exists a canonical Leibniz $_{\infty}$ [1] algebra structure on the dual \mathcal{A} -module $\mathcal{B} = \Omega^{\vee}$.

3.1. Leibniz $_{\infty}$ [1] algebras. We recall some basic notions of homotopy Leibniz algebras (c.f.[1, 8]). In what follows, all tensor products \otimes without adoration are assumed to be over \mathbb{K} .

Definition 3.1. A Leibniz $_{\infty}$ [1] algebra (over \mathbb{K}) is a graded \mathbb{K} -vector space $V = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V^n$, together with a sequence $\{\lambda_k : \otimes^k V \rightarrow V\}_{k \geq 1}$ of degree 1, \mathbb{K} -multilinear maps satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i+j=n+1} \sum_{k=j}^n \sum_{\sigma \in \text{sh}(k-j, j-1)} \epsilon(\sigma) (-1)^{|v_{\sigma(1)}| + \dots + |v_{\sigma(k-j)}|} \\ & \lambda_i(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(k-j)}, \lambda_j(v_{\sigma(k-j+1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(k-1)}, v_k), v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

for all $n \geq 1$ and all homogeneous elements $v_i \in V$, where $\text{sh}(p, q)$ denotes the set of (p, q) -shuffles $(p, q \geq 0)$, and $\epsilon(\sigma)$ is the Koszul sign of σ .

Definition 3.3. A morphism of $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ algebras from $(V, \{\lambda_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ to $(V', \{\lambda'_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ is a sequence $\{f_k : V^{\otimes k} \rightarrow V'\}_{k \geq 1}$ of degree 0, \mathbb{K} -multilinear maps, satisfying the following compatibility condition:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k+p \leq n-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{sh}(k,p)} \epsilon(\sigma) (-1)^{\dagger_k^\sigma} f_{n-p}(b_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, b_{\sigma(k)}, \lambda_{p+1}(b_{\sigma(k+1)}, \dots, b_{\sigma(k+p)}, b_{k+p+1}), \dots, b_n) \\ &= \sum_{q \geq 1} \sum_{\substack{I^1 \cup \dots \cup I^q = \mathbb{N}^{(n)} \\ I_1, \dots, I_q \neq \emptyset \\ i_{|I^1|}^1 < \dots < i_{|I^q|}^q}} \epsilon(I^1, \dots, I^q) \lambda'_q(f_{|I^1|}(b_{I^1}), \dots, f_{|I^q|}(b_{I^q})), \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

for all $n \geq 1$, where $\dagger_k^\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^k |b_{\sigma(i)}|$, $I^j = \{i_1^j < \dots < i_{|I^j|}^j\} \subset \mathbb{N}^{(n)} = \{1, \dots, n\}$, and $(b_{I^j}) = (b_{i_1^j}, \dots, b_{i_{|I^j|}^j})$ for all $1 \leq j \leq q$.

Note that in the above definition, the first component $f_1 : (V, \lambda_1) \rightarrow (V', \lambda'_1)$, called the tangent morphism, is a morphism of cochain complexes. We call the $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ morphism $f_\bullet : (V, \lambda_\bullet) \rightarrow (V', \lambda'_\bullet)$ a quasi-isomorphism (resp. an isomorphism) if f_1 is a quasi-isomorphism (resp. an isomorphism). In fact, there is a standard way to find its quasi-inverse (resp. inverse) $f_\bullet^{-1} : (V', \lambda'_\bullet) \rightarrow (V, \lambda_\bullet)$ (see [1]).

Definition 3.5. Let $(V, \{\lambda_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ be a $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ algebra. A $(V, \{\lambda_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ -module is a graded \mathbb{K} -vector space W together with a sequence $\{\mu_k : V^{\otimes(k-1)} \otimes W \rightarrow W\}_{k \geq 1}$ of degree 1, \mathbb{K} -multilinear maps satisfying the identities

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i+j=n+1} \sum_{k=j}^n \sum_{\sigma \in \text{sh}(k-j, j-1)} \epsilon(\sigma) (-1)^{\dagger_{k-j}^\sigma} \\ & \mu_i(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(k-j)}, \lambda_j(v_{\sigma(k-j+1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(k-1)}, v_k), v_{k+1}, \dots, v_{n-1}, w) \\ & + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{sh}(k, j)} \epsilon(\sigma) (-1)^{\dagger_{n-j}^\sigma} \mu_i(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(n-j)}, \mu_j(v_{\sigma(n-j+1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(n-1)}, w)) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \geq 1$ and all homogeneous vectors $v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} \in V, w \in W$, where $\dagger_j^\sigma = |v_{\sigma(1)}| + \dots + |v_{\sigma(j)}|$ for all $j \geq 0$.

Definition 3.6. Let $(V, \{\lambda_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ be a $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ algebra. A morphism of $(V, \{\lambda_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ -modules from $(W, \{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ to $(W', \{\mu'_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ is a sequence $\{\psi_k : V^{\otimes(k-1)} \otimes W \rightarrow W'\}_{k \geq 1}$ of degree 0, \mathbb{K} -multilinear maps satisfying the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i+j=n+1} \sum_{k=j}^n \sum_{\sigma \in \text{sh}(k-j, j-1)} \epsilon(\sigma) (-1)^{|v_{\sigma(1)}| + \dots + |v_{\sigma(k-j)}|} \\ & \psi_i(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(k-j)}, \lambda_j(v_{\sigma(k-j+1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(k-1)}, v_k), v_{k+1}, \dots, v_{n-1}, w) \\ & + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{sh}(k, j)} \epsilon(\sigma) (-1)^{|v_{\sigma(1)}| + \dots + |v_{\sigma(n-j)}|} \psi_i(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(n-j)}, \mu_j(v_{\sigma(n-j+1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(n-1)}, w)) \\ &= \sum_{p \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{I^1 \cup \dots \cup I^{p+1} = \mathbb{N}^{(n-1)} \\ I^1, \dots, I^{p+1} \neq \emptyset \\ i_{|I^1|}^1 < \dots < i_{|I^{p+1}|}^{p+1}}} \epsilon(I^1, \dots, I^{p+1}) \mu'_{p+1}(\lambda_{|I^1|}(v_{I^1}), \dots, \lambda_{|I^p|}(v_{I^p}), \psi_{|I^{p+1}|+1}(v_{I^{p+1}}, w)), \end{aligned}$$

for each $n \geq 1$ and all homogeneous vectors $v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} \in V, w \in W$. Here $I^j = \{i_1^j < \dots < i_{|I^j|}^j\} \subset \mathbb{N}^{(n-1)} = \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, and $(v_{I^j}) = (v_{i_1^j}, \dots, v_{i_{|I^j|}^j})$ for all $1 \leq j \leq p+1$.

In this note, we are particularly interested in $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ algebras over a CDGA \mathcal{A} (or $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ \mathcal{A} -algebras).

Definition 3.7. A $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ \mathcal{A} -algebra is a $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ algebra $(V, \{\lambda_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ (in the category of $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ algebras over \mathbb{K}) such that the cochain complex (V, λ_1) is a DG \mathcal{A} -module and all higher brackets $\lambda_k : \otimes^k V \rightarrow V$ ($k \geq 2$) are \mathcal{A} -multilinear.

A morphism of $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ \mathcal{A} -algebras from $(V, \{\lambda_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ to $(V', \{\lambda'_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ is a morphism $\{f_k : V^{\otimes k} \rightarrow V'\}_{k \geq 1}$ (in the category of $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ algebras over \mathbb{K}) such that all structure maps $\{f_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ are \mathcal{A} -multilinear. In particular, its tangent morphism $f_1 : (V, \lambda_1) \rightarrow (V', \lambda'_1)$ is a DG \mathcal{A} -module morphism.

Such a morphism $f_\bullet : (V, \lambda_\bullet) \rightarrow (V', \lambda'_\bullet)$ is called a quasi-isomorphism (resp. an isomorphism) if its tangent morphism f_1 is a quasi-isomorphism (resp. an isomorphism) of DG \mathcal{A} -modules.

It can be easily verified that the quasi-inverse (resp. inverse) $\{f_k^{-1}\}_{k \geq 1}$, when exist, are all \mathcal{A} -multilinear.

Let us denote the category of $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ \mathcal{A} -algebras by $\text{Leib}_\infty(\mathcal{A})$. It is a subcategory of the category of $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ algebras over \mathbb{K} .

There are analogous notions of modules of a $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ \mathcal{A} -algebra and their morphisms.

Definition 3.8. Let $(V, \{\lambda_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ be a $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ \mathcal{A} -algebra. A $(V, \{\lambda_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ \mathcal{A} -module is a $(V, \{\lambda_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ -module $(W, \{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ (in the category of $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ modules over \mathbb{K}) such that (W, μ_1) is a DG \mathcal{A} -module and all higher structure maps $\{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 2}$ are \mathcal{A} -multilinear.

A morphism of $(V, \{\lambda_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ \mathcal{A} -modules from $(W, \{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ to $(W', \{\mu'_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ is a morphism of $(V, \{\lambda_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ -modules $\{\psi_k : V^{\otimes(k-1)} \otimes W \rightarrow W'\}_{k \geq 1}$ (in the category of $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ modules over \mathbb{K}) such that all maps $\{\psi_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ are \mathcal{A} -multilinear.

3.2. Kapranov $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ algebras and modules. Given a DG derivation $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega$ of a CDGA \mathcal{A} , let $\mathcal{B} = \Omega^\vee$ be the dual DG \mathcal{A} -module of Ω . In this section, we generalize Kapranov's construction of an L_∞ algebra structure [12] and Chen-Stiénon-Xu's construction of a $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ algebra structure [8]. We will show that there exists a canonical $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ \mathcal{A} -algebra structure $\{\mathcal{R}_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ on the DG \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{B} such that $\mathcal{R}_1 = \partial_{\mathcal{A}}$ and \mathcal{R}_2 is the δ -twisted Atiyah cocycle $\text{At}_{\mathcal{B}}^\nabla$ of \mathcal{B} with respect to a δ -connection ∇ on \mathcal{B} . Moreover, if \mathcal{E} is a DG \mathcal{A} -module, then \mathcal{E} carries a canonical $(\mathcal{B}, \{\mathcal{R}_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ \mathcal{A} -module structure $\{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ such that $\mu_1 = \partial_{\mathcal{A}}$ and μ_2 is specified by the δ -twisted Atiyah cocycle $\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^\nabla$ of \mathcal{E} with respect to a δ -connection ∇ on \mathcal{E} (see Theorem 3.11). Here by saying that these structures are canonical, we mean they are unique up to isomorphisms in the category $\text{Leib}_\infty(\mathcal{A})$ of $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ \mathcal{A} -algebras (see Theorem 3.21) and are homotopy invariant (see Theorem 3.28).

3.2.1. Construction of $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ algebras. Let \mathcal{E} be an \mathcal{A} -module with a δ -connection ∇ . For each homogeneous $b \in \mathcal{B}$, there is a degree $|b|$ derivation on the reduced tensor algebra $T(\mathcal{E})$ (over \mathcal{A}) defined by

$$\nabla_b(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{|b|*i-1} e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \nabla_b e_i \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n,$$

for all homogeneous $e_i \in \mathcal{E}$, where $*i = \sum_{j=1}^i |e_j|$.

Let \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} be two \mathcal{A} -modules with δ -connections $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}$, respectively. For $b \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\lambda \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$, there associates the derivation

$$\nabla_b(\lambda) = [\nabla_b, \lambda] = \nabla_b^{\mathcal{F}} \circ \lambda - (-1)^{|\lambda|} \lambda \circ \nabla_b^{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}.$$

It follows from a direct verification that $\nabla_b(\lambda) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$.

Let $\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{E}, \partial_{\mathcal{A}})$ be a DG \mathcal{A} -module. Choose a δ -connection on \mathcal{B} and a δ -connection on \mathcal{E} , both of them being denoted by ∇ . There associates two sequences of degree 1 maps

$$\mathcal{R}_k^{\nabla} : \mathcal{B}^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}, \quad \mu_k^{\nabla} : \mathcal{B}^{\otimes(k-1)} \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}, \quad k \geq 1,$$

defined as follows:

- $\mathcal{R}_1^{\nabla} = \partial_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and $\mu_1^{\nabla} = \partial_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$;
- \mathcal{R}_2^{∇} and μ_2^{∇} are the associated twisted Atiyah cocycles $\text{At}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\nabla}$ and $\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\nabla}$, respectively;
- $\{\mathcal{R}_{k+1}^{\nabla} : \mathcal{B}^{\otimes(k+1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\}_{k \geq 2}$ and $\{\mu_{k+1}^{\nabla} : \mathcal{B}^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\}_{k \geq 2}$ are defined recursively by

$$\mathcal{R}_{k+1}^{\nabla} = \nabla(\mathcal{R}_k^{\nabla}), \quad \mu_{k+1}^{\nabla} = \nabla(\mu_k^{\nabla}).$$

I.e.,

$$\mathcal{R}_{k+1}^{\nabla}(b_0, b_1, \dots, b_k) = (-1)^{|b_0|} [\nabla_{b_0}, \mathcal{R}_k^{\nabla}](b_1, \dots, b_k), \quad \forall b_i \in \mathcal{B}, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\mu_{k+1}^{\nabla}(b_0, \dots, b_{k-1}, e) = (-1)^{|b_0|} [\nabla_{b_0}, \mu_k^{\nabla}](b_1, \dots, b_{k-1}, e), \quad \forall b_i \in \mathcal{B}, e \in \mathcal{E}. \quad (3.10)$$

Theorem 3.11. (1) The \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{B} , together with the sequence of operators $\{\mathcal{R}_k^{\nabla}\}_{k \geq 1}$, is a $\text{Leibniz}_{\infty}[1]$ \mathcal{A} -algebra.

(2) The \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{E} , endowed with the sequence of operators $\{\mu_k^{\nabla}\}_{k \geq 1}$, is a $(\mathcal{B}, \{\mathcal{R}_k^{\nabla}\}_{k \geq 1})$ \mathcal{A} -module.

Proof. We only prove the first statement that $(\mathcal{B}, \{\mathcal{R}_k^{\nabla}\}_{k \geq 1})$ is a $\text{Leibniz}_{\infty}[1]$ \mathcal{A} -algebra. The proof of the second one goes along the same line *mutatis mutandis*. The 2-bracket \mathcal{R}_2^{∇} is the twisted Atiyah cocycles $\text{At}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\nabla}$, which is certainly \mathcal{A} -bilinear. By the recursive construction of higher brackets $\mathcal{R}_{k+1}^{\nabla}$ ($k \geq 2$) in Equation (3.9), they are all \mathcal{A} -multilinear as well. So it suffices to verify that $\{\mathcal{R}_k^{\nabla}\}_{k \geq 1}$ satisfies Equation (3.2). We argue by induction.

The $n = 1$ case follows from the fact that $\mathcal{R}_1^{\nabla} = \partial_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a differential, and the $n = 2$ case follows from the fact that the δ -twisted Atiyah cocycle $\text{At}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\nabla}$ is a $\partial_{\mathcal{A}}$ -cocycle.

Now assume that the identity (3.2) holds for some $n \geq 2$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} -\partial_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{R}_n^{\nabla})(b_1, \dots, b_n) &= -\partial_{\mathcal{A}} \circ \mathcal{R}_n^{\nabla}(b_1, \dots, b_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{*i-1} \mathcal{R}_n^{\nabla}(b_1, \dots, \partial_{\mathcal{A}} b_i, \dots, b_n) \\ &= \sum_{i,j \geq 2, i+j=n+1} \sum_{k=j}^n \sum_{\sigma \in \text{sh}(k-j, j-1)} \epsilon(\sigma) (-1)^{|b_{\sigma(1)}| + \dots + |b_{\sigma(k-j)}|} \\ &\quad \mathcal{R}_i^{\nabla}(b_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, b_{\sigma(k-j)}, \mathcal{R}_j^{\nabla}(b_{\sigma(k-j+1)}, \dots, b_{\sigma(k-1)}, b_k), b_{k+1}, \dots, b_n). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Consider the $n + 1$ case: We first compute

$$\begin{aligned} -\partial_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{R}_{n+1}^{\nabla})(b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n) &= \partial_{\mathcal{A}}([\mathcal{R}_n^{\nabla}, \nabla_{b_0}](b_1, \dots, b_n) + [\mathcal{R}_n^{\nabla}, \nabla_{\partial_{\mathcal{A}} b_0}](b_1, \dots, b_n) \\ &= [\partial_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{R}_n^{\nabla}), \nabla_{b_0}](b_1, \dots, b_n) + [\mathcal{R}_n^{\nabla}, \mathcal{R}_2^{\nabla}(b_0, -)](b_1, \dots, b_n). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Here we have used the recursive definition (3.9) in the first equality and Equation (2.15) in the second one.

We introduce

$$b_k^{(i)} = \begin{cases} b_k, & \text{if } k \neq i \\ \nabla_{b_0} b_i, & \text{if } k = i. \end{cases}$$

Then the first summand in Equation (3.13) is,

$$\begin{aligned} & [\partial_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{R}_n^\nabla), \nabla_{b_0}](b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{|b_0|*i-1} \partial_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{R}_n^\nabla)(b_1, \dots, \nabla_{b_0}^{\mathcal{B}} b_i, \dots, b_n) - \nabla_{b_0}(\partial_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{R}_n^\nabla)(b_1, \dots, b_n)) \\ & \quad \text{by assumption (3.12)} \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{p,q \geq 2, p+q=n+1} \sum_{k=q}^n \sum_{\sigma \in \text{sh}(k-q, q-1)} \epsilon(\sigma) (-1)^{|b_0|*i-1+|b_{\sigma(1)}^{(i)}|+\dots+|b_{\sigma(k-q)}^{(i)}|} \\ & \quad \mathcal{R}_p^\nabla(b_{\sigma(1)}^{(i)}, \dots, b_{\sigma(k-q)}^{(i)}, \mathcal{R}_j^\nabla(b_{\sigma(k-q+1)}^{(i)}, \dots, b_{\sigma(k-1)}^{(i)}, b_k^{(i)}), b_{k+1}^{(i)}, \dots, b_n^{(i)}) \\ & + \sum_{i,j \geq 2, i+j=n+1} \sum_{k=j}^n \sum_{\sigma \in \text{sh}(k-j, j-1)} \epsilon(\sigma) (-1)^{|b_{\sigma(1)}|+\dots+|b_{\sigma(k-j)}|} \\ & \quad \nabla_{b_0}(\mathcal{R}_i^\nabla(b_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, b_{\sigma(k-j)}), \mathcal{R}_j^\nabla(b_{\sigma(k-j+1)}, \dots, b_{\sigma(k-1)}, b_k), b_{k+1}, \dots, b_n) \\ &= \sum_{i,j \geq 2, i+j=n+1} \sum_{k=j}^n \sum_{\sigma \in \text{sh}(k-j, j-1)} \epsilon(\sigma) (-1)^{|b_0|+|b_{\sigma(1)}|+\dots+|b_{\sigma(k-j)}|} \\ & \quad \mathcal{R}_{i+1}^\nabla(b_0, b_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, b_{\sigma(k-j)}), \mathcal{R}_j^\nabla(b_{\sigma(k-j+1)}, \dots, b_{\sigma(k-1)}, b_k), b_{k+1}, \dots, b_n) \\ & + \sum_{i,j \geq 2, i+j=n+1} \sum_{k=j}^n \sum_{\sigma \in \text{sh}(k-j, j-1)} \epsilon(\sigma) (-1)^{(|b_{\sigma(1)}|+\dots+|b_{\sigma(k-j)}|)(|b_0|+1)} \\ & \quad \mathcal{R}_i^\nabla(b_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, b_{\sigma(k-j)}), \mathcal{R}_{j+1}^\nabla(b_0, b_{\sigma(k-j+1)}, \dots, b_{\sigma(k-1)}, b_k), b_{k+1}, \dots, b_n). \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Here in the last step we used the recursive definition of $\{\mathcal{R}_i^\nabla\}$.

Meanwhile, the second summand in Equation (3.13) is

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mathcal{R}_n^\nabla, \mathcal{R}_2^\nabla(b_0, -)](b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{(|b_0|+1)*i-1} \mathcal{R}_n^\nabla(b_1, \dots, \mathcal{R}_2^\nabla(b_0, b_i), \dots, b_n) + (-1)^{|b_0|} \mathcal{R}_2^\nabla(b_0, \mathcal{R}_n^\nabla(b_1, \dots, b_n)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Substituting Equations (3.14) and (3.15) into Equation (3.13), we see that Equation (3.12) holds for the case $(n+1)$. This proves that $\{\mathcal{R}_k^\nabla\}_{k \geq 1}$ satisfies Equation (3.2) for all $n \geq 1$. \square

Remark 3.16. *This method is originated from Kapranov's construction of L_∞ algebra structure on the shifted tangent complex $\Omega_X^{0, \bullet-1}(T^{1,0}X)$ of a compact Kähler manifold X [12]. For this reason, we call $(\mathcal{B}, \{\mathcal{R}_k^\nabla\}_{k \geq 1})$ the Kapranov Leibniz $_\infty[1]$ \mathcal{A} -algebra, and $(\mathcal{E}, \{\mu_k^\nabla\}_{k \geq 1})$ the Kapranov Leibniz $_\infty[1]$ \mathcal{A} -module.*

3.2.2. Leibniz algebra structures. Let $(V, \{\lambda_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ be a Leibniz $_\infty[1]$ \mathcal{A} -algebra as in Definition 3.7. Then $(V, \lambda_1 = \partial_{\mathcal{A}})$ is a DG \mathcal{A} -module. Its cohomology $H^\bullet(V)$ will be called the tangent cohomology of the Leibniz $_\infty[1]$ \mathcal{A} -algebra $(V, \{\lambda_k\}_{k \geq 1})$. According to [8, Proposition 3.10], the (degree -1) shifted tangent cohomology $H^\bullet(V[-1])$ is a Leibniz algebra (over \mathbb{K}), when equipped with the bracket

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\lambda}_2 : H^\bullet(V[-1]) \times H^\bullet(V[-1]) &\rightarrow H^\bullet(V[-1]) \\ \check{\lambda}_2([x], [y]) &:= (-1)^{|x|} [\lambda_2(x, y)], \end{aligned}$$

where $x, y \in V$ are λ_1 -closed.

In a similar fashion, if $(W, \{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ is a $(V, \{\lambda_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ \mathcal{A} -module as in Definition 3.8, then $(W, \mu_1 = \partial_{\mathcal{A}})$ is also a DG \mathcal{A} -module. The (degree (-1) shifted) cohomology $H^\bullet(W[-1])$ is a Leibniz module over the aforesaid Leibniz algebra $H^\bullet(V[-1])$ (both over \mathbb{K}), when equipped with the action

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\mu}_2 : H^\bullet(V[-1]) \times H^\bullet(W[-1]) &\rightarrow H^\bullet(W[-1]) \\ \check{\mu}_2([x], [w]) &:= (-1)^{|x|} [\mu_2(x, w)], \end{aligned}$$

where $x \in V$, $w \in W$ are, respectively, λ_1 and μ_1 -closed elements.

Hence, as a consequence of Theorem 2.30 and Theorem 3.11, we have the following

Corollary 3.17. *Let ϕ be a morphism of DG derivations from $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\delta'} \Omega'$ to $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega$ and let \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}' be the dual DG \mathcal{A} -modules of Ω and Ω' , respectively.*

- (1) *The (degree (-1) shifted) cohomology space $H^\bullet(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}[-1])$ is a Leibniz algebra, whose bracket $\left[-, -\right]_{\mathcal{B}}$ is induced by the δ -twisted Atiyah class of \mathcal{B} :*

$$\left[[b_1], [b_2]\right]_{\mathcal{B}} = (-1)^{|b_1|} \text{At}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\delta}([b_1], [b_2]),$$

where $b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ are $\partial_{\mathcal{A}}$ -closed elements. Moreover, $\phi^\vee : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}'$ induces a morphism of Leibniz algebras, i.e.,

$$\left[\phi^\vee(b_1), \phi^\vee(b_2)\right]_{\mathcal{B}'} = \phi^\vee\left(\left[[b_1], [b_2]\right]_{\mathcal{B}}\right).$$

- (2) *For any DG \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{E} , there exists a representation of $H^\bullet(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}[-1])$ on the (degree (-1) shifted) cohomology space $H^\bullet(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E}[-1])$, with the action map $- \triangleright -$ induced by the δ -twisted Atiyah class of \mathcal{E} :*

$$[b] \triangleright [e] = (-1)^{|b|} \text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\delta}([b], [e]),$$

where $b \in \mathcal{B}$, $e \in \mathcal{E}$ are both $\partial_{\mathcal{A}}$ -closed elements. Moreover, this assignment is functorial, i.e., for each DG \mathcal{A} -module morphism $\lambda : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ (of degree 0),

$$[b] \triangleright \lambda(e) = \lambda([b] \triangleright [e]).$$

Remark 3.18. *According to [8, Theorem 3.4], the Atiyah class of a Lie pair (L, A) induces a Lie algebra structure on the cohomology $H_{\text{CE}}^\bullet(A, L/A[-1])$. A similar result holds for L_∞ algebra pairs [7]. However, it is not the case in general (see an example below). It is natural to ask when the Leibniz algebra structure in Corollary 3.17 could be refined to a Lie algebra structure. We will investigate this question somewhere else.*

Example 3.19. *Let \mathcal{LM} be the category of linear maps [18]. A Lie algebra object in \mathcal{LM} is a triple $E \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathfrak{g}$, where \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra, E is a left \mathfrak{g} -module, and ψ is a \mathfrak{g} -equivariant linear map.*

Consider the CDGA $\mathcal{A} = C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}) = (\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^\vee, d_{\text{CE}})$ and DG $C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$ -module $\Omega = C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, E^\vee[-1]) = (\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^\vee \otimes E^\vee[-1], d_{\text{CE}})$, namely the Chevalley-Eilenberg cochain complex of the dual \mathfrak{g} -module $E^\vee[-1]$.

The \mathfrak{g} -equivariant map $E \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathfrak{g}$ gives rise to a DG derivation of $C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$:

$$C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\delta = \psi^\vee} C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, E^\vee[-1]).$$

The dual module of $\Omega = C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, E^\vee[-1])$ is $\mathcal{B} = C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, E[1])$. One can take the trivial δ -connection on \mathcal{B} :

$$\nabla : \mathcal{B} = C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, E[1]) \rightarrow \Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{B} = C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, E^\vee[-1] \otimes E[1]),$$

defined by

$$\nabla(\omega \otimes e) = \delta(\omega) \otimes e, \quad \forall \omega \in \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^\vee, e \in E.$$

By Equation (2.15), the associated Atiyah cocycle is a degree 1 element $\text{At}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\nabla} \in E^{\vee}[-1] \otimes E^{\vee}[-1] \otimes E[1]$ specified by

$$\mathcal{R}_2^{\nabla} = \text{At}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\nabla}(e_1, e_2) = -\psi(e_1)e_2, \quad \forall e_1, e_2 \in E.$$

It can be easily seen that higher structures $\mathcal{R}_j^{\nabla} = 0$ for all $j \geq 3$. So, in this case, the Kapranov Leibniz $_{\infty}[1]$ $C^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g})$ -algebra $\mathcal{B} = C^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, E[1])$ is simply a DG Leibniz[1] algebra, or equivalently, $\mathcal{B}[-1] = C^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, E)$ is a DG Leibniz algebra. In particular, the subspace E is a Leibniz algebra, recovering the result in [18].

By Corollary 3.17, there is a Leibniz algebra structure on the graded vector space $H^{\bullet}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}[-1]) = H_{\text{CE}}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, E)$, whose bracket is given by

$$[[e_1], [e_2]] = (-1)^{|e_1|+1}[\text{At}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\nabla}(e_1, e_2)] = \pm[\psi(e_1)e_2],$$

for all d_{CE} -closed elements $e_1, e_2 \in C^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, E)$. Here the last term $\psi(-)(-): C^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, E) \times C^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, E) \rightarrow C^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, E)$ is a $\wedge^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}^{\vee}$ -bilinear map naturally extended from $\psi(-)(-): E \times E \rightarrow E$. In general, the Leibniz structure on $(H_{\text{CE}}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, E), [-, -])$ is not skewsymmetric.

3.2.3. Independence of δ -connections. Suppose that we have another δ -connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ on \mathcal{B} . Denote the corresponding Kapranov Leibniz $_{\infty}[1]$ \mathcal{A} -algebra structure on \mathcal{B} by $\{\mathcal{R}_k^{\tilde{\nabla}}: \mathcal{B}^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\}_{k \geq 1}$. We prove that these two Leibniz $_{\infty}[1]$ \mathcal{A} -algebras are isomorphic.

Consider a sequences of degree 0 maps

$$\phi_k: \mathcal{B}^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}, \quad k \geq 1,$$

where $\phi_1 = \text{id}_{\mathcal{B}}$, and $\{\phi_{k+1}\}_{k \geq 1}$ are defined recursively as follows:

$$\phi_{k+1}(b_0, \dots, b_k) = (\tilde{\nabla}_{b_0} \circ \phi_k - \phi_k \circ \nabla_{b_0})(b_1, \dots, b_k), \quad \forall b_i \in \mathcal{B}. \quad (3.20)$$

It is easy to verify that all the maps $\{\phi_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ are \mathcal{A} -multilinear.

Theorem 3.21 (Independence of δ -connections). *The sequence of \mathcal{A} -multilinear maps*

$$\phi = \{\phi_k: \mathcal{B}^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\}_{k \geq 1}$$

defines an isomorphism of Kapranov Leibniz $_{\infty}[1]$ \mathcal{A} -algebras from $(\mathcal{B}, \{\mathcal{R}_k^{\nabla}\}_{k \geq 1})$ to $(\mathcal{B}, \{\mathcal{R}_k^{\tilde{\nabla}}\}_{k \geq 1})$.

Proof. Since $\phi_1 = \text{id}_{\mathcal{B}}$, it suffices to prove that $\{\phi_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ defines a morphism of Leibniz $_{\infty}[1]$ \mathcal{A} -algebras, i.e. Equation (3.4).

We argue by induction. First of all, the $n = 1$ case is obvious, since $\phi_1 = \text{id}_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\mathcal{R}_1^{\nabla} = \mathcal{R}_1^{\tilde{\nabla}} = \partial_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Now assume that Equation (3.4) holds for some $n \geq 1$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k+p \leq n-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{sh}(k,p)} \epsilon(\sigma) (-1)^{\dagger_k^{\sigma}} \phi_{n-p}(b_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, b_{\sigma(k)}, \mathcal{R}_{p+1}^{\nabla}(b_{\sigma(k+1)}, \dots, b_{\sigma(k+p)}, b_{k+p+1}), \dots, b_n) \\ &= \sum_{q \geq 1} \sum_{\substack{I^1 \cup \dots \cup I^q = \mathbb{N}^{(n)} \\ I_1, \dots, I_q \neq \emptyset \\ i_{|I^1|}^1 < \dots < i_{|I^q|}^q}} \epsilon(I^1, \dots, I^q) \mathcal{R}_q^{\tilde{\nabla}}(\phi_{|I^1|}(b_{I^1}), \dots, \phi_{|I^q|}(b_{I^q})), \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

where $\dagger_k^{\sigma} = \sum_{i=1}^k |b_{\sigma(i)}|$, $I^j = \{i_1^j < \dots < i_{|I^j|}^j\} \subset \mathbb{N}^{(n)} = \{1, \dots, n\}$, and $(b_{I^j}) = (b_{i_1^j}, \dots, b_{i_{|I^j|}^j})$ for all $1 \leq j \leq q$.

We proceed to show that Equation (3.22) holds for $(n+1)$ inputs $\{b_0, \dots, b_{n+1}\}$. For simplicity, we denote the left-hand side and the right-hand side of Equation (3.22) by $\text{LHS}(b_1, \dots, b_n)$ and $\text{RHS}(b_1, \dots, b_n)$, respectively.

We write $\text{LHS}(b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n) = I_1 + I_2 + I_3$ as the sum of three parts, where

$$I_1 = \phi_{n+1}(\partial_{\mathcal{A}} b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n) = \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_{\mathcal{A}} b_0} \circ \phi_n(b_1, \dots, b_n) - \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{*i-1} (|b_0|+1) \phi_n(b_1, \dots, \nabla_{\partial_{\mathcal{A}} b_0} b_i, \dots, b_n),$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \sum_{k+p=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{sh}(k,p)} \epsilon(\sigma) (-1)^{|b_0|+\dagger_k^\sigma} \phi_{n-p+1}(b_0, b_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, b_{\sigma(k)}, \mathcal{R}_{p+1}^\nabla(b_{\sigma(k+1)}, \dots, b_{\sigma(k+p)}, b_{k+p+1}), \dots, b_n) \\ &= (\tilde{\nabla}_{b_0} \circ \phi_{n-p} - \phi_{n-p} \circ \nabla_{b_0})(b_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \mathcal{R}_{p+1}^\nabla(b_{\sigma(k+1)}, \dots, b_{\sigma(k+p)}, b_{k+p+1}), \dots, b_n), \end{aligned}$$

by Equation (3.20), and

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= \sum_{k+p=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{sh}(k,p)} \epsilon(\sigma) (-1)^{(|b_0|+1)\dagger_k^\sigma} \phi_{n-p}(b_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, b_{\sigma(k)}, \mathcal{R}_{p+2}^\nabla(b_0, b_{\sigma(k+1)}, \dots, b_{\sigma(k+p)}, b_{k+p+1}), \dots, b_n), \\ &= \sum_{k+p=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{sh}(k,p)} \epsilon(\sigma) (-1)^{(|b_0|+1)\dagger_k^\sigma} \\ &\quad \phi_{n-p}(b_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, b_{\sigma(k)}, -[\mathcal{R}_{p+1}^\nabla, \nabla_{b_0}](b_{\sigma(k+1)}, \dots, b_{\sigma(k+p)}, b_{k+p+1}), \dots, b_n) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{*k} (|b_0|+1) \phi_n(b_1, \dots, \nabla_{\partial_{\mathcal{A}} b_0} b_{k+1}, \dots, b_n), \end{aligned}$$

by Equations (2.15) and (3.9). Summing them up, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS}(b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n) &= \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_{\mathcal{A}}(b_0)} \phi_n(b_1, \dots, b_n) - \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{|b_0|*i-1} \text{LHS}(b_1, \dots, \nabla_{b_0} b_i, \dots, b_n) \\ &\quad + \tilde{\nabla}_{b_0} \text{LHS}(b_1, \dots, b_n). \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

Meanwhile,

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{RHS}(b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n) \\ &= \sum_{q=1}^n \sum_{\substack{I^1 \cup \dots \cup I^q = \mathbb{N}^{(n)} \\ I_1, \dots, I_q \neq \emptyset \\ i_{|I^1|}^1 < \dots < i_{|I^q|}^q}} \epsilon(I^1, \dots, I^q) (\mathcal{R}_{q+1}^{\tilde{\nabla}^{\mathcal{B}}}(b_0, \phi_{|I^1|}(b_{I^1}), \dots, \phi_{|I^q|}(b_{I^q})) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{R}_q^{\tilde{\nabla}^{\mathcal{B}}}(\phi_{|I^1|+1}(b_0, b_{I^1}), \dots, \phi_{|I^q|}(b_{I^q})) \\ &\quad + \dots + (-1)^{|b_0|(|b_{I^1|} + \dots + |b_{I^{q-1}}|)} \mathcal{R}_q^{\tilde{\nabla}^{\mathcal{B}}}(\phi_{|I^1|}(b_{I^1}), \dots, \phi_{|I^q|+1}(b_0, b_{I^q}))) \\ &\quad \text{by Equations (2.15), (3.9), (3.20)} \\ &= \tilde{\nabla}_{b_0} \text{RHS}(b_1, \dots, b_n) + \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_{\mathcal{A}}(b_0)} \phi_n(b_1, \dots, b_n) - \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{|b_0|*i-1} \text{RHS}(b_1, \dots, \nabla_{b_0} b_i, \dots, b_n). \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

Applying the induction assumption to Equations (3.23) and (3.24), we see that Equation (3.22) holds for $n+1$ entries. Thus Equation (3.22) holds for all $n \geq 1$. This proves that $\phi = \{\phi_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ is a morphism of $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ \mathcal{A} -algebras. \square

Remark 3.25. *The reason that we restrict to work in the category $\text{Leib}_\infty(\mathcal{A})$ of $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ \mathcal{A} -algebras is as follows: If we treat $(\mathcal{B}, \{\mathcal{R}_k^\nabla\}_{k \geq 1})$ merely as a $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ algebra over \mathbb{K} , it is always isomorphic to the trivial one $(\mathcal{B}, \{\partial_{\mathcal{A}}, 0, 0, \dots\})$ (all higher brackets are zero). In fact, one can build a sequences of degree 0 maps*

$$\phi_k : \mathcal{B}^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}, \quad k \geq 1,$$

where $\phi_1 = \text{id}_{\mathcal{B}}$, and $\{\phi_{k+1}\}_{k \geq 1}$ are defined recursively by

$$\phi_{k+1}(b_0, \dots, b_k) = \nabla_{b_0} \circ \phi_k(b_1, \dots, b_k), \quad \forall b_i \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Although the maps $\{\phi_k\}_{k \geq 2}$ are not \mathcal{A} -multilinear, it follows from the proof of the above theorem that $\{\phi_k : \mathcal{B}^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\}_{k \geq 1}$ defines an isomorphism of $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ algebras from $(\mathcal{B}, \{\partial_{\mathcal{A}}, 0, 0, \dots\})$ to $(\mathcal{B}, \{\mathcal{R}_k^\nabla\}_{k \geq 1})$ (in the category of $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ algebras over \mathbb{K}).

The Kapranov $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ \mathcal{A} -module structure in Theorem 3.11(2) is also unique up to isomorphism. More precisely, assume that we have another δ -connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ on \mathcal{E} . Denote the $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ -module structure of $(\mathcal{B}, \{\mathcal{R}_k^\nabla\}_{k \geq 1})$ by $\{\mu_k^\nabla\}_{k \geq 1}$. To construct the desired isomorphism, we define a sequence $\{\psi_k : \mathcal{B}^{\otimes(k-1)} \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\}_{k \geq 1}$ of \mathcal{A} -multilinear maps inductively by setting $\psi_1 = \text{id}_{\mathcal{E}}$, and

$$\psi_{k+1}(b_0, \dots, b_{k-1}, e) = (\tilde{\nabla}_{b_0} \circ \psi_k - \psi_k \circ \nabla_{b_0})(b_1, \dots, b_{k-1}, e), \quad \forall b_i \in \mathcal{B}, e \in \mathcal{E}. \quad (3.26)$$

By a similar argument as that of Theorem 3.21, one can prove the following

Theorem 3.27. *The sequence of \mathcal{A} -multilinear maps $\psi = \{\psi_k : \mathcal{B}^{\otimes(k-1)} \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\}_{k \geq 1}$ defines an isomorphism of $(\mathcal{B}, \{\mathcal{R}_k^\nabla\}_{k \geq 1})$ \mathcal{A} -modules from $(\mathcal{E}, \{\mu_k^\nabla\}_{k \geq 1})$ to $(\mathcal{E}, \{\mu_k^{\tilde{\nabla}}\}_{k \geq 1})$.*

3.2.4. Homotopy invariance. In this section, we prove that the isomorphism class of Kapranov $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ \mathcal{A} -algebras arising from DG derivations only depends on their homotopy classes.

Theorem 3.28. *Let $\delta \sim \delta'$ be homotopic Ω -valued DG derivations of \mathcal{A} . Then there exists an isomorphism $\{g_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ sending the Kapranov $\text{Leibniz}_\infty[1]$ \mathcal{A} -algebra $(\mathcal{B}, \{\mathcal{R}_k^{\nabla'}\}_{k \geq 1})$ (w.r.t. δ' and a δ' -connection ∇') to $(\mathcal{B}, \{\mathcal{R}_k^\nabla\}_{k \geq 1})$ (w.r.t. δ and a δ -connection ∇).*

Proof. By definition, there exists a degree (-1) Ω -valued derivation $h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Omega$ of \mathcal{A} such that

$$\delta' = \delta + [\partial_{\mathcal{A}}, h] = \delta + \partial_{\mathcal{A}} \circ h + h \circ d_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

We choose an h -connection on \mathcal{B} , i.e. a degree (-1) linear map

$$\widehat{\nabla} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{B}$$

satisfying

$$\widehat{\nabla}(ab) = h(a) \otimes b + (-1)^{|a|} a \widehat{\nabla}(b), \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}.$$

For each δ -connection ∇ on \mathcal{B} , it can be easily verified that $\nabla'' := [\partial_{\mathcal{A}}, \widehat{\nabla}]$ is a $[\partial_{\mathcal{A}}, h]$ -connection on \mathcal{B} , and thus

$$\nabla' = \nabla + \nabla'' = \nabla + [\partial_{\mathcal{A}}, \widehat{\nabla}] : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{B}$$

is a δ' -connection on \mathcal{B} . It follows that

$$\mathcal{R}_2^{\nabla'} = [\nabla', \partial_{\mathcal{A}}] = [\nabla + [\partial_{\mathcal{A}}, \widehat{\nabla}], \partial_{\mathcal{A}}] = [\nabla, \partial_{\mathcal{A}}] = \mathcal{R}_2^\nabla.$$

Define a family of \mathcal{A} -multilinear maps $g_k : \mathcal{B}^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ inductively by setting $g_1 = \text{id}_{\mathcal{B}}$, $g_2 = 0$, and

$$g_{k+1}(b_0, \dots, b_k) = (-1)^{|b_0|} \sum_{p=2}^k \sum_{\substack{I^1 \cup \dots \cup I^p = \mathbb{N}^{(k)} \\ I_1, \dots, I_p \neq \emptyset \\ i_{|I^1|}^1 < \dots < i_{|I^p|}^p}} \epsilon(I^1, \dots, I^p) [\widehat{\nabla}_{b_0}, R_p^\nabla](g_{|I^1|}(b_{I^1}), \dots, g_{|I^p|}(b_{I^p}))$$

$$+ [\nabla'_{b_0}, g_k](b_1, \dots, b_k), \quad (3.29)$$

for all $k \geq 2$. We prove that $\{g_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ is a morphism of Leibniz $_{\infty}[1]$ algebras from $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R}_n^{\nabla'})$ to $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R}_n^{\nabla})$ by examining Equation (3.4). In this case, it can be reformulated as the following equation

$$\mathcal{R}_n^{\nabla'} = \mathcal{R}_n^{\nabla} + \partial_{\mathcal{A}}(g_n) + (\mathcal{R}^{\nabla} \circ g - g \circ \mathcal{R}^{\nabla'})_n, \quad (3.30)$$

for all $n \geq 1$, where $(\mathcal{R}^{\nabla} \circ g - g \circ \mathcal{R}^{\nabla'})_n$ is a \mathcal{A} -multilinear map $\mathcal{B}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{R}^{\nabla} \circ g - g \circ \mathcal{R}^{\nabla'})_n(b_1, \dots, b_n) \\ &= \sum_{1 < q < n} \sum_{\substack{I^1 \cup \dots \cup I^q = \mathbb{N}^{(n)} \\ I_1, \dots, I_q \neq \emptyset \\ i_{|I^1|}^1 < \dots < i_{|I^q|}^q}} \epsilon(I^1, \dots, I^q) \mathcal{R}_q^{\nabla}(g_{|I^1|}(b_{I^1}), \dots, g_{|I^q|}(b_{I^q})) \\ & - \sum_{\substack{k \geq 0, p \geq 1 \\ k+p \leq n-1}} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{sh}(k,p)} \epsilon(\sigma) (-1)^{\dagger_k^{\sigma}} g_{n-p}(b_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, b_{\sigma(k)}, \mathcal{R}_{p+1}^{\nabla'}(b_{\sigma(k+1)}, \dots, b_{\sigma(k+p)}, b_{k+p+1}), \dots, b_n), \end{aligned}$$

for all $b_i \in \mathcal{B}$.

We proceed by induction: Equation (3.30) holds obviously when $n = 1, 2$. Assume that it is true for all $k \leq n$ for some fixed $n \geq 2$. For all $b_i \in \mathcal{B}, 0 \leq i \leq n$, we compute the $(n+1)$ case as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{n+1}^{\nabla'}(b_0, \dots, b_n) &= (-1)^{|b_0|} [\nabla'_{b_0}, \mathcal{R}_n^{\nabla'}](b_1, \dots, b_n) \quad \text{by inductive assumption} \\ &= (-1)^{|b_0|} [\nabla_{b_0} + \nabla''_{b_0}, \mathcal{R}_n^{\nabla} + \partial_{\mathcal{A}}(g_n) + (\mathcal{R}^{\nabla} \circ g - g \circ \mathcal{R}^{\nabla'})_n](b_1, \dots, b_n) \\ &= \mathcal{R}_{n+1}^{\nabla}(b_0, \dots, b_n) + (-1)^{|b_0|} [\nabla''_{b_0}, \mathcal{R}_n^{\nabla}](b_1, \dots, b_n) \\ & \quad + (-1)^{|b_0|} [\nabla'_{b_0}, \partial_{\mathcal{A}}(g_n) + (\mathcal{R}^{\nabla} \circ g - g \circ \mathcal{R}^{\nabla'})_n](b_1, \dots, b_n), \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

where the last summand, by definition, is

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{|b_0|} [\nabla'_{b_0}, (\mathcal{R}^{\nabla} \circ g - g \circ \mathcal{R}^{\nabla'})_n](b_1, \dots, b_n) \\ &= \sum_{1 < q < n} \sum_{\substack{I^1 \cup \dots \cup I^q = \mathbb{N}^{(n)} \\ I_1, \dots, I_q \neq \emptyset \\ i_{|I^1|}^1 < \dots < i_{|I^q|}^q}} \epsilon(I^1, \dots, I^q) \{ (-1)^{|b_0|} [\nabla''_{b_0}, \mathcal{R}_q^{\nabla}](g_{|I^1|}(b_{I^1}), \dots, g_{|I^q|}(b_{I^q})) \\ & + \mathcal{R}_{q+1}^{\nabla}(b_0, g_{|I^1|}(b_{I^1}), \dots, g_{|I^q|}(b_{I^q})) + \sum_{j=1}^q (-1)^{|b_0| *_{I^j} - 1} \mathcal{R}_q^{\nabla}(g_{|I^1|}(b_{I^1}), \dots, [\nabla'_{b_0}, g_{|I^j|}](b_{I^j}), \dots, g_{|I^q|}(b_{I^q})) \} \\ & - \sum_{\substack{k \geq 0, p \geq 1 \\ k+p \leq n-1}} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{sh}(k,p)} \epsilon(\sigma) \{ (-1)^{\dagger_k^{\sigma} + |b_0|} [\nabla'_{b_0}, g_{n-p}](b_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, b_{\sigma(k)}, \mathcal{R}_{p+1}^{\nabla'}(b_{\sigma(k+1)}, \dots, b_{\sigma(k+p)}, b_{k+p+1}), \dots, b_n) \\ & \quad - (-1)^{\dagger_k^{\sigma} (|b_0| + 1)} g_{n-p}(b_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, b_{\sigma(k)}, \mathcal{R}_{p+2}^{\nabla'}(b_0, b_{\sigma(k+1)}, \dots, b_{\sigma(k+p)}, b_{k+p+1}), \dots, b_n) \}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

where $*_{I^j} = |b_{I^1}| + \dots + |b_{I^j}|$.

Applying Equation (3.32) and our inductive assumption, we get the following Equation via some tedious computations:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{R}^{\nabla} \circ g - g \circ \mathcal{R}^{\nabla'})_{n+1}(b_0, \dots, b_n) - [\mathcal{R}_2^{\nabla}(b_0, -), g_n](b_1, \dots, b_n) \\ &= (-1)^{|b_0|} [\nabla'_{b_0}, (\mathcal{R}^{\nabla} \circ g - g \circ \mathcal{R}^{\nabla'})_n](b_1, \dots, b_n) \\ & - \sum_{1 < q < n} \sum_{\substack{I^1 \cup \dots \cup I^q = \mathbb{N}^{(n)} \\ I_1, \dots, I_q \neq \emptyset \\ i_{|I^1|}^1 < \dots < i_{|I^q|}^q}} \epsilon(I^1, \dots, I^q) (-1)^{|b_0|} [\nabla''_{b_0}, \mathcal{R}_q^{\nabla}](g_{|I^1|}(b_{I^1}), \dots, g_{|I^q|}(b_{I^q})) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{1 < m \leq n} \sum_{\substack{I^1 \cup \dots \cup I^m = \mathbb{N}^{(n)} \\ I_1, \dots, I_m \neq \emptyset \\ i_{|I^1|}^1 < \dots < i_{|I^m|}^m}} \epsilon(I^1, \dots, I^m) [\widehat{\nabla}_{b_0}, \partial_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{R}_m^\nabla)](g_{I^1}(b_{I^1}), \dots, g_{I^m}(b_{I^m})) \\
& - (-1)^{|b_0| + *I^{j-1}} [\widehat{\nabla}_{b_0}, \mathcal{R}_q^\nabla](g_{|I^1|}(b_{I^1}), \dots, \partial_{\mathcal{A}}(g_{|I^j|})(b_{I^j}), \dots, g_{|I^m|}(b_{I^m})). \tag{3.33}
\end{aligned}$$

Meanwhile, we compute by defining Equation (3.29),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{\mathcal{A}}(g_{n+1})(b_0, \dots, b_n) \\
& = (-1)^{|b_0|} \sum_{p=2}^n \sum_{\substack{I^1 \cup \dots \cup I^p = \mathbb{N}^{(n)} \\ I_1, \dots, I_p \neq \emptyset \\ i_{|I^1|}^1 < \dots < i_{|I^p|}^p}} \epsilon(I^1, \dots, I^p) \{ [\partial_{\mathcal{A}}, [\widehat{\nabla}_{b_0}, \mathcal{R}_p^\nabla]](g_{|I^1|}(b_{I^1}), \dots, g_{|I^p|}(b_{I^p})) \\
& \quad + (-1)^{*I^{j-1}} [\widehat{\nabla}_{b_0}, \mathcal{R}_p^\nabla](g_{|I^1|}(b_{I^1}), \dots, \partial_{\mathcal{A}}(g_{|I^j|})(b_{I^j}), \dots, g_{|I^p|}(b_{I^p})) \} \\
& \quad + [\partial_{\mathcal{A}}, [\nabla'_{b_0}, g_n]](b_1, \dots, b_n), \quad \text{by Jacobi identity} \\
& = \sum_{p=2}^n \sum_{\substack{I^1 \cup \dots \cup I^p = \mathbb{N}^{(n)} \\ I_1, \dots, I_p \neq \emptyset \\ i_{|I^1|}^1 < \dots < i_{|I^p|}^p}} \epsilon(I^1, \dots, I^p) \{ ((-1)^{|b_0|} [\nabla''_{b_0}, \mathcal{R}_p^\nabla] - [\widehat{\nabla}_{b_0}, \partial_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{R}_p^\nabla)])(g_{|I^1|}(b_{I^1}), \dots, g_{|I^p|}(b_{I^p})) \\
& \quad + (-1)^{|b_0| + *I^{j-1}} [\widehat{\nabla}_{b_0}, \mathcal{R}_p^\nabla](g_{|I^1|}(b_{I^1}), \dots, \partial_{\mathcal{A}}(g_{|I^j|})(b_{I^j}), \dots, g_{|I^p|}(b_{I^p})) \} \\
& \quad - [\mathcal{R}_2^\nabla(b_0, -), g_n](b_1, \dots, b_n) + (-1)^{|b_0|} [\nabla'_{b_0}, \partial_{\mathcal{A}}(g_n)](b_1, \dots, b_n). \tag{3.34}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining Equations (3.33) and (3.34), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\partial_{\mathcal{A}}(g_{n+1}) + (\mathcal{R}^\nabla \circ g - g \circ \mathcal{R}^{\nabla'})_{n+1})(b_0, \dots, b_n) \\
& = (-1)^{|b_0|} \{ [\nabla'_{b_0}, \partial_{\mathcal{A}}(g_n) + (\mathcal{R}^\nabla \circ g - g \circ \mathcal{R}^{\nabla'})_n](b_1, \dots, b_n) + [\nabla''_{b_0}, \mathcal{R}_n^\nabla](b_1, \dots, b_n) \} \quad \text{by Eqn. (3.31)} \\
& = (\mathcal{R}_{n+1}^{\nabla'} - \mathcal{R}_{n+1}^\nabla)(b_0, \dots, b_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, Equation (3.30) holds for $(n+1)$. This completes the proof. \square

3.3. Functoriality. In this section, we prove that the assignment to each DG derivation the Kapranov Leibniz $_{\infty}[1]$ algebra is functorial. A first observation is the following

Proposition 3.35. *Let ϕ be a morphism from $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\delta'} \Omega'$ to $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega$ in the category $\text{DGder}_{\mathcal{A}}$ of DG derivations (see Definition 2.28). Let $\mathcal{B} = \Omega^\vee$ and $\mathcal{B}' = (\Omega')^\vee$ be dual DG \mathcal{A} -modules. Let ∇ and ∇' be, respectively, δ and δ' -connections on \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}' . Then, there exists a morphism $\{f_k : \mathcal{B}^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}'\}_{k \geq 1}$ of Kapranov Leibniz $_{\infty}[1]$ \mathcal{A} -algebras $(\mathcal{B}, \{\mathcal{R}_k^\nabla\}_{k \geq 1}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}', \{\mathcal{R}_k^{\nabla'}\}_{k \geq 1})$, whose first map is $f_1 = \phi^\vee$.*

Proof. We define a sequence of \mathcal{A} -multilinear maps $f_k : \mathcal{B}^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}'$ recursively by setting

$$f_1(b_1) = \phi^\vee(b_1), \quad f_{k+1}(b_0, \dots, b_k) = \nabla'_{f_1(b_0)} f_k(b_1, \dots, b_k) - f_k(\nabla_{b_0}(b_1, \dots, b_k)),$$

for all $k \geq 1$ and $b_i \in \mathcal{B}$.

By a similar approach as in the proof of Theorem 3.21, one can show that $\{f_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ is a morphism of Leibniz $_{\infty}[1]$ \mathcal{A} -algebras from $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R}_k^\nabla)$ to $(\mathcal{B}', \mathcal{R}_k^{\nabla'})$. \square

Remark 3.36. *By the universal property, the Kähler differential $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{d_{dR}} \Omega_{\mathcal{A}|\mathbb{K}}^1$ is the initial object in the category $\text{DGder}_{\mathcal{A}}$ of DG derivations. It thus follows that the corresponding Kapranov*

Leibniz_∞[1] \mathcal{A} -algebra on the tangent complex $T_{\mathcal{A}|\mathbb{K}} = (\Omega_{\mathcal{A}|\mathbb{K}}^1)^{\vee}$ of \mathcal{A} is the final object of the subcategory in $\text{Leib}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A})$ consisting of Kapranov Leibniz_∞[1] \mathcal{A} -algebras arising from DG derivations of \mathcal{A} .

Combining Proposition 3.35 with Theorem 3.21 and Theorem 3.28, we have the following

Theorem 3.37. *The Kapranov's construction*

$$(\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega) \quad \mapsto \quad (\mathcal{B} = \Omega^{\vee}, \{\mathcal{R}_k^{\nabla}\}_{k \geq 1})$$

defines a contravariant functor from the category of DG derivations of a CDGA \mathcal{A} modulo homotopy to the category of Leibniz_∞[1] \mathcal{A} -algebras modulo isomorphisms.

3.4. Applications. Let us first consider a Lie pair (L, A) , and let $B = L/A$ be the Bott A -module. In the introduction, we explained that for each splitting $j : B \rightarrow L$ of the short exact sequence (2.20) and for any L -connection ∇ on B extending the Bott A -module structure, there associates a Leibniz_∞[1] algebra structure $\{\lambda_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ on the graded \mathbb{K} -vector space $\Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet} A^{\vee} \otimes B)$. As all $\{\lambda_k\}_{k \geq 2}$ are Ω_A^{\bullet} -multilinear, it is a Leibniz_∞[1] Ω_A^{\bullet} -algebra.

Recall that we have a $\Omega_A^{\bullet}(B^{\vee})$ -valued DG derivation δ_j of the CDGA Ω_A^{\bullet} as in Equation (2.21). By Proposition 2.23, the Atiyah cocycle α_B^{∇} of the Lie pair coincides with the Atiyah cocycle $\text{At}_B^{\nabla, \delta_j}$ of the DG Ω_A^{\bullet} -module $\mathcal{B} := \Omega_A^{\bullet}(B)$ with respect to a δ_j -connection ∇^{δ_j} as in Equation (2.24). Comparing definitions of $\{\lambda_k\}_{k \geq 3}$ in the introduction and $\{\mathcal{R}_k^{\nabla, \delta_j}\}$ as in Equation (3.9), we see that the two Leibniz_∞[1] Ω_A^{\bullet} -algebras $(\mathcal{B}, \{\lambda_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ and $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R}_k^{\nabla, \delta_j})$ are exactly the same.

Applying Proposition 2.22, Theorem 3.21, and Theorem 3.28, we have the following

Theorem 3.38. *Let (L, A) be a Lie pair over a smooth manifold M . Then the Leibniz_∞[1] algebra structure constructed in [8, Theorem 3.13] on the graded \mathbb{K} -vector space $\Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet} A^{\vee} \otimes L/A)$ is unique up to isomorphisms in the category of Leibniz_∞[1] Ω_A^{\bullet} -algebras.*

Moreover, if (E, ∂_A^E) is an A -module, then the representation of the above Leibniz_∞[1] algebra on the graded \mathbb{K} -vector space $\Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet} A^{\vee} \otimes E)$ is also unique up to isomorphisms in the category of Leibniz_∞[1] Ω_A^{\bullet} -modules.

We finally consider another interesting application. Let X be a complex manifold and $\mathcal{A} = (\Omega_X^{0, \bullet}, \bar{\partial})$ its Dolbeault DG algebra. Let $\Omega = (\Omega_X^{0, \bullet}(T^{1,0}X), \bar{\partial})$ be the DG \mathcal{A} -module generated by the smooth section space $\Gamma(T^{1,0}X)$ of the holomorphic tangent bundle $T^{1,0}X$. Note that each holomorphic bivector field $\pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 T^{1,0}X)$ determines an Ω -valued DG derivation of \mathcal{A} , denoted by δ_{π} , which is the composition

$$\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\partial} \Omega_X^{1, \bullet} = \Omega_X^{0, \bullet}((T^{1,0}X)^{\vee}) \xrightarrow{\pi^{\sharp}} \Omega.$$

Here π^{\sharp} is specified by the contraction along π from $(T^{1,0}X)^{\vee}$ to $T^{1,0}X$.

In fact, π^{\sharp} is a morphism of DG derivations of \mathcal{A} (from $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\partial} \Omega_X^{1, \bullet}$ to $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\delta_{\pi}} \Omega$). It sends the Atiyah class $\alpha_E \in H^1(X, (T^{1,0}X)^{\vee} \otimes \text{End}(E))$ of any holomorphic vector bundle E to the δ_{π} -twisted Atiyah class $\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\delta_{\pi}} \in H^1(X, T^{1,0}X \otimes \text{End}(E))$ of the associated DG \mathcal{A} -module $\mathcal{E} = \Omega_X^{0, \bullet}(E)$.

By Proposition 2.16, the δ_{π} -twisted Atiyah class $\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\delta_{\pi}}$ measures the existence of holomorphic δ_{π} -connections on E . In particular, if π a holomorphic Poisson bivector field, then $(T^{1,0}X)^{\vee}$ is a holomorphic Lie algebroid, and $\text{At}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\delta_{\pi}}$ measures the existence of holomorphic $(T^{1,0}X)^{\vee}$ -connections on E .

Applying Theorem 3.37, we have the following

Theorem 3.39. *Let X be a complex manifold, π a holomorphic bivector field. Then,*

- Both $\Omega_X^{0,\bullet}(T^{1,0}X)$ and $\Omega_X^{0,\bullet}((T^{1,0}X)^\vee)$ carry canonical Kapranov Leibniz $_\infty[1]$ $\Omega_X^{0,\bullet}$ -algebra structures;
- There is a morphism of Leibniz $_\infty[1]$ $\Omega_X^{0,\bullet}$ -algebras $\{f_k : \Omega_X^{0,\bullet}((T^{1,0}X)^\vee) \rightarrow \Omega_X^{0,\bullet}(T^{1,0}X)\}_{k \geq 1}$ such that $f_1 = \pi^\sharp$.

4. SOME REMARKS

In this note, we always assume that each DG \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{E} is projective, so that connections exist on \mathcal{E} . In the non-projective case, one can follow Calaque-Van den Bergh's approach [6] to define the Atiyah class of \mathcal{E} (which coincides with the Atiyah class of \mathcal{E} in Definition 2.1 when \mathcal{E} admits connections)—The first step is to construct a short exact sequence, called the jet sequence, of DG \mathcal{A} -modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{A}|\mathbb{K}}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{J}\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow 0.$$

The Atiyah class of \mathcal{E} is then defined to be the extension class of the above jet sequence. We wish to follow this approach to study twisted Atiyah classes of some cases when connections do not exist (singular foliations considered in [14] for example).

Note that Kapranov's original construction on $\Omega_X^{0,\bullet-1}(T_X)$ of a Kähler manifold X is an L_∞ algebra, whereas Chen, Stiénon and Xu's construction of $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee \otimes B)$ is a Leibniz $_\infty[1]$ algebra. In fact, this is due to the existence of Chern connection on T_X which enjoys special properties (see [8, Section 3.4.4]). It is natural to ask for what kinds of Ω -valued DG derivations of \mathcal{A} , the resulting Kapranov Leibniz $_\infty[1]$ \mathcal{A} -algebra structure on Ω^\vee reduces to an $L_\infty[1]$ \mathcal{A} -algebra structure. This question will be investigated somewhere else.

Meanwhile, when $\mathcal{A} = C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ is the CDGA of functions of a smooth DG manifold \mathcal{M} . According to [19], the tangent complex $T_{\mathcal{A}|\mathbb{K}} = \Gamma(T_{\mathcal{M}})$ admits an $L_\infty[1]$ algebra structure (whose construction is different from the Kapranov's construction we discussed). Moreover, Laurent-Gengoux, Stiénon and Xu [16] have proved that for each Lie pair (L, A) , there exists a canonical $L_\infty[1]$ algebra structure on the graded \mathbb{K} -vector space $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee \otimes L/A)$ (which is different from the Chen-Stiénon-Xu's construction in [8]). So we wish to study how to tweak the Kapranov Leibniz $_\infty[1]$ algebra of general DG derivations so as to produce an $L_\infty[1]$ algebra rather than a mere Leibniz $_\infty[1]$ algebra.

We would also like to mention other works that are related to the present paper: Batakidis and Voglaire [3] showed how Atiyah classes of Lie pairs [8] and of DG Lie algebroids [19] give rises to Atiyah classes of dDG algebras [6]. Bordemann [4] studied the Atiyah class as the obstruction to the existence of invariant connections on homogeneous spaces. Hennion [11] generalized Kapranov's construction to algebraic derived stack: There exists a Lie algebra structure on the shifted tangent complex $\mathbb{T}_X[-1]$ of a derived Artin stack X locally of finite presentation. Moreover, given a perfect module E over X , there exists a representation of the aforesaid Lie algebra on E induced by the Atiyah class of E .

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Wei Hong, Kai Jiang, Honglei Lang, Camille Laurent-Gengoux, Mathieu Stiénon, Jim Stasheff, Yannick Voglaire, and Ping Xu for useful discussions and comments. Xiang is grateful to Penn State University and Tsinghua University, for their hospitality during his visits.

REFERENCES

- [1] Mourad Ammar and Norbert Poncin, *Coalgebraic approach to the Loday infinity category, stem differential for $2n$ -ary graded and homotopy algebras*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **60** (2010), no. 1, 355–387 (English, with English and French summaries).
- [2] M. F. Atiyah, *Complex analytic connections in fibre bundles*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **85** (1957), 181–207.
- [3] Panagiotis Batakidis and Yannick Voglaire, *Atiyah classes and dg-Lie algebroids for matched pairs*, J. Geom. Phys. **123** (2018), 156–172.
- [4] Martin Bordemann, *Atiyah classes and equivariant connections on homogeneous spaces*, Travaux mathématiques. Volume XX, Trav. Math., vol. 20, Fac. Sci. Technol. Commun. Univ. Luxemb., Luxembourg, 2012, pp. 29–82.
- [5] Francesco Bottacin, *Atiyah classes of Lie algebroids*, Current trends in analysis and its applications, Trends Math., Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2015, pp. 375–393.
- [6] Damien Calaque and Michel Van den Bergh, *Hochschild cohomology and Atiyah classes*, Adv. Math. **224** (2010), no. 5, 1839–1889.
- [7] Zhuo Chen, Honglei Lang, and Maosong Xiang, *Atiyah classes of Strongly homotopy Lie pairs*, available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.00984>. To appear in Algebra colloquium.
- [8] Zhuo Chen, Mathieu Stiénon, and Ping Xu, *From Atiyah classes to homotopy Leibniz algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **341** (2016), no. 1, 309–349.
- [9] Zhuo Chen, Maosong Xiang, and Ping Xu, *Atiyah and Todd classes arising from integrable distributions* (2017), available at [arXiv:1711.11253](https://arxiv.org/abs/1711.11253).
- [10] Kevin Costello, *A geometric construction of the Witten genus, II*, available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1112.0816>.
- [11] Benjamin Hennion, *Tangent Lie algebra of derived Artin stacks*, available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1312.3167v3>.
- [12] M. Kapranov, *Rozansky-Witten invariants via Atiyah classes*, Compositio Math. **115** (1999), no. 1, 71–113.
- [13] Bernhard Keller, *On differential graded categories*, International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. II, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2006, pp. 151–190.
- [14] Camille Laurent-Gengoux, Sylvain Lavau, and Thomas Strobl, *The universal Lie ∞ -algebroid of a singular foliation*, available at [arXiv:1806.00475](https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.00475).
- [15] Camille Laurent-Gengoux, Mathieu Stiénon, and Ping Xu, *Exponential map and L_∞ algebra associated to a Lie pair*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **350** (2012), no. 17–18, 817–821 (English, with English and French summaries).
- [16] ———, *Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt isomorphisms and Kapranov dg-manifolds*, available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1408.2903>.
- [17] Tom Lada and Jim Stasheff, *Introduction to SH Lie algebras for physicists*, Internat. J. Theoret. Phys. **32** (1993), no. 7, 1087–1103.
- [18] J. L. Loday and T. Pirashvili, *The tensor category of linear maps and Leibniz algebras*, Georgian Math. J. **5** (1998), no. 3, 263–276.
- [19] Rajan Amit Mehta, Mathieu Stiénon, and Ping Xu, *The Atiyah class of a dg-vector bundle*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **353** (2015), no. 4, 357–362 (English, with English and French summaries).
- [20] Pierre Molino, *Classe d’Atiyah d’un feuilletage et connexions transverses projetables.*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **272** (1971), A779–A781 (French).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY

E-mail address: zchen@math.tsinghua.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PEKING UNIVERSITY

E-mail address: liuzj@pku.edu.cn

BEIJING INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH, PEKING UNIVERSITY

E-mail address: msxiang@pku.edu.cn